

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JEROME L. GRIMES, et al.,

No. C-05-4722 MMC

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER DISMISSING COMPLAINT;
DENYING APPLICATION TO PROCEED
IN FORMA PAUPERIS**

v.

JOSEPH HUANG, et al.,

Defendants

Before the Court is plaintiff Jerome L. Grimes' application to proceed in forma pauperis and his complaint¹ against 114 individuals, both of which were filed on November 15, 2005.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), where a plaintiff seeks to proceed in forma pauperis, the district court must dismiss the complaint if the court determines that the complaint is frivolous or malicious, or that the plaintiff has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i), (ii).

Here, plaintiff's complaint falls directly within the category of pleadings identified in § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i) and (ii). Plaintiff's claims are based upon, *inter alia*, defendants being "organ smugglers," defendants having engaged in the "Oklahoma City bombing" and being "9/11/01 bombers," defendants' committing "premediated STD," and defendants' being

¹Plaintiff also purports to bring claim(s) on behalf of three deceased individuals.

1 involved in a “highly sophisticated old aged natural death frame up.” Moreover, plaintiff
2 does not allege any cognizable basis for a federal district court to assert jurisdiction over
3 his claim(s), and no such basis is apparent from the allegations. Finally, there is no
4 indication plaintiff can cure the above-referenced deficiencies.

5 Accordingly, plaintiff’s complaint is hereby DISMISSED, pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
6 § 1915(e)(2)(B), and plaintiff’s application to proceed in forma pauperis is hereby DENIED
7 as moot.

8 The Clerk shall close the file.

9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: November 29, 2005


MAXINE M. CHESNEY
United States District Judge