

1 JACK Q. LEVER (*PRO HAC VICE PENDING*)
 jlever@mwe.com
 2 PETER P. CHEN (State Bar No.111426)
 pchen@mwe.com
 3 BIJAL V. VAKIL (State Bar No. 192878)
 bvakil@mwe.com
 4 SHAMITA D. ETIENNE (State Bar No. 202090)
 setienne@mwe.com
 5 McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
 3150 Porter Drive
 6 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1212
 Telephone: 650.813.5000
 7 Facsimile: 650.813.5100

8 Attorneys for Defendants
 MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC INDUSTRIAL CO.,
 9 LTD. and PANASONIC CORPORATION OF
 NORTH AMERICA

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

13
 14 SILICONIX INCORPORATED,

15 Plaintiff,

16 v.

17 MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC
 18 INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. AND
 PANASONIC CORPORATION OF
 19 NORTH AMERICA,

20 Defendants.

CASE NO. C 05 3617 PJH

**JOINT STIPULATION RE: TIME TO
 RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND
 [PROPOSED] ORDER**

Date Complaint Filed: Sept. 8, 2005

21
 22 Pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11, Plaintiff Siliconix incorporated and Defendants
 23 Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. ("MEI") and Panasonic Corporation of North America
 24 ("Panasonic") through their respective counsel of record, submit this Joint Stipulation Re: Time
 25 to Respond ("Joint Stipulation") to provide MEI and Panasonic with a brief extension of time to
 26 respond to the Complaint while voluntarily accepting service of the summons and complaint.

27 This Joint Stipulation is based upon the following:

28 **JOINT STIPULATION RE: TIME TO
 RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND
 [PROPOSED] ORDER**

CASE NO. C 05 3617 PH

1 1. Siliconix incorporated's Complaint against MEI and Panasonic was filed
2 on or about September 8, 2005 ("Complaint").

3 2. MEI has agreed to voluntarily accept service of the Summons and
4 Complaint.

5 3. MEI and Panasonic agree to file its response to the Complaint on or before
6 November 23, 2005.

7 4. MEI and Panasonic will not seek a delay in the dates for the exchange of
8 initial disclosures and/or the case management conference because of this extension.

9 5. Therefore, the parties jointly stipulate MEI and Panasonic's response to the
10 Complaint be filed and served on or before November 23, 2005.

11 THEREFORE, the parties, through their respective counsel of record, hereby
12 stipulate to the following:

13 1. MEI and Panasonic may file its response to the Complaint on or before
14 November 23, 2005.

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: September 29, 2005

Respectfully submitted,
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP

By: /s/ Bijal V. Vakil
JACK Q. LEVER
PETER P. CHEN
BIJAL V. VAKIL
SHAMITA D. ETIENNE

Attorneys for Defendants
MATSUSHITA ELECTRIC
INDUSTRIAL CO., LTD. and
PANASONIC CORPORATION OF
NORTH AMERICA

QUINN EMANUEL URQUART OLIVER &
HEDGES LLP

By: /s/ Helen E. Dutton
DAVID EISEMAN
ALBERT P. BEDECARRE
DAVID PERLSON
HELEN E. DUTTON

Attorneys for Plaintiff
SILICONIX INCORPORATED

MPK 98354-1.071116.0023

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

MEI and Panasonic may file its response to the Complaint filed by Siliconix incorporated on or before November 23, 2005.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 9/30/05



The Honorable Phyllis J. Hamilton

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

DECLARATION RE: SIGNATURE PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45 § X

I, Bijal V. Vakil, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at the law firm of McDermott Will & Emery LLP, counsel of record for Defendants Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. And Panasonic Corporation of North America, in the action entitled *Siliconix Incorporated v. Matsushita Electric*, Case No. C 05 3617 PJH pending before this Court. I am a member of good standing of the State Bar of California and am admitted to practice in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this Declaration and, if called as a witness, could and would testify competently to such facts under oath.

2. I attest that the conformed signature of Helen E. Dutton, counsel of record for Plaintiff Siliconix incorporated, appearing in the signature block of the JOINT STIPULATION RE: TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER, is Ms. Dutton's signature, and that Ms. Dutton has authorized me to file the JOINT STIPULATION RE: TIME TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER.

Executed on the 29th day of September 2005 at Palo Alto, California. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

/s/ Bijal V. Vakil

MPK 79793-1.T06553.0011

MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
PALO ALTO

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28