1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 Northern District of California 5 6 7 R.K., a minor, by and through his Guardian Ad Litem, Tony Ko, 8 No. C 05-01686 MEJ Plaintiffs, 9 ORDER FOR SUPPLEMENTAL v. BRIEFING BY DEFENDANT 10 City of Hayward, 11 Defendant. 12 13 On September 15, 2009, the Court issued an Order directing Defendant to file a 14 Supplemental Reply. (Dkt. #84.) In addition to responding to the arguments Plaintiff made in his 15 Supplemental Response, Defendant shall also address the following issue: 16 In Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment, Defendant argues that no violation of R.K.'s 17 Fourth Amendment rights occurred because Office Pierce had reasonable cause to temporarily detain 18 R.K. pursuant to California Welfare & Institutions Code section 625(a) and 601(b) and 602. In its 19 Motion, Defendant indicates that California courts have held that held that reasonable cause does not 20 require the officer to actually observe the offending behavior. (Dkt. #64 at 10-11.) Aside from this 21 brief statement of law, however, Defendant has not provided any discussion of what standard 22 California courts apply to assess whether reasonable cause exists or what factors courts consider in 23 making that determination. Defendant shall therefore address this issue in its Supplemental Reply. 24 25 26 27 28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT For the Northern District of California

1	
2	I
3	
4	
5	I
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28

To allow Defendant time to address this issue, the Court will extend the deadline for Defendant's Supplemental Reply to <u>5:00 p.m. on Friday, September 18, 2009</u>.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 16, 2009

Maria-Elengames Chief United States Magistrate Judge