Johnson v. Galaza	
-------------------	--

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	
5	ERICK GRAHAM JOHNSON, aka ERICK BROWN JOHNSON, No. CV-05-4612 MMC (PR)
6	
7	Petitioner, JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE
8	v.
9	GEORGE GALAZA, Warden,
10	Respondent.
11 12	/
12	() Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues
13	have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.
15	(X) Decision by Court. This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The
16	issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered.
17	IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED the Court concludes that neither the
18	California Court of Appeal nor the Santa Clara County Superior Court rendered a
19	decision that was contrary to, or constituted an unreasonable application of, clearly
20	established federal law, or entailed an unreasonable determination of facts.
21	Accordingly, the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is hereby DENIED.
22	Dated: March 31, 2009 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk
23	TRACY LUCERT
24	By: <u>Tracy Lucero</u> Deputy Clerk
25	
26	
27	
28	