1 2 3 4 5 6	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & John M. Potter (Bar No. 165843) johnpotter@quinnemanuel.com Diane M. Doolittle (Bar No. 142046) dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com Elizabeth A. Morgan (Bar No. 270824) elizabethmorgan@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	HEDGES, LLP		
7 8 9	Christopher Tayback (Bar No. 145532) christayback@quinnemanuel.com Ashley E. Martabano (Bar No. 236357) ashleymartabano@quinnemanuel.com 865 South Figueroa Street, 10 <sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-2543 Telephone: (213) 443-3000			
10	Facsimile: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100			
12 13	Attorneys for Defendants JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND SCIOS, INC.			
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIF	ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
16				
17	UNITED STATES <i>ex rel</i> STROM,	CASE NO. C 05-3004 CRB		
18	Plaintiffs,			
19	vs.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
20	SCIOS INC. and JOHNSON & JOHNSON,	ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH THE PARTIES MUST MOVE TO		
21	Defendants.	COMPEL FACT-RELATED DISCOVERY AND FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY		
22		Trial Date: None Set		
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
00459.50991/4384381.1	CASE NO. C 05-3004 CRB			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH PARTIES MUST MOVE TO COMPEL AND FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY Dockets.Justia.com			

1	WHEREAS, the United States filed its Complaint in this matter on June 11, 2009; and		
2	WHEREAS, the fact-discovery cutoff in this case was September 30, 2011; and		
3	WHEREAS, pursuant to Local Rule 37-3, the deadline by which the parties must move to		
4	compel on any fact-discovery related issues is currently October 7, 2011; and		
5	WHEREAS, the court requires the party to submit joint discovery letters no longer than		
6	five pages in place of traditional discovery motions; and		
7	WHEREAS, the United States sought an extension of time in which to respond to		
8	Defendants' Fourth Set of Interrogatories; and		
9	WHEREAS, the Defendants agreed to such extension on the condition that the parties		
10	stipulate and agree to extend Defendants' deadline to seek relief regarding the United States'		
11	Responses to Defendants' Fourth Set of Interrogatories until October 28, 2011; and		
12	WHEREAS, the United States has agreed to supplement its Responses to Defendants'		
13	Fourth Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 10-13, by Friday, October 7, 2011; and		
14	WHEREAS, the United States has agreed to provide further information relating to its		
15	assertion of the deliberative process privilege; and		
16	WHEREAS, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, have agreed that Defendants		
17	shall have until seven calendar days after the United States provides such additional information to		
18	notify the United States that they intend to ask the Court to overturn the privilege; upon		
19	notification, Defendants will then have seven calendar days to provide their portion of the joint		
20	discovery letter relating to the deliberative process privilege; the United States will then have		
21	seven calendar days to provide its responsive portion; and the parties will have an additional seven		
22	calendar days to make any final revisions; and		
23	WHEREAS, the parties, through their undersigned counsel, met and conferred about all		
24	outstanding discovery issues on October 3, 2011, including the parties' September 30, 2011		
25	responses to various discovery requests, and have further agreed to the following briefing schedule		
26	for any discovery letters to be filed with the court on any issues about which the parties met and		
27	conferred on October 3, 2011:		
28	Moving Party to provide its portion to Opposing Party by October 12, 2011;		
00459.50991/4384381.1	- 1 - CASE NO. C 05-3004 CRB		

1	Opposing Party to provide its responsive portion to Moving Party by October 21, 2011;			
2	Moving Party to make any final revisions and provide to Opposing Party for finalization			
2				
	by October 25, 2011;			
4	Moving Party shall file with the Court by October 28, 2011.			
5	WHEREAS, the parties, recognizing the impact of this schedule on the pending expert			
6	discovery deadlines, through their undersigned counsel, have agreed and stipulated to change the			
7	expert disclosure deadlines to the following:			
8	Designation of Experts	November 30, 2011		
9	Designation of Rebuttal Experts	January 6, 2012		
10	Expert discovery cut-off	February 3, 2012		
11	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:			
12	Defendants shall have until October 28, 2011 to seek relief relating to the United States'			
13	Responses to Defendants' Fourth Set of Interrogatories, Nos. 10-13; and			
14	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:			
15	With respect to the United States' assertion	ns of deliberative process privilege, Defendants		
16	shall have until seven calendar days after the United States provides States provides additional			
17	information to notify the United States that they intend to ask the Court to overturn the privilege;			
18	upon notification, Defendants will then have seven calendar days to provide their portion of the			
19	joint discovery letter relating to the deliberative process privilege; the United States will then have			
20	seven calendar days to provide its responsive portion; and the parties will have an additional seven			
21	calendar days to make any final revisions; and			
22	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:			
23	The Parties shall file any outstanding motions to compel fact-related discovery on issues			
24	about which the parties met and conferred on October 3, 2011, according to the schedule set forth			
25	above.			
26	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT:			
27	The expert disclosure deadlines in the case shall be the following:			
28	Designation of Experts	November 30, 2011		
00459.50991/4384381.1	- <u>-</u> 2			
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH   PARTIES MUST MOVE TO COMPEL AND FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY			

1	Designation of Rebutta	l Experts January 6, 2012
2	Expert discovery cut-o	ff February 3, 2012
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5		
6	Dated: October <u>7</u> , 2011	acqueline S. Caly
7		Hon. Jačqueline Scott Corley
8		United States Magistrate Judge
9		
10	Dated: October 6, 2011	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER &
11		HEDGES, LLP
12		By <u>/s/ signature on file</u> John M. Potter
13		Attorneys for Defendants Johnson & Johnson Inc.
14 15		and Scios Inc.
13	Dated: October 6, 2011	By /s/ signature on file
10		SARA WINSLOW JULIE A. ARBUCKLE
18		Assistant United States Attorneys
19		
20	Dated: October 6, 2011	By /s/ signature on file JOYCE R. BRENDA
21		PATRICIA R. DAVIS RENÉE S. ORLEANS
22		Civil Division, U.S. Department of Justice
23		Attorneys for the United States
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
00459.50991/4384381.1	STIPU	- 3 - CASE NO. C 05-3004 CRB LATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHICH RTIES MUST MOVE TO COMPEL AND FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY
	- PA	KTIES MUST MOVE TO COMPEL AND FOR EXPERT DISCOVERY

1	Dated: October 6, 2011NOLAN & AUERBACH, P.A. LAW OFFICES OF MATTHEW PAVONE, Esq.	
2	By/s/ signature on file	
3	MATTHEW PAVONE	
4	Attorneys for Qui Tam Plaintiff Joe Strom	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
00459.50991/4384381.1	- 4 - CASE NO. C 05-3004 CF	в
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME IN WHIC PARTIES MUST MOVE TO COMPEL AND FOR EXPERT DISCOVER	H Y