Doc. 15

Dockets.Justia.co

2.8

WHEREAS, on or about December 30, 2004, Plaintiff John Osanitsch ("Osanitsch") commenced an action in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for Sonoma County, entitled *Osanitsch v. Marconi*, Case No. SCV 236042 (the "Complaint");

WHEREAS, on or about October 3, 2005, Defendant Marconi plc ("Marconi") filed a Notice of Removal pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) removing Plaintiff's action to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California;

WHEREAS, on or about October 14, 2005, this action was assigned to Honorable Charles R. Breyer, Case No. 3:05-cv-03988-CRB, for all further proceedings;

WHEREAS, on December 17, 2008, Osanitsch filed his First Amended Complaint;
WHEREAS, on January 29, 2009, Marconi filed a motion to dismiss Osanitsch's First
Amended Complaint;

WHEREAS, on March 20, 2009, after evaluating Marconi's motion to dismiss and holding oral argument, Judge Breyer partially granted the motion to dismiss and dismissed four of the five claims against Marconi; specifically, Judge Breyer held that Osanitsch's claims for fraud and deceit, negligent misrepresentation, breach of the implied covenant of good faith and wrongful discharge were barred by the respective statute of limitations;

WHEREAS, on September 18, 2009, Defendants Marconi, Marconi Communications and Telent Ltd. (collectively "Defendants") moved for summary judgment on Osanitsch's remaining claim;

WHEREAS, on December 21, 2009, Judge Breyer granted summary judgment in favor of Defendants and against Osanitsch dismissing his remaining breach of contract cause of action;

WHEREAS, on December 22, 2009, Judge Breyer entered judgment in favor of Defendants and against Osanitsch;

WHEREAS, on January 5, 2010, Defendants filed a motion for attorneys' fees pursuant to the terms of the Employee Separation Agreement and General Release executed by the parties;

WHEREAS, on January 19, 2010, Osanitsch appealed the Court's: (1) Order Partially Granting Marconi's Motion to Dismiss Osanitsch's First Amended Complaint and (2) Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment;

WHEREAS Plaintiff and Defendants have reached an agreement that fully and finally 1 2 resolves the Complaint and, therefore, desire that the Complaint be dismissed with prejudice. 3 IN CONSIDERATION OF THE FOREGOING, the undersigned parties, through their 4 counsel of record, hereby STIPULATE and AGREE as follows: 5 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff and Defendants that this entire action shall be dismissed with prejudice pursuant to Rule 41(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil 6 7 Procedure, with each party to bear its own costs and attorneys' fees. 8 IT IS SO STIPULATED. 9 THE LAW OFFICES OF 10 JAMES J. SELTZER 11 Dated: June 10, 2010 By: /s/ James J. Seltzer 12 James J. Seltzer 13 Attorneys for Plaintiff JOHN OSANITSCH 14 15 **K&L GATES LLP** 16 17 Dated: June 10, 2010 By: /s/ Jas S. Dhillon 18 Jas S. Dhillon 19 Attorneys for Defendants MARCONI PLC, 20 MARCÓNI COMMUNICATIONS AND TELENT LTD. 21 22 23 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION IT IS SO ORDERED. 24 25 Dated: June 22, 2010 onorable Charles P IT IS SO ORDERED 26 27 Judge Charles R. Breyer 28 STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL €0**1**988 (CRB) 3 ase No. 3:05