# Exhibit G

á.	Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP	Document	157-	-14	Filed 09/06/2007	Page 2 of 8	3
API	LE VS. BURST.COM	KANE K	RAI	MER		AUGUS	ST 7, 2007
						1 (Pages	$1 \pm 0.4$
		1	ł			i (lageb	1 CO 1)
		1					3
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT CO	URT	1		APPEARANO	CES	
2	SAN ER ANCISCO DIVISION	IA	2		(Continued)		
4	SAINT MINCISCO DI VISION						
5			5	THE	VIDEOGRAPHER:		
6	APPLE COMPUTER, INC.,		6	D	AN MOTTAZ VIDEC	PRODUCTIC	NS. LLC
7	Plaintiff and Counterdefendant,		7	В	Y: STEVE LEFTWIC	H	
8	vs. Case No. 3:06-CV-00019 MHP		8	18	82 Second Street, Suite	202	
9	BURST.COM, INC.,		9	S	an Francisco, CA 9410	)5	
11	Defendant and Counterclaimant,			(4	415) 624-1300		
12	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.		12				
13			13				
14	DEPOSITION OF KANE KRAMER		14	ALSO	PRESENT: JAYNA	WHITT	
15	CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES O	NLY	15				
16	TUESDAY, AUGUST 7, 2007		16				
17	PAGES 1 to 299		17				
18	DEDODTED DV. I OLUSE MADIE SOUSOUDE	S COD NO 2575	18				
20	Certified LiveNote Reporter	5, CSK NO. 5575	19 bo				
21			£0 01				
22			22				
23	CONFIDENTIAL	-	23				
24	ATTORNEYS EYES	UNLY	24				
25			25				
		2	ļ				4
1	A P P E A R A N C E S		1		INDEX		
2			2				
3			3				
4	FOR PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERDE	FENDANT:	4	EXAM	IINATION BY:	PAG	E
5	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LI	_P	5	MR. E	NGEK	7	
ю 7	ATTORNEV AT LAW		7	WIK. D	KOWIN	278	
8	201 Redwood Shores Parkway		8				
9	Redwood Shores, CA 94065		9				
10	(650) 802-3000		10	EXHIE	BITS:	PAGE	
11	nicholas.brown@weil.com		11	362 E-	-mail dated Wednesday, 2	20 June 2007	24
12			12	363 St	ubpoena in a civil case	28	
13			<u>д</u> З	364 U.	.S. patent No. 4,667,088	57	
14 15	EOD DECENIDANT AND COLINTED	Υ <b>ΑΤΝ</b> ΛΑΝΤΈ.	114 h 5	305 Ha	and-drawn document	162 First MD2	140
16	HFIM PAVNE & CHORUSHILP	LAIMANI.	16	nlav		inst wr 5	102
17	BY: ERIC J. ENGER.		17	367 D	ocument production Nos.	KRAMER 0047	5 183
18	ATTORNEY AT LAW		18	to 4	76		
19	6710 Chase Tower		19	368 D	ocument production Nos.	KRAMER 0034	6 226
20	600 Travis		20	to 34	47		
21	Houston, TX 77002		21	369 Do	ocument production Nos.	KRAMER 0001	2 229
22	(713) 221-2000		22	to 1'	70	TTD 11	• •
23	eenger@hpcllp.com		23 01	- 370 Do	ocument production Nos.	KRAMER 0017	2 255
24 25			24 25	נס 1 371 סי	74 Acument production No. 4	ረ ወላ ለ ድ ወሳ ነ ቀላ	260
ر ے			r J				200
GR	GROSSMAN & COTTER (650) 324-1181 07AUG0706						

: 11

**APPLE VS. BURST.COM** 

#### **KANE KRAMER**

# AUGUST 7, 2007

Page 3 of 8

30 (Pages 117 to 120)

