1	BRAD W. BLOCKER (SBN 124154) BARRY A. CARR (SBN 110289)			
2	CARR & FERRELL LLP 2200 Geng Road			
3	Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 812-3400 Facsimile: (650) 812-3444			
4				
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff LYNCH MARKS, LLC			
6	LINCH WIMMS, LLC			
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA (SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION)		
10				
11	LYNCH MARKS, LLC,	CASE NO. 05-5178 BZ		
12	Plaintiff,	I VNCII MADIZO I I C 20 DEDI V TO		
13	LYNCH MARKS, LLC.'S REPLY COUNTERCLAIM			
14	VERMONSTER, LLC,			
15	Defendants.			
16	VERMONSTER, LLC,			
17	Counterclaim Plaintiff,			
18	. V.			
19	LYNCH MARKS, LLC,			
20	Counterclaim Defendant.			
21				
22	Plaintiff and counter-defendant, Lynch Marl	ks, LLC ("Lynch Marks") replies as follows to		
23	the Counterclaim dated February 27, 2006 ("Counterclaim") of Defendant and Counterclaimant			
24	Vermonster ("Vermonster").			
25	1. Admitted.			
26	2. Admitted.			
27	3. Lynch Marks admits this Court has s	ubject matter jurisdiction. Except as expressly		
28	admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and ever	y allegation in Paragraph 3 of the Counterclaim.		
24011	_1_			

- 4. Lynch Marks admits that Vermonster designed and developed an application for the creation of FedEx shipping labels ("PSlShip v1) with Lynch Marks' input and direction. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 4 of the Counterclaim.
- 5. Lynch Marks is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 5 of the Counterclaim, in that the phrase "core components" is vague and ambiguous, and on that basis, denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 5.
 - 6. Admitted.
- 7. Lynch Marks admits that the parties agreed to the payment schedule for PSlShip development performed pursuant to the March 11, 2003 Term Sheet. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 7 of the Counterclaim.
- 8. Lynch Marks is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 8 of the Counterclaim, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained therein.
- 9. Lynch Marks admits that Vermonster was tasked to design and develop a module to import and process EDI-formatted electronic invoices from FedEx, pursuant to an agreement with Lynch Marks, but that Vermonster failed to design and develop the module as agreed. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 9 of the Counterclaim.
- 10. Lynch Marks admits that it paid Vermonster \$18,000 for the Fed Ex EDI-processing module, and agreed to pay a 15 percent royalty pursuant to the March 11, 2003 Term Sheet.

 Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 10 of the Counterclaim.
- 11. Lynch Marks admits that Vermonster endeavored to build the Fed Ex EDI processing module, but that the module ultimately did not function as promised.
- 12. Lynch Marks is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Counterclaim, in that the phrase "modifications and enhancements" is vague and ambiguous, and on that basis denies each and every allegation

1	contained therein.		
2	13.	Lynch Marks is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to	
3	the truth of the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Counterclaim, in that the phrase "core		
4	components" is vague and ambiguous, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained		
5	therein.		
6	14.	Admitted	
7	15.	Admitted.	
8	16.	Admitted.	
9	17.	Denied.	
0	18.	Admitted.	
1	19.	Lynch Marks admits that in the fall of 2003, Vermonster proposed and discussed	
2	with Lynch Marks a completely new version of PSIShip. Except as expressly admitted herein,		
3	Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 19 of the Counterclaim.		
4	20.	Lynch Marks is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to	
5	the truth of th	e allegations in paragraph 20 of the Counterclaim, in that the phrase "core	
6	components"	is vague and ambiguous, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained	
7	therein.		
8	21.	Lynch Marks admits that there were discussions between it and Vermonster relating	
9	to a PS Ship v	version 3. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every	
20	allegation in	Paragraph 21 of the Counterclaim.	
21	22.	Lynch Marks is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to	
22	the truth of th	e allegations in paragraph 22 of the Counterclaim, in that the phrase "core	
23	components"	is vague and ambiguous, and on that basis denies each and every allegation contained	
24	therein.		
25	23.	Denied.	
26	24.	Denied.	
27	25.	Denied	

28

26.

Lynch Marks admits that a version of Label Server v.3 was installed in August 2004

at a client, but that version did not include any support for Fed Ex. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 26 of the Counterclaim.

- 27. Lynch Marks admits that it agreed to pay a revised total of \$135,000, plus a 15 percent royalty pursuant to the March 11, 2003 Term Sheet in order for Vermonster to complete development Label Server v.3 by October 2004, which Vermonster failed to do. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 27 of the Counterclaim.
- 28. Lynch Marks admits that Vermonster has delivered multiple versions of Label Server v.3, but that those versions did not perform as required under the parties' agreement. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 21 of the Counterclaim.
- 29. Lynch Marks admits that it has not paid Vermonster the entire \$135,000 for Label Server v3, in that Label Server v3 did not and does not function as Vermonster represented. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 29 of the Counterclaim.
- 30. Lynch Marks admits that between November 22, 2005 and December 14, 2005, Vermonster sent Lynch Marks emails regarding Label Server v3. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 30 of the Counterclaim.
 - 31. Denied.
- 32. Denied.
 - 33. Denied.
- 34. Denied.
 - 35. Denied.
 - 36. Admitted.
- 37. Lynch Marks admits that Vermonster has an obligation to provide ongoing support services for the applications it developed for Lynch Marks. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 37 of the Counterclaim.
 - 38. Admitted.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

50. Denied.

25 26

Lynch Marks repeats its responses to all preceding paragraphs as though fully set 51. forth herein by this reference.

