RS					
	Case 3:05-cv-04663-WHA	Document 4	Filed 11/16/2005	Page 1 of 2	
1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
7					
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
9					
10	J. E. BRESSMAN,		No. C 05-046		
11	J. E. BRESSMAN, Plaintiff,		NO. C 03-040	05 W NA	
12			ORDER GR	ANTING	
13	V.		APPLICATI	ON TO PROCEED PAUPERIS AND	
14	IRS, Defendant.			G COMPLAINT	
15	Derendant.	/			
16	Disintiff I E Drogsman	filed his complete	nt and application to n	raceed in forms naunari	G
17	Plaintiff J.E. Bressman filed his complaint and application to proceed in forma pauperis				
18	on November 15, 2005. A court may authorize a plaintiff to prosecute an action in federal court				
19	without prepayment of fees or security therefor, if the plaintiff submits an affidavit showing that				
20	he or she is unable to pay such fees. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Plaintiff has submitted the required				

he or she is unable to pay such tees. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). Plaintiff has submitted the required documentation, and it is evident from his application that his assets and income are insufficient to enable plaintiff to pay the filing fees.

Viewing plaintiff's application in isolation, it thus appears that he should be allowed to proceed in forma pauperis. A court is under a continuing duty, however, to dismiss a case whenever it determines that the action "(i) is frivolous or malicious; (ii) fails to state a claim on which relief may be granted; or (iii) seeks monetary relief against a defendant who is immune from such relief." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i)–(iii).

Plaintiff's two-sentence complaint concerning an IRS lien against plaintiff's social security benefits does not appear to state any cognizable claims. It is also unclear what relief is requested. Accordingly, the complaint must be dismissed. *Lopez v. Smith*, 203 F.3d 1122, 1126

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(9th Cir. 2000)(holding that a district court is required "to dismiss an in forma pauperis complaint that fails to state a claim").

For the foregoing reasons, this order **GRANTS** plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis, and simultaneously **DISMISSES** the complaint with leave to amend. This means plaintiff may file an amended complaint as long as it is received in the Clerk's effice by **DECEMBER 1, 2005**. Otherwise, judgment will be entered accordingly. The amended complaint shall comply with the following requests:

1. Please include "Case No. C 05-04663 WHA" in the caption.

- 2. Please write a simple statement why you believe a federal court has the power to decide this particular case, *i.e.*, subject-matter jurisdiction.
- 3. Please write a simple statement explaining why you believe the Northern District of California is the proper venue for this action.
- 4. Please explain what you believe the defendant did to you that was unlawful.
 - 5. Please explain what laws you believe were violated by defendants' conduct.
- More information on what needs to be in a complaint can be found in the Pro Se

Handbook, which is available on the district court's website, http://www.cand.uscourts.gov.

Plaintiff may also find form complaints for many areas of the law in nearly any law library.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 16, 2005

Ahme

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2