	Case 3:05-cv-04158-MHP Do	cument 42	Filed 06/01/2006	Page 1 of 2	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	COOLEY GODWARD LLP STEPHEN C. NEAL (No. 170085 RICARDO RODRIGUEZ (No. 17 MICHELLE S. RHYU (No. 21292 Five Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real Palo Alto, CA 94306-2155 Tel: (650) 843-5000 Fax: (650) 857-0663 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counte THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF JUNIOR UNIVERSITY and Court	) (nealsc@coo 3003) (rr@coo 22) (mrhyu@c rclaim Defend 7 THE LELAN	oley.com) oley.com) ooley.com) ant, JD STANFORD	Page T of 2	
8	MERIGAN				
_					
9	UNI	TED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			A	
11					
12					
13	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF	THE	Case No. C 05 041	58 MHP	
14	LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY,		[PROPOSED] ORDER RE INTERVIEWING WITNESSES		
15					
16	Plaintiff,				
17	V.				
18	ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEM	IS, ET AL.,			
19	Defendants.				
20					
21	ROCHE MOLECULAR SYSTEM				
22	Counterclaiman	its,			
23	v.				
24	THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF	THE			
25	LELAND STANFORD JUNIOR UNIVERSITY; AND THOMAS MERIGAN,				
26	Counterclaim D	efendants.			
27					
28					
COOLEY GODWARD LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto	727928 v1/PA			sed] Order re Interviewi es Case No. C-05-04158 Ml	

42

1	The Court held a telephonic conference on May 23, 2006. Upon hearing the Parties'				
2	respective positions relating to the number of individuals identified who may have knowledge or				
3	information relevant to the first phase of this case,				
4	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:				
5	(1) Each Party shall be permitted to take up to ten (10) depositions in the ownership				
6	phase of the case;				
7	(2) Each Party is authorized to contact any individual who has been identified in initial				
8	disclosures as potentially having knowledge or information relevant to the ownership phase of				

9 this case and to interview that individual in order to ascertain whether the individual should be10 formally deposed in this case, with the exception of the named inventors;

(3) Any such individual is hereby relieved of any contractual obligations that might
otherwise prevent the individual from discussing confidential information with any Party,
provided that:

14 (a) Any confidential information disclosed by the individual to a Party shall be
15 treated as confidential information under the Protective Order entered in this case
16 on May 19, 2006;

(b) Upon contacting any such individual for the purpose of an interview, aParty shall provide the individual a copy of the Protective Order and this Order;

19 (c) For individuals not identified as being represented by counsel, the
20 contacting Party shall inform the individual that he or she may have the option of
21 having counsel present during the interview; if counsel is requested, the interview
22 shall not continue until requested counsel is present; and

23	(d) For	individuals identified as being represented by counsel, contact shall be
24	through op	posing counsel before any interview is conducted.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated:

25

26

28

17

18

HONORABLE MARILYN HALL PATEL United States District Court Judge

COOLEY GODWARD LLP Attorneys At Law Palo Alto

1.