

1 DOWNEY BRAND LLP
 MELISSA A. THORME (Bar No. 151278)
 2 NICOLE E. GRANQUIST (Bar No. 199017)
 621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
 3 Sacramento, CA 95814-4731
 Telephone: (916) 444-1000
 4 Facsimile: (916) 444-2100
ngranquist@downeybrand.com
 5 Attorneys for Defendant CITY OF RICHMOND

6
 7
 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 BAYKEEPER, a non-profit corporation,
 and WEST COUNTY TOXICS
 12 COALITION, a non-profit organization,

13 Plaintiffs,

14 v.

15 CITY OF RICHMOND, a municipal
 16 corporation; WEST COUNTY
 17 WASTEWATER DISTRICT, a municipal
 corporation; VEOLIA WATER NORTH
 18 AMERICA OPERATING SERVICES, a
 limited liability corporation; and WEST
 19 COUNTY AGENCY, a joint powers
 20 agency,

21 Defendants.

Civil Case No.: C 05-03829 MMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 PLAINTIFFS AND DEFENDANT CITY OF
 RICHMOND’S STIPULATION TO AMEND
 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

22
 23 Good cause appearing, and based on the stipulation of the parties,

24 IT IS ORDERED that consistent with the Amendment to October 17, 2006 Settlement
 25 Agreement entered into by Plaintiffs and Defendant City of Richmond, and attached as Exhibit A
 26 to the October 2014 stipulation submitted by Plaintiffs and Defendant City of Richmond, the
 27 October 17, 2006 Settlement Agreement (Docket No. 32-1) is modified as follows:

28 A. The September 1, 2014 deadline set forth in Paragraph 12.d. of the October 17,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

2006 Settlement Agreement, applicable only to Defendant City of Richmond and no other Defendants, is extended to November 2, 2015; and


B. The Termination Date set forth in Paragraph 10 of the October 17, 2006 Settlement Agreement is extended to December 9, 2017 as applicable to Richmond and no other Defendants.

Consistent with this Court’s December 8, 2006 Order (Docket No. 32), this Court will continue to retain jurisdiction over Plaintiffs’ First, Second, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Tenth, Eleventh, Twelfth, Thirteenth, and Fourteenth claims against Defendants (other than allegations related to biosolids and effluent monitoring dismissed pursuant to the Prior Settlement Agreement and the Court’s July 5, 2006 Order) for the sole purpose of resolving any disputes between the Parties with respect to enforcement of any provision of the amended October 17, 2006 Settlement Agreement.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 31, 2014

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA



Honorable Maxine M. Chesney
United States District Court
Northern District of California