

1 MATTHEW D. POWERS (Bar No. 104795)
 matthew.powers@weil.com
 2 GARLAND T. STEPHENS (admitted N.D.C.A.,
 Texas Bar No. 24053910)
 3 garland.stephens@weil.com
 NICHOLAS A. BROWN (Bar No. 198210)
 4 nicholas.brown@weil.com
 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
 5 Silicon Valley Office
 201 Redwood Shores Parkway
 6 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
 Telephone: (650) 802-3000
 7 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100

8 Attorneys for Plaintiff
 APPLE COMPUTER, INC.
 9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,
 14 Plaintiff,
 15 v.
 16 BURST.COM, INC.,
 17 Defendant.

Case No. C 06-0019 MHP

**APPLE'S MISCELLANEOUS
 ADMINISTRATIVE REQUEST TO
 INCREASE THE PAGE LIMIT OF ITS
 REPLY BRIEF FOR ITS MOTION
 FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT OF
 INVALIDITY**

Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel

Complaint Filed: January 4, 2006
 Trial Date: February 26, 2008

1 Pursuant to Northern District of California Local Rule 7-11 and 7-12, Apple
2 hereby moves to increase the page limit for its reply brief in support of its Motion for Summary
3 Judgment of Invalidity from 15 pages to 18 pages. Northern District of California Civil Local
4 Rule 7-3(c) states that reply briefs or memoranda may not exceed fifteen pages of text. Apple
5 requests this Court increase the page limit by three pages, from 15 pages to 18 pages. Burst.com,
6 Inc. does not oppose this request. A stipulation to that effect is attached hereto. The increase in
7 the page limit is warranted because Burst does not oppose the request, because the requested
8 increase is small (3 pages), because the Court issued its claim construction order after Apple filed
9 its motion, and because the motion addresses two prior art references and 11 claims.

10 Dated: June 20, 2007

WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

11 By: /s/ Nicholas A. Brown
12 Nicholas A. Brown
13 Attorney for Plaintiff Apple
14 Computer, Inc.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28