K.C., et al v. 0'Connell, et al

Doc. 110

 RECITALS

1. On December 1, 2011, Plaintiff's filed an amended notice of motion for attorney's fees and expenses and proof of service. The Plaintiff's motion set the hearing date for January 20, 2012. (Dkt. 107.) According to 7-3(a) of the Local Rules of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Defendants' must serve and file any opposition to Plaintiff's motion not more than 14 days after the motion is served and filed. In this case, Defendants' must file their opposition on or before December 15, 2011. Pursuant to Local Rule 7-3(c), any reply to an opposition must be served and filed by the moving party not more than 7 days after the opposition is served and filed. In this case, Plaintiff's must file their reply on or before December 21, 2011.

- 2. Prior to filing their reply brief, Defendants require additional time to review extensive invoices numbering over 200 pages in length. In addition, there are over 600 pages of documents to review which include numerous attachments and supplementary declarations. The parties have conferred and agreed to a proposed modification of the briefing schedule.
- 3. Therefore, the parties have stipulated that the Defendants' opposition brief is due on or before January 4, 2012. The parties have further stipulated that the Plaintiff's response to the Defendants' opposition is due to on or before January 11, 2012.
- 4. The parties agree that the hearing on the Plaintiff's motion should remain scheduled for January 20, 2012, and the parties request that the Court not alter this date. A proposed order is attached.

1 **STIPULATION** 2 Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2, the parties hereby stipulate that: the Defendants' opposition 3 to Plaintiff's motion shall be filed on or before January 4, 2012. Plaintiff's reply to the 4 opposition will be filed on or before January 11, 2012. The hearing on the motion for attorneys' 5 fees will remain January 20, 2012. 6 7 Respectfully Submitted, 8 AMAY BISSON-HOLLOWAY General Counsel 9 EDMUNDO AGUILAR **Assistant General Counsel** 10 11 Dated: December 12, 2011 $/_{\rm S}/$ 12 PAUL E. LACY AVA C. YAJIMA 13 Attorney for Defendants California Department of Education and Tom Torlakson 14 15 16 Dated: December 12, 2011 /s/DONNA BRORBY 17 LARISA M. CUMMINGS 18 CHARLOTEE L. LANVERS ARLENE B. MAYERSON 19 Attorneys for Plaintiff 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation to amend the briefing schedule as set by Local Rule 7-3(a) to extend the time for Defendants' to file their opposition to Plaintiff's motion for attorneys' fees and Plaintiff's reply to Defendants' opposition. For good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. The Defendants' opposition to Plaintiff's motion for attorneys' fees shall be filed on or before January 4, 2012.
- 2. The Plaintiff's reply to Defendants' opposition shall be filed on or before January 11, 2012.
- 3. A hearing on the Government Defendants' motion shall be conducted on January $\frac{27}{20}$, 2012, at 9 a.m.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 15, 2011.

The Honorable Maxine M. Ches United States District Judge Northern District of California