

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART OLIVER & HEDGES, LLP
 Adrian M. Pruetz (Bar No. 118215)
 2 Jeffrey N. Boozell (Bar No. 199507)
 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
 3 Los Angeles, California 90017-2543
 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
 4 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100
 E-Mail: adrianpruetz@quinnemanuel.com
 5 jeffboozell@quinnemanuel.com

6 Robert W. Stone (Bar No. 163513)
 Brian C. Cannon (Bar No. 193071)
 7 T.J. Chiang (Bar No. 235165)
 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, Suite 560
 8 Redwood Shores, California 94065
 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
 9 Facsimile: (415) 801-5100
 E-Mail: robertstone@quinnemanuel.com
 10 tjchiang@quinnemanuel.com

11 Attorneys for Defendants and Counterclaimants
 Roche Molecular Systems, Inc.; Roche
 12 Diagnostics Corporation; and Roche Diagnostics
 Operations, Inc.

13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

15 THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND
 16 STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC.; ROCHE
 20 DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION; ROCHE
 DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS, INC.,

21 Defendants.

CASE NO. C-05-04158 MHP

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON
 PLAINTIFF STANFORD'S WAIVER
 OF THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT
 PRIVILEGE AND WORK
 PRODUCT PROTECTION
 CONCERNING INVENTORSHIP

22 ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEMS, INC. ROCHE
 23 DIAGNOSTICS CORPORATION; ROCHE
 DIAGNOSTICS OPERATIONS, INC.,

24 Counterclaimants,

25 vs.

26 THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LELAND
 27 STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY; AND
 THOMAS MERIGAN.

28 Counterclaim Defendants.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The Court, having considered the papers and arguments, finds that Plaintiff Board of Trustees of the Leland Stanford Junior University (“Stanford”) has waived its attorney-client privilege and work product protection on the issue of the inventorship of United States Patent Application No. 07/883,327 (the “’327 Application”) and patents and applications claiming priority to the ’327 Application.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

1. Stanford shall produce all documents related to the inventorship of each and every patent or application claiming priority to the ’327 Application, without temporal limitation; and,

2. Stanford shall not be entitled to object, on the grounds of attorney-client privilege or work product protection, to questioning at deposition or trial regarding the inventorship of each and every patent or application claiming priority to the ’327 Application, without temporal limitation.

DATED: June __, 2006

Honorable Marilyn H. Patel
United States District Judge