

	Case 3	3:05-cv-04158-MHP	Document 77	Filed 10/20/2006	Page 2 of 2	
1		Pursuant to the Court	's Sentember 22–20	006 Order Plaintiff St	anford University identifies	
2	the fo	Pursuant to the Court's September 22, 2006 Order, Plaintiff Stanford University identifies the following topics on which it intends to move for summary judgment:				
3	1.	All of Roche's claims to ownership and license of the patents and patent applications				
4			•	•	mitations, estoppel, laches,	
5		unenforceability and lack of standing.				
6	2.	There is insufficient evidence as a matter of law for Roche to meet its burden on its claim				
7		of ownership or license through the Merigan 1984 and 1991 consulting agreements, the				
8	1989 MTA, the Holodniy Visitor's Confidentiality Agreement, or any other agreements.					
9	3. There is insufficient evidence as a matter of law for Roche to meet its burden on its claim					
10	of ownership through the assertion of shop rights.					
11						
12						
13	Dated	: October 20, 2006	Co	OOLEY GODWARD	KRONISH LLP	
14						
15			by	: Miche	/s/ elle S. Rhyu	
16					of Trustees of the Leland	
17 18			St	anford Junior Universi efendant Thomas Meri	ty and Counterclaim	
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
RD	739715 v	1/PA	2	STANFOR	ED'S STATEMENT OF ISSUES FOR	