

1
2
3
4 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
5
6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7

8 TERRY L. MEIER,

No. C 05-04404 WHA

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

**ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO FILE; REQUEST FOR
RESPONSE**

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Defendant.
13 _____/

14 This order addresses two lingering issues in this matter. *First*, plaintiff has filed a
15 motion for leave to file a motion for clarification of the April 2009 order regarding the Clerk's
16 taxation of costs. Plaintiff asks the Court to reconsider the taxation of certain costs. Plaintiff's
17 motion for leave to file is **DENIED**. Costs will be taxed in the amount specified in the April
18 2009 order for the reasons stated therein.

19 *Second*, Plaintiff has submitted letters to the Court alleging that the government
20 published personal information about him, including his social security number, in public
21 filings in violation of Civil Local Rule 3-17. If plaintiff has not done so, he should provide the
22 government with a copy of the letters by July 15, 2009. The government is requested to
23 respond by July 22, 2009, and show cause why any confidential personal information should
24 not be redacted from the record pursuant to Civil Local Rule 3-17.

25 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

26 

27 Dated: July 8, 2009.

28 WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE