UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION No. MDL 05-01699 CRB

This Document Relates to:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

05-4304 CRB Gloria Ward v. Pfizer Inc 06-1879 CRB Ann Dotson v. Pfizer Inc Dannie Bentley v. Pfizer Inc 06-1879 CRB Della Howard v. Pfizer Inc 06-1879 CRB Jeff Maynard v. Pfizer Inc 06-1879 CRB 06-1879 CRB Maggie Hamilton v. Pfizer Inc Norvell Adkins v. Pfizer Inc 06-1879 CRB Opal Howard v. Pfizer Inc 06-1879 CRB 06-1879 CRB Polly Fyffe v. Pfizer Inc Ricky Caudill v. Pfizer Inc. 06-1879 CRB Tammie Sheets v. Pfizer Inc 06-1879 CRB Virginia Brock v. Pfizer Inc 06-1879 CRB 06-1879 CRB William Barnette v. Pfizer Inc Whilemenia Thomas v. Pfizer 06-2680 CRB 06-3526 CRB Dianna Johnson v. Pfizer Inc 06-3526 CRB Hedda Frank v. Pfizer Inc Jewel Verdin v. Pfizer Inc 06-3527 CRB Annie Gerald v. Pfizer Inc 06-3528 CRB Betty Karnes v. Pfizer Inc 06-3528 CRB 06-3528 CRB Carolyn Belcher v. Pfizer Inc Charles Smith v. Pfizer Inc 06-3528 CRB Doris Hopkins v. Pfizer Inc 06-3528 CRB 06-3528 CRB Freddie Tackett v. Pfizer Inc James Addair v. Pfizer Inc 06-3528 CRB

ORDER RE: MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL

-1-

06-3528 CRB

James Eubanks v. Pfizer Inc

Launa Scott v. Pfizer Inc	06-3528 CRB
Mona Walker v. Pfizer Inc	06-3528 CRB
Nancy Colley v. Pfizer Inc	06-3528 CRB
Priscilla Lebeaud v. Pfizer Inc	06-3528 CRB
Sofya Prilutskyaya v. Pfizer	06-3528 CRB
Inc Stanley Borden v. Pfizer Inc Terry X v. Pfizer Inc Beatrice Altazin v. Pfizer Inc Catherine Perry v. Pfizer Inc	06-3528 CRB 06-3528 CRB 06-3528 CRB 06-3528 CRB 06-3528 CRB
Joseph Eckenrod v. Pfizer Inc	06-3528 CRB
Donald Schultz v. Pfizer Inc	06-6548 CRB
Barbara Boe v. Pfizer Inc	07-1973 CRB
Daniel Renfro v. Pfizer Inc	07-2144 CRB
Jo Ann Bradley v. Pfizer Inc	07-2144 CRB
Nancy Reynolds v. Pfizer Inc	07-2144 CRB
Ronnie Castle v. Pfizer Inc	07-2144 CRB

Now pending before the Court are the motions of Becnel Law Firm, L.L.C., counsel for the above-named Plaintiffs, to withdraw as counsel of record for the above-named Plaintiffs.

After carefully considering the motions, the Court ORDERS PLAINTIFFS TO SHOW CAUSE as to why (1) counsel's motion to withdraw as counsel of record should not be granted; and (2) Plaintiffs' lawsuits should not be dismissed for a lack of prosecution. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. If any Plaintiff wishes to contest the withdrawal of counsel and/or dismissal of Plaintiff's lawsuit for failure to prosecute, Plaintiff shall notify the Court in writing on or before

August 2010 of the reasons the withdrawal should not be granted or the case dismissed. If any Plaintiff will be proceeding without a lawyer, such Plaintiff must advise the Court of how the Court should contact Plaintiff.

Plaintiffs are warned that Plaintiffs' failure to communicate with the Court in writing as set forth above may result in dismissal of Plaintiffs' claims with prejudice.

Plaintiffs' counsel shall ensure that Plaintiffs receive a copy of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED

Dated: July 28, 30/0

Hon. Charles R. Breyer United States District Court

-3-