Apple Computer Inc. v. Burst.com, Inc.

outer In	c. v. Burst.com, Inc.				Doc
	Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP	Document 112	Filed 06/08/2007	Page 1 of 6	
1	PARKER C. FOLSE, III				
2	(WA Bar No. 24895- <i>Pro Hac</i> pfolse@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY, L.L.F	,			
3	1201 Third Avenue, Suite 38 Seattle, WA 98101				
4	Telephone: (206) 516-3860 Facsimile: (206) 516-3883				
5	(additional attorneys listed o	n signature page)			
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant and BURST.COM, INC.	Counterclaimant			
8	MATTHEW D. POWERS (E matthew.powers@weil.com	Bar No. 104795)			
9	NICHOLAS A. BROWN (Ba nicholas.brown@weil.com	ar No. 198210)			
10	MICHAEL D. POWELL (Ba mike.powell@weil.com	ar No. 202850)			
11	LEERON G. KALAY (Bar N leeron.kalay@weil.com				
12	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANC Silicon Valley Office				
13	201 Redwood Shores Parkwa Redwood Shores, CA 94065				
14 15	Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100				
16	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Co APPLE COMPUTER, INC.	ounterdefendant			
17		UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT		
18	NO	ORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	Δ	
19		SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION		
20	APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,		Case No. 06-CV-0	0019 MHP	
21	Plaintiff an Counterde			AND [PROPOSED] DULING ORDER	
22	V.			L (2007	
23 24	BURST.COM, INC.,			January 4, 2006 February 26, 2008	
24	Defendant Countercla		Hon. Marilyn Hall	Patel	
26					
27					
28					
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] REV SCHEDULING ORDER	VISED		Case No. 06-CV-000.	19 MHP

1 The Court entered the current Scheduling Order on September 26, 2006 (docket entry no. 2 58). Page 2 of that order states: "The parties further agree that in the event the Court issues a 3 claim construction ruling more than 60 days after the claim construction hearing [*i.e.*, after April 4 9, 2007], or in the event of other currently unanticipated scheduling changes, they will meet and 5 confer regarding the schedule and submit a revised scheduling proposal to the Court." The 6 Court's claim construction ruling was issued on May 8, 2007 (docket entry no. 104). Pursuant to 7 8 the above-quoted language, the parties have agreed on a revised schedule. The proposed revised 9 schedule does not change the final pretrial conference or the trial dates. The parties respectfully 10 request that the Court enter the stipulated revised schedule, as follows:

DATE/DEADLINE	EVENT
July 27, 2007	Fact discovery to be substantially completed (as
	discussed at Case Management Conference)
August 3, 2007	Mediation deadline
August 10, 2007	Disclosure of expert witnesses, service of reports, and production of documents regarding expert testimony of
	issues as to which each party bears the burden of proo (FRCP 26(a)(2))
August 31, 2007	Deadline by which all burden-of-proof experts must be produced for deposition
September 14, 2007	Disclosure of expert witnesses, service of reports, and
•	production of documents regarding expert testimony i response to disclosures regarding burden-of-proof
	experts (FRCP 26(a)(2))
September 21, 2007	Deadline for completing clean-up fact discovery (as
,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,, ,,	discussed at the Case Management Conference)
October 5, 2007	Deadline by which all responsive experts must be
	produced for deposition
October 5, 2007	Deadline for completing expert discovery
October 12, 2007	Deadline for filing dispositive motions
November 9, 2007	Due date for responses to dispositive motions

11

DATE/DEADLINE	EVENT	
November 21, 2007	Due date for reply briefs in support of dispositive motions	
, 2007	Hearing on dispositive motions.	
(approximately 2 weeks after		
reply briefs on dispositive notions)	NOT LATER THAN DECEMBER 17, 2007 AT 2:00 P.M.	
January 8, 2008	 (1) File joint final pretrial conference statement and proposed order; (2) File exhibit list and witness list, and exchange exhibits and other trial material; (3) Serve and file requests for voir dire questions, jury instruction, and verdict forms; (4) Serve and file statements designating deposition 	
	excerpts, interrogatory answers, and responses to requests for admission to be used at trial;	
January 22, 2008	(1) Serve objections to admission of exhibits and deposition testimony	
	(2) Serve and file counterdesignations to deposition	
	designations	
	(3) Serve and file motions in limine	
February 5, 2008	 (1) File objections to counterdesignations and any other objections requiring action by the Court (2) Serve and file oppositions to motions in limine 	
February 13, 2008 at 2:30 p.m.	Final pretrial conference	
February 26, 2008 at 8:30 a.m.	Trial begins	
As reflected in the previou	usly entered Scheduling Order (docket entry no. 58), the parties	
have agreed as follows regarding	written and deposition discovery:	
a. The parties will adhere to the numerical and temporal limits on deposition		
discovery in Fed. R	R. Civ. P. 30, except that each side may identify one witness	
whom it may depos	se for up to two days of seven hours each (thus giving each	
party a total of elev	ven days of depositions). The parties reserve all rights to object	
STIPULATED [PROPOSED] REVISED SCHEDULING ORDER	Case No. 06-CV-00019 MHP	