# 117

119

what other device? 1 Actually in that particular part, it 1 2 2 A. Well, it could be from a memory store which, actually just says a very short time, quickly. 3 So for example, if someone were buying an 3 if you like, would be a computer store, which has the 4 4 information on it or it could be at a distant album, they would not have to, say, expect to wait 5 the 45 minutes of the playing time of the album but location, it would be the same type of memory store, 5 6 would expect it to be in a matter of minutes, you 6 but would distribute or deliver the data. 7 Q. So you would be recording from a memory 7 know, seconds. 8 store or a computer store and what would you be Q. So a very short time means a matter of 8 9 minutes or seconds? 9 recording onto? 0 A. Well, it wouldn't be a matter of minutes if 10 A. Onto the -- onto the card. Q. Does that section that we just discussed, .1 you had only had one minute of the music you were 11 column 4, lines 6 through 8, refer to any other type .2 transferring. You would have been very specific 12 3 of recording other than recording from a memory store about the amount of time and look at the data 13 14 or computer store to a portable storage card? 4 transfer rate and speed of output in order to be able A. Those three lines don't, no. 5 to assess how long that actually would be. 15 16 Q. Does your patent tell you to look at the bit Q. Now, it says that that type of recording 16 17 from a memory store or computer store to an external rates and the transmission length of the song in 17 storage, portable storage card can take a very short 8 order to determine what constitutes a very short 18 19 19 time, correct? time? 20 A. No, it doesn't. 20 A. Correct, yes. 21 21 O. How long is a very short time? Q. So a very short period of time could be one 22 A. It would be very hard to be descriptive 22 minute? 23 about the short time because it would totally depend MR. BROWN: Objection. 23 on the length of the track concerned. 24 THE WITNESS: It wouldn't -- beg your 24 25 25 If it was a long playing album, it would pardon. 120 118 clearly take longer than a single. 1 Wouldn't be a short period of time, one 1 minute. If the music only played for 30 seconds, 2 Typically we mention, I think, we mention 2 3 somewhere, column 4, line 24, the output will be at a 3 that would be double real time. 4 Only something which is shorter than real speed much faster at least one hundred times than 4 5 time could be described as shorter than real time. that required for actual sound reproduction. 5 6 So typically it could be a hundred times as BY MR. ENGER: 6 7 7 Q. So whenever it says which can take a very fast. 8 short time, that really means shorter than the amount O. Does very short time mean a hundred times as 8 9 of time it would take to play the song? 9 fast? 0 A. Correct. MR. BROWN: Objection. 10 11 Q. Where does it teach you that a very short Go ahead. 11 .2 time has to be less time than would be required for THE WITNESS: Okay. It may not do if the 12 means for delivery of the data could be faster or 3 actual sound reproduction? 13 4 A. Can you repeat that question, please? slower unit, but that's not the intention. The 14 .5 intention is at least a hundred times. MR. ENGER: Could you read back the 15 6 question, please? Obviously, digital data can be transferred 16 7 (The record was read by the Reporter.) very fast, so -- we say at least a hundred times. 17 . 8 MR. BROWN: Objection. BY MR. ENGER: 18 19 19 Q. So the very --THE WITNESS: Line 24, the output would be 20 at a speed much faster, at least a hundred times, A. At the very least, yes. 20 21 than that required for actual sound reproduction, Q. So a very short time, whenever it talks 21 22 column 4, line 24. 22 about in column 4, lines 6 through 8, is talking 23 23 about at least a hundred times faster than required BY MR. ENGER: 24 Q. I thought you testified earlier that the for actual sound reproduction? 24

