	Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP	Document 142	Filed 08/24/2007	Page 1 of 3		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MATTHEW D. POWERS (E matthew.powers@weil.com GARLAND T. STEPHENS Texas Bar No. 24053910) garland.stephens@weil.com NICHOLAS A. BROWN (Banicholas.brown@weil.com WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANC Silicon Valley Office 201 Redwood Shores Parkwa Redwood Shores, CA 94065 Telephone: (650) 802-3000 Facsimile: (650) 802-3100 Attorneys for Plaintiff APPLE COMPUTER, INC.	(admitted N.D.C.A., ar No. 198210) GES LLP ay				
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
11	NO	ORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	A		
12	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
13	APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,		Case No. 06-CV-0	00019 MHP		
14	Plaintiff,		DECLARATION BROWN IN SUP	OF NICHOLAS A.		
15	V.		STIPULATION DEADLINES			
16	BURST.COM, INC.,		DEADLINES			
17	Defendant		Complaint filed: Trial Date:	January 4, 2006 February 26, 2008		
18 19			Hon. Marilyn Hall	Patel		
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
				Case No. 06-CV-00019 MHP		
	BROWN DECL. ISO STIP. EXTENDING SV1:\277879\01\5Y#V01!.DOC\15096.0006	DEADLINES		Dookoto luotio		

Dockets.Justia.com

	Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP Document 142 Filed 08/24/2007 Page 2 of 3						
1	I, Nicholas A. Brown, declare:						
2	1. I am a member of the State Bar of California and a member of the law firm						
3	of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, counsel of record for Apple Computer, Inc. in this case. I have						
4	personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration, and if called upon, could and would						
5	testify competently thereto.						
6	2. In accordance with Northern District of California Civil Local Rule 6-2 and						
7	7-12, the parties in the above-captioned matter stipulate to extend the deadline of Apple's Brief in						
8	Reply to Burst's Opposition to Apple's Second Motion for Summary Judgment of Invalidity ("the						
9	reply brief") from September 4, 2007 to September 6, 2007.						
10	3. The reason for this short extension is that currently the reply brief will be						
11	due immediately after the Labor Day holiday.						
12	4. The following are all previous time modifications in this case, whether by						
13	stipulation or Court order:						
14	• D.I. 8, 9 – extending the time for Burst to respond to Apple's complaint from						
15	January 26, 2006 to February 17, 2006.						
16	• D.I. 11, 12 – extending the time for Burst to respond to Apple's complaint						
17	from February 17, 2006 to March 20, 2006.						
18	• D.I. 13 – extending the time for Burst to respond to Apple's complaint from						
19	March 20, 2006 to April 19, 2006						
20	• D.I. 14, 16 – extending case management hearing from April 3, 2006 to May 8,						
21	2006.						
22	• D.I. 49, 51 – extending the time for Apple to respond to Burst's counterclaims						
23	from May 11, 2006 to May 18, 2006.						
24	• D.I. 112, 118 – revising scheduling order.						
25	• D.I. 139, 140 – extending the hearing for the motions for summary judgment						
26	from September 12, 2007 to September 18, 2007.						
27	5. Extending the deadline for the reply brief will not have any effect on the						
28	remaining schedule for the case.						
	BROWN DECL. ISO STIP. EXTENDING DEADLINES 1						

1	Executed on August 24, 2007, at Redwood Shores, California.					
2	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America					
3	that the foregoing is true and correct.					
4						
5	/s/ Nicholas A. Brown					
6						
7						
8						
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						
	BROWN DECL. ISO STIP. EXTENDING DEADLINES 2	HP				