Doc. 156

1	Robert D. Infelise (California State Bar No. 93876) Peter M. Morrisette (California State Bar No. 209190)			
2	Cox, Castle & Nicholson LLP 555 California Street, 10th Floor			
3	San Francisco, California 94104-1513			
4	Telephone: (415) 392-4200 Facsimile: (415) 392-4250			
5	Email: rinfelise@coxcastle.com			
6	Attorneys for the plaintiffs, DR Management, LLC; DR Acquisitions I, LLC; DR Holdings, LLC; Jennifer Lin; Frederick Lin; and Kevin Lin			
7				
8	Joseph P. Russoniello (California State Bar 44332) United States Attorney Thomas Moore (ASBN 4305-T780)			
9	Assistant United States Attorney, Chief, Tax Division Cynthia Stier (District of Columbia Bar No. 423256)			
10	Assistant United States Attorney			
11	10th Floor Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055			
12	San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 436-7000; Facsimile: (415) 436-6748			
13	Robert J. Higgins (District of Columbia Bar No. 242966)			
14	Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice Bart D. Jeffress (Connecticut Bar No. 419184)			
15	Trial Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice 555 4 th Street., N.W., Room 8816			
16	Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 307-6580; Facsimile: (202) 514-9440 Email: robert.j.higgins@usdoj.gov			
17				
18	Attorneys for the defendant United States of America			
19	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT		
20	NORTHERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA		
21	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
22				
23	DR MANAGEMENT, LLC, <i>et al.</i> ,	Case No. 05-01010 MMC		
24	Plaintiffs,	SIXTH STIPULATION TO CONTINUE		
25	VS.	TRIAL DATE AND SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION HEARING DAT		
26	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al.,	PROPOSED ORDER		
20	Defendants.			
28				
20				

DATE;

- 1 -

1	This stipulation between the plaintiffs (DR Management, LLC; DR Acquisitions, LLC;
2	DR Holdings, LLC; Jennifer Lin; Frederick Lin; and Kevin Lin) and the defendant (the United States
3	of America) is based on the following facts:
4	RECITALS
5	1. On February 7, 2008, the plaintiffs and the defendant filed a stipulation
6	(Document 145) (i) notifying this Court that the parties had reached a tentative settlement and (ii)
7	requesting that this Court continue the trial date and summary judgment hearing no less than four
8	months so at to provide the defendant with sufficient time to secure the necessary approvals from the
9	Department of Justice and the Internal Revenue Service and prepare the settlement papers.
10	2. On February 8, 2008, this Court issued an order (Document 146) approving in
11	part the stipulation to continue the trial date and summary judgment hearing date, and set the
12	following revised calendar in this action:
13	• Summary Judgment Motion Hearing: June 20, 2008
14	• Pretrial Filings, including Motions in Limine: July 1, 2008
15	• Opposition to Motions in Limine: July 8, 2008
16	• Pretrial Conference: July 15, 2008
17	• Trial Date: August 25, 2008
18	3. On February 20, 2008, the plaintiffs and the defendant filed a stipulation
19	(Document 147) notifying this Court of a conflict that the plaintiffs' lead lawyer, Robert D. Infelise,
20	had with the schedule adopted by this Court. On February 21, 2008, this Court issued an Order
21	(Document 148) approving the stipulation and set the following revised calendar in this action:
22	• Summary Judgment Motion Hearing: July 18, 2008
23	 Pretrial Filings, including Motions in Limine: August 5, 2008
24	 Opposition to Motions in Limine: August 12, 2008
25	 Pretrial Conference: August 19, 2008
26	 Trial Date: September 29, 2008
27	• That Date. September 29, 2000
28	
LAW OFFICES OF COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON LL SAN FRANCISCO	SEVILI STIDUL A CONCILIATION TO CONTRACT DATE AND