1	to particular deposition	ns, including the right to object that the particular witness			
2	chosen by the other sid	chosen by the other side for the two-day deposition should not be deposed for two			
3	days. The depositions	days. The depositions of expert witnesses shall not count against the numerical			
4	limitations of Fed. R.	limitations of Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(a)(2).			
5	b. Every seven hours or t	Every seven hours or fraction thereof of deposition testimony pursuant to Fed. R.			
6 7		Civ. P. 30(b)(6) shall count as one day of deposition testimony for purposes of			
7 8					
8 9		Fed. R. Civ. P. $30(a)(2)$, except that with respect to all depositions taken pursuant			
10	to Burst's Notice of Deposition Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6) to Plaintiff Apple				
11	Computer, Inc., dated June 16, 2006, the parties agree that every segment of three				
12	and a half $(3 \frac{1}{2})$ hours	and a half $(3 \frac{1}{2})$ hours or less of deposition testimony taken pursuant to Fed. R.			
13	Civ. P. 30(b)(6) shall o	Civ. P. $30(b)(6)$ shall count as one half (1/2) day of deposition testimony for			
14	purposes of Fed. R. Ci	iv. P. 30(a)(2).			
15	c. The parties will adhere	The parties will adhere to the numerical limitations on interrogatories set forth in			
16	Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a).	Fed. R. Civ. P. 33(a).			
17					
18	Dated: June 8, 2007	Respectfully submitted,			
19		/s/ Dorker C. Folso III			
20		/s/ Parker C. Folse III PARKER C. FOLSE III (WA Bar No. 24895 - Admitted Pro Hac Vice)			
21		pfolse@susmangodfrey.com IAN B. CROSBY (WA Bar No. 28461 - Admitted			
22		Pro Hac Vice) icrosby@susmangodfrey.com			
23 24		FLOYD G. SHORT (WA Bar No. 21632- Admitted Pro Hac Vice)			
24 25	fshort@susmangodfrey.com SUSMAN GODFREY, L.L.P.				
26		1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3800 Seattle, Washington 98101-3000			
27		(206) 516-3880 Tel. (206) 516-3883 Fax			
28		SPENCER HOSIE (CA Bar No. 101777) shosie@hosielaw.com			
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] REVISED SCHEDULING ORDER	Case No. 06-CV-00019 MHP			

	Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP	Document 112	Filed 06/08/2007	Page 5 of 6	
1				N. 079520)	
1 2	BRUCE WECKER (CA Bar No. 078530) bwecker@hosielaw.com HOSIE McARTHUR LLP				
2		Or	ne Market, 22nd Floo n Francisco, CA 941	or	
4		(4)	15) 247-6000 Tel. 15) 247-6001 Fax		
5		MICH	AEL F. HEIM (TX Bar No. 9380923 -	
6		LESL		X Bar No. 0784736 -	
7		HEIM 60	Imitted Pro Hac Vice , PAYNE & CHOR 0 Travis Street, Suite	ÚSH, L.L.P.	
8 9		(71	buston, TX 77002 13) 221-2000 Tel. 13) 221.2021 Fax		
10			ERT J. YORIO (CA NDALL GARD (CA		
11		COLB CARR	Y B. SPRINGER (C & FERRELL LLP	CA Bar No. 214868)	
12		Pa	00 Geng Road lo Alto, CA 94303 50) 812-3400 Tel.		
13		(65	50) 812-3444		
14			RNEYS FOR DEFE T.COM, INC.		
15					
16			/s/ Nicholas A. Bro	own	
17		matthe	THEW D. POWERS w.powers@weil.com	m	
18		nichol	OLAS A. BROWN as.brown@weil.com	Ì	
19 20		mike.p	AEL D. POWELL (owell@weil.com		
20		leeron	ON G. KALAY (Ba .kalay@weil.com , GOTSHAL & MA	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
22		Silicon	n Valley Office edwood Shores Park		
23		Redwo	ood Shores, CA 940 none: (650) 802-300	065	
24			nile: (650) 802-3100		
25			eys for Plaintiff and E COMPUTER, INC		
26			, - · ·		
27					
28					
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] REV SCHEDULING ORDER	USED 4		Case No. 06-CV-00019 MHP	

	Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP	Document 112	Filed 06/08/2007	Page 6 of 6
1	PURSUANT TO ST	IPULATION IT IS	SO ORDERED	
2			So ondered.	
3	DATED THIS	day of	2007	
4		_ uu j or	, 20071	
5				
6			E HONORABLE MAR ITED STATES DISTR	RILYN HALL PATEL
7		UN	IILD STATLS DISTR	
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	STIPULATED [PROPOSED] RE SCHEDULING ORDER	VISED	5	Case No. 06-CV-00019 MI