27

52. Lynch Marks admits that the parties agreed to the payment schedule for EDI Server development pursuant to the March 11, 2003 Term Sheet. Except as expressly admitted herein,

1	69.	Denied.	
2	70.	Denied.	
3	71.	Lynch Marks admits that in paragraph 71 of the Counterclaim, Vermonster alleges to	
4	seek rescission of the Label Server v3 contract, voiding of the Assignment of Intellectual Property		
5	Rights, and return of the full and exclusive intellectual property rights in Label Server v3 to		
6	Vermonster, but specifically denies Vermonster is entitled to such relief. Except as expressly		
7	admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 71 of the		
8	Counterclaim.		
9	72.	Lynch Marks repeats its responses to all preceding paragraphs as though fully set	
10	forth herein by this reference.		
11	73.	Admitted.	
12	74.	Admitted.	
13	75.	Admitted.	
14	76.	Admitted.	
15	77.	Admitted.	
16	78.	Admitted.	
17	79.	Admitted.	
18	80.	Denied.	
19	81.	Lynch Marks admits that Vermonster seeks the relief claimed in paragraph 81 of the	
20	Complaint, but specifically denies Vermonster is entitled to such relief. Except as expressly		
21	admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every allegation in Paragraph 81 of the		
22	Counterclaim		
23	82.	Lynch Marks repeats its responses to all preceding paragraphs as though fully set	
24	forth herein by this reference.		
25	83.	Denied.	
26	84.	Lynch Marks admits that Vermonster has requested that it not share the specification	
27	with third parties. Except as expressly admitted herein, Lynch Marks denies each and every		
28	allegation in Paragraph 84 of the Counterclaim.		

1	85.	Denied.
2	86.	Denied.
3	87.	Denied.
4	88.	Lynch Marks denies all other allegations in the Counterclaim not hereinbefore
5	admitted, co	ntroverted or denied.
6	89.	Answering the "Prayer" of the Counterclaim, Lynch Marks specifically denies that
7	Vermonster s	suffered damages and denies that Vermonster is entitled to any relief against Lynch
8	Marks, and denies each and every allegation in the Counterclaim not otherwise addressed.	
9	FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
10	As a	separate and first affirmative defense to the Counterclaim, and to each claim for relief
11	against Lync	h Marks set forth therein, Lynch Marks alleges that the Counterclaim fails to state facts
12	sufficient to support any claim upon which relief can be granted against Lynch Marks.	
13	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
14	As a separate and second affirmative defense to the Counterclaim, and to each claim for	
15	relief against Lynch Marks set forth therein, Lynch Marks alleges upon information and belief that	
16	Vermonster is barred in whole or in part because Vermonster has failed to mitigate its damages, if	
17	any.	
18		THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	As a	separate and third affirmative defense to the Counterclaim and to each claim for relief
20	against Lync	h Marks set forth therein, Lynch Marks alleges upon information and belief that
21	Vermonster is barred in whole or in part by the doctrine of unclean hands.	
22	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE	
23	As a	separate and fourth affirmative defense to the Counterclaim, and to each claim for
24	relief against	Lynch Marks set forth therein, Lynch Marks alleges upon information and belief that
25	Vermonster is barred in whole in part by the applicable statutes of limitations.	
26		FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
27	As a	separate and fifth affirmative defense to the Counterclaim, and to each claim for relief
28	against Lync	h Marks set forth therein, Lynch Marks alleges upon information and belief that

1	Vermonster is barred in whole in part because Vermonster has waived its claims asserted in the		
2	Counterclaim.		
3	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
4	As a separate and sixth affirmative defense to the Counterclaim, and to each claim for relief		
5	against Lynch Marks set forth therein, Lynch Marks alleges upon information and belief that		
6	Vermonster is estopped from asserting its claims.		
7	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
8	As a separate and seventh affirmative defense to the Counterclaim, and to each claim for		
9	relief against Lynch Marks set forth therein, Lynch Marks alleges upon information and belief that		
10	Vermonster breached its obligations under any agreement or contract governing the parties'		
11	relationship, thereby discharging any obligations of Lynch Marks to Vermonster.		
12	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE		
13	As a separate and eighth affirmative defense to the Counterclaim, and to each claim for		
14	relief against Lynch Marks set forth therein, Lynch Marks alleges upon information and belief that		
15	the Counterclaim is barred, in whole or part, by the Statute of Frauds.		
16	Lynch Marks reserves its right to supplement its Reply herein, including but not limited to		
17	adding additional affirmative defenses after further discovery and investigation has been conducted.		
18	WHEREFORE, LYNCH MARKS prays as follows:		
19	1. That Vermonster take nothing from Lynch Marks by reason of the Counterclaim and		
20	that judgment be entered in favor of Lynch Marks, dismissing the counterclaim in its entirety and		
21	with prejudice;		
22	2. For attorneys' fees and costs incurred herein if, and to the fullest extent permitted by		
23	law; and		
24			
25			
26			
27	///		
28	///		

1	3.	For such and further relief as	the Court deems just and proper
2	Dated: March	n 21, 2006	CARR & FERRELL LLP
3			
4			By _/S/ BRAD W. BLOCKER
5			Attorneys for Plaintiff LYNCH MARKS, LLC
6			LINCH WHICKS, LLC
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
21	•		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

JURY DEMAND Pursuant to Fed. Rule of Civ. Pro. 38(b), LYNCH MARKS demands a jury trial of all such claims subject to a jury trial. Dated: March 21, 2006 CARR & FERRELL LLP By ___//S// BRAD W. BLOCKER Attorneys for Plaintiff LYNCH MARKS, LLC