1	4. On July 2, 2008, the plaintiffs and the defendant filed a stipulation (Document
2	149) notifying this Court that the parties needed more time to finalize the settlement and requested an
3	approximately one month extension in the dates adopted by the Court pursuant to its February 21,
4	2008 Order (Document 148). On July 3, 2008, this Court issued an Order (Document 150) approving
5	the parties stipulation for an extension and setting forth the following schedule:
6	• Summary Judgment Motion Hearing: August 29, 2008
7	
8	
9	• Opposition to Motions in Limine: September 26, 2008
10	Pretrial Conference: October 7, 2008
11	• Trial Date: October 20, 2008
12	5. On August 20, 2008, the plaintiffs and the defendant filed a stipulation
13	(Document 151) again notifying this Court that the parties needed more time to finalize the settlement.
14	On August 21, 2008, this Court issued an Order (Document 152) approving the parties stipulation for
15	an extension and setting forth the following schedule:
16	• Summary Judgment Motion Hearing: January 23, 2009
17	 Pretrial Filings and Motions in Limine: February 10, 2009
18	 Opposition to Motions in Limine: February 17, 2009
19	 Pretrial Conference: March 3, 2009
20	 Trial Date: March 23, 2009
21	6. On December 19, 2008, the plaintiffs and the defendant filed a stipulation
22	(Document 153) again notifying this Court that the parties needed more time to finalize the settlement.
23	On December 22, 2008, this Court issued an Order (Document 154) approving the parties stipulation
24	
25	for an extension and setting forth the following schedule:
26	• Summary Judgment Motion Hearing: April 23, 2009
27	• Pretrial Filings and Motions in Limine: May 11, 2009
28	• Opposition to Motions in Limine: May 18, 2009
LAW OFFICES OF COX, CASTLE & NICHOLSON LLI SAN FRANCISCO	CIVITI CTIDU LA TIONETO CONTINUE TOTAL DATE AND

- Pretrial Conference: June 3, 2009
- Trial Date: June 25, 2009

The parties continue to diligently work toward finalizing the terms of plaintiffs' 3 7. offer and the government's approval for the tentative settlement. The plaintiffs have been working 4 closely with the Internal Revenue Service and the Department of Justice in responding to requests for 5 additional documents related to the tentative settlement and computation of the amount of taxes owed. 6 As noted in the Fifth Stipulation, the Internal Revenue Service has been reviewing and analyzing the 7 tax information provided by the plaintiffs for multiple tax years in order to calculate the amount of 8 taxes owed. The Internal Revenue Service has provided the plaintiffs with its computation and the 9 Internal Revenue Service and the plaintiffs are working to finalize the computation. The parties 10 continue to work cooperatively and in good faith to quickly complete this process. 11

Also as noted in the Fifth Stipulation, the United States of America is diligently 8. 12 working to obtain approvals from the various officials who must approve the settlement. As this 13 Court is aware, any settlement must be reviewed by several officials within the Department of Justice, 14 culminating with final review and approval by the Associate Attorney General of the United States. 15 To date, the settlement has been reviewed and recommended by the Western Region of the Civil Trial 16 Section of the Tax Division, and reviewed by the Tax Division's Office of Review and forwarded to 17 the Tax Division's Acting Deputy Assistant Attorney General for this matter. If he approves the 18 19 settlement, it would then be forwarded to the Associate Attorney General for his consideration.

9. The Parties recognize that they have asked for and received from this Court
 several extensions. The parties appreciate the Court's patience as the parties work to finalize the
 settlement. The process has taken as much time as it has because of the number of tax years involved,
 the volume of documents requiring review and the complexity of the issues. The parties are working
 as quickly as possible to finalize the settlement and respectfully ask for another extension of four
 months.

TERMS

28

LAW OFFICES OF

COX. CASTLE &

26

27

1

2

Based on these facts, the plaintiffs and the defendant agree to the following schedule:

CASE NO. 05-01010 MMC

	1	• Summary Judgment Hearing – August 24, 2009		
	2	• Pretrial Filings and Motions in Limine – September 11, 2009		
	3	• Opposition to Motion in Limine – September 18, 2009		
	4	• Pretrial Conference – October 5, 2009		
	5	• Trial – October 26, 2009		
	6			
	7	April 8, 2009 Cox, Castle & Nicholson LLP		
	8	By: /s/ Robert D. Infelise		
	9	Robert D. Infelise Attorneys for the plaintiffs,		
	10	DR Management, LLC; DR Acquisitions I, LLC; DR Holdings, LLC; Jennifer Lin; Frederick Lin;		
	11	and Kevin Lin		
	12	April 8, 2009 United States Attorney Joseph P. Russoniello, Esq.		
	13	Robert J. Higgins, Esq. Bart D. Jeffress, Esq.		
	14			
	15	By: <u>/s/ Robert J. Higgins</u> Robert J. Higgins		
	16	Attorneys for the defendant United States of America		
	17			
	18			
	19	ORDER		
	20	Pursuant to the stipulation of counsel, it is so ordered, with the exception that the hearing on plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment is CONTINUED to August 21, 2009 and the Pretrial		
	21	Conference is CONTINUED to October 6, 2009.		
	22	to a to a Plan		
	23	Date: April 9 , 2009 Mafine M. Cherry		
	24	The Honorable Maxine M. Chesney		
	25			
	26			
	27			
	28			
LAW OFFIC COX, CAS NICHOLS SAN FRANC	STLE & SON LL			