	of the Leland Stanford Junior University v. Roche Mole	oular Oysterns, me. et al	Doc. 177 Att. 2
	Case 3:05-cv-04158-MHP Document 177-3	Filed 08/10/2007 Page 1 of 2	
1			
1 2	COOLEY GODWARD KRONISH LLP STEPHEN C. NEAL (No. 170085) (nealsc@cooley.com) RICARDO RODRIGUEZ (No. 173003) (rr@cooley.com)		
3	MICHELLE S. RHYU (No. 212922) (mrhyu@cooley.com) Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real		
4	Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 Tel: (650) 843-5000		
5	Fax: (650) 857-0663		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defend THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELA		
7	JUNIOR UNIVERSITY and Counterclaim Defe MERIGAN and MARK HOLODNIY	endants THOMAS	
8			
9 10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY,	Case No. C 05 04158 MHP	
14	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE CLAIM	
15	v.	CONSTRUCTION	
1.6			
16	DOCHE MOI ECHI AD SYSTEMS ET AL		
17	ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL.,		
17 18	ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL., Defendants.	Date: October 3, 2007	
17		Date: October 3, 2007 Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor	
17 18 19	Defendants. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL., Counterclaimants,		
17 18 19 20	Defendants. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL.,	Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor	
17 18 19 20 21	Defendants. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL., Counterclaimants, v. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE	Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor	
17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendants. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL., Counterclaimants, v. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY; THOMAS MERIGAN; AND	Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendants. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL., Counterclaimants, v. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR	Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Defendants. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL., Counterclaimants, v. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY; THOMAS MERIGAN; AND MARK HOLODNIY,	Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	Defendants. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL., Counterclaimants, v. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY; THOMAS MERIGAN; AND MARK HOLODNIY,	Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor	
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Defendants. ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, ET AL., Counterclaimants, v. THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY; THOMAS MERIGAN; AND MARK HOLODNIY,	Courtroom: 15, 18th Floor	

1 On October 3, 2007, the Court held a hearing on claim construction by Plaintiff The Board 2 of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University ("Stanford") and Defendants Roche Molecular Systems et al. ("Roche"). Upon full consideration of all submitted papers and oral 3 4 arguments in support of and in opposition to the claim construction, and for good cause shown, the Court construes the disputed terms as follows: 5

	Disputed Terms	Stanford's Construction		
	"therapeutically effective"	No construction necessary		
	"therapeutically ineffective"	No construction necessary		
6.	an antiretroviral agent"	"at least one substance having or capable of having an effect against a retrovirus, such as HIV"		
	"measuring the HIV RNA copy number"	No construction necessary		
	"presence of detectable HIV-encoding nucleic acid"	No construction necessary		
	"absence of detectable HIV-encoding nucleic acid"	No construction necessary		
	"collecting statistically significant data useful for determining whether a decline in HIV RNA copy numbers exists"	"gathering data from the patient and/or other sources that is useful in assessing whether any decline in HIV RNA copy number was not the result of chance"		
	"statistically significant data"	No separate construction necessary		
•	"statistically significant decline"	"a decrease that is large enough, by itself or when compared to other data, that it was not likely the result of chance"		
ļ				
	SO ORDERED.			
Dated:Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel United States District Court Judge				
	1.	[PROPOSED] ORDER RE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION CASE NO. C 05 04158 MHP		

6