Hernandez v. Woodford

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 PEDRO HERNANDEZ, No. C 05-02093 MHP 9 Petitioner, ORDER DENYING CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY 10 v. 11 JEANNE WOODFORD, Director of the California Department of Corrections, 12 Respondent. 13 14 15 This matter has been forwarded to this court to determine whether a certificate of 16 appealability should issue. A certificate of appealability will not issue because petitioner has 17 not made "a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 18

2253(c)(2). The first basis for denial of the petition was that the claim rested on independent and adequate state grounds. The second claim, ineffective assistance of counsel, was premised on two theories neither of which is supported by evidence in the record or the applicable law as explained in this court's order filed May 19, 2011, Dkt. #34. This is not a case in which "reasonable jurists would find the district court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000).

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that a certificate of appealability is DENIED,

DATED: June 20 2011

Marilyn Hall Patel United States District Judge Doc. 40