A. No. It doesn't say that. 25

**GROSSMAN & COTTER** 

(650) 324-1181

25

07AUG0706

very short period of time referred to in column 4,

# **APPLE VS. BURST.COM**

# KANE KRAMER

# AUGUST 7, 2007

1

50	(Pages 197 to 200)		
	197		199
1	MK4 that was used to transmit digital audio data to a	1	according to its capability, speed of the optical
2	computer?	2	interface could sort of squeeze, slow it down it
3	A. Proprietary multi-pin plug.	3	would be its limitation.
4	Q. The same multi-pin port in which digital	4	Q. Was the functionality you've just described
5	audio was input?	5	where you transmit compressed digital audio
6	A. No, another one but just another plug,	6	information from the MK4 to an external computer via
7	another socket and plug.	7	the multi-pin output port on the back of the MK4 ever
8	Q. Do you have any of the MK4 prototypes in	8	publicly displayed or shown to anyone?
9	your possession?	9	A. I think the answer's yes, but just to
10	A. Unfortunately not.	10	confirm, could you please repeat the question?
11	Q. Does anyone have any of the MK4 prototypes	11	(The record was read by the Reporter.)
12	in their possession?	12	THE WITNESS: Okay. No. It would have been
13	A. Nobody has any of the prototypes in their	13	shown to people, but not in a sort of in a big public
14	possession, unfortunately.	14	way.
15	Q. What happened to the all the prototypes	15	BY MR. ENGER:
16	including the MK4 prototype?	<b>1</b> 6	Q. Was the MK4 ever sold to anyone?
17	A. They were all delivered to a firm of	17	A. No.
18	solicitors for safekeeping to the benefit of the	18	Q. Was it ever offered for sale to anyone?
19	shareholders of the company.	19	A. No.
20	The solicitors moved offices after four	20	Q. What publications was the MK4 described in?
21	years and threw them away without contacting me.	21	A. Well, many of these publications I hadn't
22	Q. How fast was the digital audio sent from the	22	seen since the day they came out and have only
23	bubble memory through the multi-pin output port to an	23	recently been found, some of them not even by me.
24	external computer?	24	I had a couple of assistants help me search
25	A. How fast was it sent from the bubble memory	25	out the information and anything that looked like
	198		200
1	to the outside computer? It was very it was	1	anything to do with this project got thrown into the
2	quick. And again, there was an article which has got	2	box. Much of this information in here, I haven't
3	the interface speed in it.	3	read in 20 years. I can't recall exactly which
4	And I can't remember what that there's a	4	article was which, but I can remember, you know,
5	lot of zeroes involved, I remember that, I can't	5	articles about particular aspects of the thing.
6	remember the speed, amount of kilohertz.	6	Q. Tell me about the MK5 prototype and what
7	Q. Was the article published?	7	functionality it had that was different from the MK4
8	A. Yes.	8	or any of the other previous MK prototypes.
9	Q. In what publication?	9	A. Okay. The MK5 was our first prototype
10	A. I can't recall, but I'm sure I will be able	10	our first preproduction prototype or our only
11	to produce it. We may have it here now.	<b>µ</b> 1	preproduction prototype.
12	It's not one of these, I can see that.	12	That in every respect was a finished
13	Q. Does the article document transmitting from	<u>1</u> 3	product. All the circuit boards inside had now been
14	the MK4 to an external computer over the multi-pin	14	produced with company name on it and everything was
15	port?	15	sort of finished, if you like.
16	A. I don't think it goes into that kind of	<u>1</u> 6	And it had much, much more sophisticated
17	detail, no.	þ7	software and editing software. You could slip one
18	What it does is it gives me the optical	18	track in relation to another, you could synchronize
19	interface, it refers to the optical interface speed	þ9	it to a time code so you could synchronize it with
20	which when using optical at the current time, the	20	video.
21	transceiver optical speed which actually was very	21	So if you were video editing, for example,
22	fast at the time, but nevertheless that was its	22	you could provide your video editing with sort of
23	limitation as opposed to if it was going through hard	23	solid state digital sound tracks.
24	wired means which had the potential to be faster.	24	It took a multitude of cards. You could
25	In other words, the optical interface,	25	treat the cards as an array so it could either

(650) 324-1181

#### Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP APPLE VS. BURST.COM

Document 157-14 KANE KRAMER Filed 09/06/2007 Page 5 of 8

### AUGUST 7, 2007

51 (Pages 201 to 204)

