

1 SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
 2 ZESARA C. CHAN (Bar #136302)
 3 TRACY A. DONSKY (Bar #197114)
 4 One Maritime Plaza, 18th Floor
 5 San Francisco, California 94111-3598
 6 Telephone: (415) 421-6500
 7 Facsimile: (415) 421-2922

8 Attorneys for Defendants
 9 MARTINDALE-HUBBELL AND
 10 LEXIS/NEXIS, divisions of
 11 REED ELSEVIER, INC.

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 14 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

15 INHERENT.COM aka INHERENT, INC.

16 Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 MARTINDALE-HUBBELL, LEXIS/NEXIS
 19 INC. and DOES 1 through 200 inclusive,

20 Defendants.

No. C 05 3515 MHP

~~PROPOSED~~
**ORDER PURSUANT TO
 STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME FOR
 DEFENDANTS TO ANSWER OR
 OTHERWISE RESPOND TO FIRST
 AMENDED COMPLAINT**

Complaint Filed: July 29, 2005

SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
 ONE MARITIME PLAZA
 EIGHTEENTH FLOOR
 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3598

21 WHEREAS, on July 29, 2005, plaintiff Inherent.com aka Inherent, Inc. ("Plaintiff") filed its
 22 initial Complaint against defendants Martindale-Hubbell and Lexis/Nexis, divisions of Reed
 23 Elsevier, Inc. (collectively, "Defendants") in the Superior Court of the State of California, County
 24 of San Francisco, Case No. CGC 05443573 ("Complaint"), entitled Inherent.com aka Inherent, Inc.
 25 v. Martindale-Hubbell, et al. (the "State Court Action") which was timely removed to this Court on

26 WHEREAS, on September 7, 2005, Defendants filed a Motion to dismiss the Complaint or
 27 in the alternative, to transfer the action pursuant to 28 U.C.S. § 1404, which is scheduled to be
 28 heard before this Court on October 31, 2005 (the "Pending Motion");

1 WHEREAS, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on September 23, 2005;

2 WHEREAS, Defendants intend to move to dismiss the First Amended Complaint on or
3 before the October 11, 2005 deadline to answer or otherwise respond to the First Amended
4 Complaint on substantially identical grounds as its Pending Motion;

5 WHEREAS, because Defendants' response the First Amended Complaint would be due
6 prior to the currently scheduled October 31, 2005 hearing on Defendants' Pending Motion, the
7 parties wish to conserve judicial and the parties' resources and avoid additional motion practice
8 against the First Amended Complaint which may be unnecessary pending a decision and order by
9 the Court on Defendants' Pending Motion;

10 Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12 and the matters stated herein, the parties, by and
11 through counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

12 1. Defendants' Pending Motion shall be heard as currently scheduled on October 31,
13 2005, at 2:00 p.m., before this Court, unless otherwise ordered;

14 2. Pending an Order on Defendants' Pending Motion, all Defendants' time to answer or
15 otherwise respond to the First Amended Complaint shall be extended;

16 3. Each of the Defendants shall have thirty (30) days to respond to the First Amended
17 Complaint after the date that the Court issues an Order in connection with the Defendants' Pending
18 Motion;

19 4. If the Court orders that the Complaint is dismissed or otherwise transfers this action,
20 Plaintiff agrees to dismiss its First Amended Complaint in this action without prejudice to refileing
21 such First Amended Complaint in any appropriate venue;

22 5. There have been no previous time modifications in this action, either by stipulation
23 or order of the Court;

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
ONE MARITIME PLAZA
EIGHTEENTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3598

6. This Stipulation does not affect any date on the schedule for this action as set forth in the Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference.

DATED: October _____, 2005 SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP

By _____ ZESARA C. CHAN

Attorneys for Defendants
MARTINDALE-HUBBELL AND LEXIS/NEXIS,
divisions of REED ELSEVIER, INC.

DATED: October 5, 2005

LAW OFFICES OF PATRICK E. CATALANO

By _____ JANNIK P. CATALANO


Attorneys for Plaintiff
INHERENT.COM aka INHERENT, INC.

SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
ONE MARIN PLAZA
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-5598

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October 7, 2005



ZCCV7002\001\1322\14.01

6. This Stipulation does not affect any date on the schedule for this action as set forth in the Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference.

DATED: October _____, 2005

SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP

By 
ZESARA C. CHAN

Attorneys for Defendants
MARTINDALE-HUBBELL AND LEXIS/NEXIS,
divisions of REED ELSEVIER, INC.

DATED: October _____, 2005

LAW OFFICES OF PATRICK E. CATALANO

By _____
JANNIK P. CATALANO

Attorneys for Plaintiff
INHERENT.COM aka INHERENT, INC.

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: October _____, 2005

HONORABLE MARILYN H. PATEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ZCC\7002\001\1322114.01

SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP
ONE MARITIME PLAZA
EIGHTEENTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-3598