1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION		CASE NO. 05-CV-01699 CRB
12			MDL No. 1699
13			
14	This Document Relates To:		[PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO
15	Allen, Shirley Bass, Sr., Will	06-2646 CRB 06-0087 CRB	WITHDRAW
16	Belcher, Pearly	06-2081 CRB	
	Benham, Jean M Boatwright, James M.	06-1689 CRB 06-2443 CRB	
17	Bush, Louise Clawson, Timothy, et al.	06-2431 CRB 06-4046 CRB	
18	Click, Paul	05-4779 CRB	
19	Counts, Junior Croft, Richard	06-2081 CRB 06-1901 CRB	
20	Duke, Robert C., et al. Goins, Kay F.	07-0056 CRB 08-1858 CRB	
21	Halley, Jonathan	05-4781 CRB	
21	Hare, Clarice Haynes, Patrick	05-4735 CRB 06-2443 CRB	
22	Hicks, Mary, et al.	06-6081 CRB	
23	Lopez, Juana	06-2101 CRB	
23	Mackey, Anita P. Nolan, Lecia	06-7628 CRB 06-2434 CRB	
24	Olmsted, Clara	08-1858 CRB	
25	Perkins, Merlvin Ralph, Heathere	05-4781 CRB 06-2436 CRB	
26	Simbulan, Bobby Jo	06-2101 CRB 06-0087 CRB	
	Sousan, John Taylor, Martha	06-0087 CRB	
27	White, James et al.	06-2864 CRB	
28			J
	[PROPOSED] SUPPLEMENTAL ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO WITHDRAW M:05_CV_01699_CRR		

WITHDRAW - M:05-CV-01699-CRB

On August 3, 2009, this Court issued an Order granting Plaintiffs' Counsel's motion to withdraw, filed on July 30, 2009, Docket No. 3096. *See* Docket No. 3106 ("the Withdrawal Order"). All of the plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Order are subject to Pretrial Order No. 31, Docket No. 2571 ("PTO 31") as a function of either Pretrial Order No. 31A, Docket No. 3023 or Pretrial Order No. 31B, Docket No. 3094 (collectively, "the PTO 31 Orders"). Moreover, sixteen of the plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Order are subject to the PTO 31 Compliance Motion No. 3 Pfizer filed on July 31, 2009, Docket No. 3100.

Because Plaintiffs' Counsel's motion to withdraw was not accompanied by a simultaneous appearance of substitute counsel or agreement of the party to appear *pro se*, and to minimize any delay Plaintiffs' Counsel's withdrawal might cause on the conduct of the litigation, the Court hereby issues this Supplemental Order pursuant to Northern District Civil Local Rule 11-5(b), making Plaintiffs' Counsel's withdrawal conditional on the following:

- 1. If Plaintiffs' Counsel has not already done so, Plaintiffs' Counsel must provide notice to all plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Order that they are subject to the PTO 31 Orders and inform them that failure to comply with PTO 31 will result in their cases being dismissed with prejudice.
- Pfizer may serve the plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Order with any pleadings in this litigation, including any PTO 31 compliance motions or motions to dismiss, by electronically filing its motion papers via ECF.
- 3. Plaintiffs' Counsel shall forward any such pleadings to plaintiffs subject to the Withdrawal Motion until the appearance of substitute counsel or a notice that the plaintiff wishes to appear *pro se*.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 18, 2009



WITHDRAW – M:05-CV-01699-CRB