

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX  
MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND  
PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION,

No. MDL 05-01699 CRB

**ORDER RE: MOTION TO  
WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL AND FOR  
PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE**

\_\_\_\_\_ /  
*This document relates to:*

Robin Adams, No. 05-4494 CRB

Now pending for decision is the motion of the attorney Roger DeMik to withdraw as counsel for plaintiff in the above action.

After carefully considering the motion, and the supporting affidavit, the Court **ORDERS PLAINTIFF TO SHOW CAUSE** as to why (1) DeMik’s motion to withdraw should not be granted; and (2) plaintiff’s lawsuit should not be dismissed for a lack of prosecution. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41. If plaintiff wishes to contest the withdrawal of counsel and/or dismissal of the plaintiff’s lawsuit for failure to prosecute, plaintiff shall notify the Court in writing on or before **May 9, 2010**, of the reasons the withdrawal should not be granted or the case dismissed. In particular, if plaintiff wishes to proceed with this action, she shall advise the Court of the name and address of her new counsel or, if she will be representing herself, she must advise the Court of her current contact information. Plaintiff

1 is warned that her failure to communicate with the Court in writing as set forth above may  
2 result in dismissal of her action with prejudice.

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4 Dated: April 6, 2010



5 \_\_\_\_\_  
6 CHARLES R. BREYER  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28