

1 Henry C. Su (SBN 211202; suh@howrey.com)
 Marilee C. Wang (SBN 232432; wangm@howrey.com)
 2 Howrey LLP
 1950 University Avenue, 4th Floor
 3 East Palo Alto, CA 94303
 4 Telephone: (650) 798-3500
 Facsimile: (650) 798-3600

5
6 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

7 Marsha S. Edney (D.C. Bar)
 Daniel Riess (Texas Bar)
 8 Scott Risner (Michigan Bar)
 United States Department of Justice
 9 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
 20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
 10 Washington, D.C. 20530
 11 Telephone: (202) 514-2395
 Facsimile: (202) 616-8470
 12 marsha.edney@usdoj.gov

13 Attorneys for Defendants

14
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

16 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA: SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17 AMERICAN COUNCIL OF THE BLIND, a
 District of Columbia non-profit corporation,
 18 SCARLETT MILES, MARVELENA
 QUESADA, ARLENE DOHERTY, ALICE
 19 MARJORIE DONOVAN, BILLIE JEAN
 KEITH, GEORGE P. SMITH, MARY ANN
 20 ALEXANDER, AND LAURA M. RUSSELL on
 21 behalf of themselves and all others similarly
 situated,

22 Plaintiffs,

23 vs.

24 MICHAEL ASTRUE, Commissioner of the
 25 Social Security Administration, in his official
 capacity, and SOCIAL SECURITY
 26 ADMINISTRATION,

27 Defendants.
28

Case No. C 05-04696 WHA

CLASS ACTION

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITATION FOR
DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS**

STIPULATION

WHEREAS dispositive motions in this case are due to be filed no later than Thursday, June 18, 2009 (Docket No. 152);

WHEREAS the page limitation for dispositive motions is 25 pages pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-2(b), the page limitation for opposition memoranda is 25 pages pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-4(b), and the page limitation for reply memoranda is 15 pages pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-4(b);

WHEREAS both parties intend to seek summary judgment on all issues in this complex case;

WHEREAS to ensure that both parties have the opportunity to fully address and properly explain to the Court the substance of the issues, and to allow both parties to fully and adequately respond to the issues raised in the dispositive motions, the parties seek to extend the page limitations for dispositive motions in this case, including the response and reply briefs;

ACCORDINGLY, pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-10 and 7-11, the parties through their respective attorneys of record hereby stipulate to, and respectfully propose that this Court allow the parties to extend the page limitation to ³⁵50 pages for dispositive motions and opposition memoranda, and to ¹⁵30 pages for reply memoranda.

Dated: June 11, 2009

By: /s/ Marilee C. Wang
Marilee C. Wang

Howrey LLP
1950 University Avenue, 4th Floor
East Palo Alto, CA 94303
Telephone: (650) 798-3500
Facsimile: (650) 798-3600
wangm@howrey.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Dated: June 11, 2009

By: /s/ Marsha S. Edney
Marsha S. Edney

United States Department of Justice
Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch
20 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20530
(202) 514-2395 (telephone)
(202) 616-8470 (fax)
marsha.edney@usdoj.gov


Attorneys for Defendants

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, and for good cause appearing, it is SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 15, 2009



Filer's Attestation

I, Marilee C. Wang, am the ECF User whose identification and password are being used to file this Stipulation to Exceed the Page Limitation. Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X(B), I attest under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of the document has been obtained from Marsha S. Edney.

Dated: June 11, 2009

By: /s/ Marilee C. Wang
Marilee C. Wang