1 2	JOHN L. BURRIS (SBN 69888) BENJAMIN NISENBAUM (SBN 222173) ADANTE DE POINTER (SBN 236229)	
3	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS Airport Corporate Centre	
4	7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, California 94621	
5	Telephone: (510) 839-5200 Facsimile: (510) 839-3882	
6	Email: John.Burris@johnburrislaw.com	
7	JAMES B. CHANIN (SBN 76043) JULIE M. HOUK (SBN 114968)	
8	LAW OFFICES OF JAMES B. CHANIN 3050 Shattuck Avenue	
9	Berkeley, California 94705 Telephone: (510) 848-4752, Ext. 2	
10	Facsimile: (510) 848-5819 Email: jbcofc@aol.com	
11		
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
13		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16		
17	TORRY SMITH, et al.,	CASE NO.: C05-04045 EMC
18	Plaintiffs,)	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
19		PLAINTIFFS TO FILE MOTION FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES ON
20	vs.))	APPEAL IN THE DISTRICT COURT
21	CITY OF OAKLAND; et al.,	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		1
	Smith., et al. v. City of Oakland, et al., Case No. C05-04045 EMC Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Time to File Motion for Appellate Fees	
		Docke

Dockets.Justia.com

THE PARTIES, BY AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD DO HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

Whereas, the parties are continuing to meet and confer in good faith with respect to the issues concerning the attorneys' fees incurred by Plaintiffs on appeal;

Whereas, counsel for Defendants has requested additional time to respond to Plaintiffs' demand for attorneys' fees on appeal; and,

Whereas, the parties have previously stipulated to the transfer of the determination of Plaintiffs' fees on appeal to the District Court and for an extension of time of sixty days to file a motion for the appellate fees in the Ninth Circuit;

THE PARTIES DO HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE THAT Plaintiffs shall have up to an including September 14, 2010 to file a motion for an award of attorneys' fees on appeal in the District Court. This stipulation is not intended to alter the stipulation previously reached between the parties concerning the time for the filing of Plaintiffs' motion for an award of the attorneys fees incurred in the District Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED:

Dated: July 13, 2010

Dated: July 16, 2010

____/S/____ Julie M. Houk Attorney for Plaintiffs

____/S/____ Terence J. Cassidy Attorney for Defendants

2

Smith., et al. v. City of Oakland, et al., Case No. C05-04045 EMC Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Time to File Motion for Appellate Fees

1	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
2	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES,	
3	AND FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN,	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
5	E DEPED	
6	Dated:, 2010 [7]	
7	Edwa Magis	
8	Magis Datled Judge Edward M. Chen	
9		
10	TERN DISTRICT OF CE	
11		
12		
13		
14 15		
15		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	
	Smith., et al. v. City of Oakland, et al., Case No. C05-04045 EMC Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Extending Time to File Motion for Appellate Fees	