201 203 1 take -- sort of treat them as one continuous sort 1 That progress of the development of the 2 2 of -- you could play back from the three cards as if project is minuted in the company minutes. 3 3 it was one. You could use it all as one piece of Q. What input ports did the MK5 had? 4 A. It had all of the ones mentioned previously. memory or could split it up into more tracks. 4 And slightly more sophisticated, as I say, 5 O. Was it able to receive both analog audio at 5 6 you could slip tracks to each other, do 6 real time speeds through the canon jack -- through 7 7 multi-function edits, punch in and punch out at the canon and the jack? different edit points and you could transfer 8 A. Correct, yes. 8 9 information from one card to another digitally so 9 Q. And it was able to receive digital ĿΟ information through the multi-pin input port? 10 that you were bypassing the analog so you could just literally put one card in, put another card in, dump A. Yes, and output, yeah. 1 11 information from one to the other and put it out. .2 Q. Did it have the ability to compress analog 12 .3 audio information into digital form? 13 Q. What documentation do you have that 4 discusses the editing functionality of the MK5? A. Yes, it did. 14 15 A. I have the company accounts that were lodged . 5 Q. Did it have internal storage capabilities? . 6 16 with company's house which are public record A. Internal when the cartridge was plugged into 7 describes the activities and the business of the 17 it, yes. 8 18 company and the year. Q. If the cartridge was unplugged from the 9 19 Also I have a business plan which machine, did it have storage capability? 20 describes -- which was distributed and we obtained 60 bo A. Well, you didn't have a player if you 21 unplugged it, but yes, if you took it out, it 21 shareholders from it. 22 couldn't -- in the same way if you unplug the memory 22 Q. This is the 1984 business plan or a 23 different business plan? 23 in a -- any device, once you take the memory out, it 24 A. It would be the 1984 business plan would be 24 can't remember. 25 25 describing that. So obviously it was an integral part of the 202 204 system. It wasn't a complete system without the 1 Q. When did you come up with the MK5? 1 2 2 A. Well, they're all built between sort of '82 memory in. Once the memory was in, you had a 3 and '84. 3 complete system. 4 Q. So the only memory for the MK5 was found in 4 O. When was the MK5 first built? 5 these external cards? 5 A. I think I'd have to refer to our minutes of 6 6 the meetings of the company, which accurately A. Well, they were internal, they were plugged 7 describes exactly what part we were at and exactly 7 in. 8 8 what process. Q. They were removable? 9 9 It's very well minuted during all that A. Removable, ves. 10 0 period, but, you know, from memory, I can't be Q. What was the storage capability of each 11 that -- I can't remember that specifically, you know, 11 card? 12 2 it's 22 years ago. A. I'm pretty certain it was three and a half .3 13 O. Was the MK5 created prior to the 1984 minutes. 14 business plan? .4 Q. So whenever all three cards were plugged in, .5 you would have ten minutes or so of audio? 15 A. As I say, I can't recall the date without checking the records, but there is accurate records . 6 16 A. Yes. 17 of the exact time. I believe it may have been around 17 Q. And then was the compressed digital audio 18 then, but from the point you actually start building 18 output through the multi-pin port to an external 9 19 the prototype to the point that prototype is actually computer? 20 ready to present to people, having a box on the table 20 A. Was the -- sorry, can you please repeat 21 which has certain functionality and writing software 21 that? 22 22 and growing the functionality, you know, we didn't Q. Was the compressed digital audio found on 23 decide to make it on a Monday and obviously it was the bubble memory cards then output through the 23 24 ready on a Tuesday. 24 multi-pin output port to an external computer similar 25 to the functionality described with the MK4? 25 It was over a period of time.

**GROSSMAN & COTTER** 

(650) 324-1181

Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP

# **APPLE VS. BURST.COM**

Document 157-14 Filed 09/06/2007 KANE KRAMER

Page 6 of 8 · · · · AUGUST 7, 2007

-

52	(Pages 205 to 208)		
	205	1	207
			207
1	A. By the not exactly. By MK5, had to have		in order to prove that one part of the system could
2	been a number of MK5s, had more than one.	2	output its information and take it back in into
3	You could then have plugged excuse me,	3	another part of the system.
4	the digital output port from one machine into another	4	Q. So you could simulate sending information
5	machine and backwards the other way to create an		from a MKS to another external device, but since
0 7	array of machines that would behave as it they were	7	to actually transmit data from and MV5 to another
2 2	timing		MK 5?
q	$\Omega$ How many MK5s were produced?	9	A We did you asked before and you just
10	A One	10	reminded me, you asked before about did we transmit
11	O. So it was not possible to transfer digital	11	data to and from a computer and we did because we
12	audio music from the multi-pin output port of one MK5	12	were doing tests with a view to music downloading at
13	to another MK5 because only one existed?	13	the time.
14	A. Yes, it was, because you could output from	14	Q. When did those tests occur whenever you
15	one card the information from the digital output port	15	transmitted information from a MK5 to an external
16	and plug and wire it back into itself and input into	16	computer?
17	another card of the device or you could do it	17	A. In the region of about 1986.
18	internally but that's how we knew it could work.	18	Q. Did anyone observe you performing these
19	Q. You could transmit it from one MK5 back to	19	tests?
20	the same MK5?	20	A. I would think quite a number of people would
21	A. Yeah. You could do it internally or you	21	have seen us demonstrating it, yes.
22	could do it with a loop was the way of proving it.	22	Q. Who would have seen these demonstrations?
23	You could take your top card and put it down to the	23	A. All of our shareholders, for example.
24.	bottom, for example.	24 DE	Q. And this occurred in the United Kingdom?
25	Q. Since there was only one MKS, you don't	25	A. That's where we built the prototype, yean.
	206		. 208
1	know you've never tested whether you could	1	Q. Did you ever demonstrate the functionality
2	transfer from one MK5 to another MK5?	2	where you could transfer data from MK5 to an external
3	A. Yes, we could do that because if you output	3	computer in the United States?
4	the data from card number one through the digital	4	A. No.
5	output data and bring it back in through an input	5	Q. Did you ever sell a MK5?
6	port and send it to card number 3, you know it's	6	A. We took orders.
7	working.	7	Q. Did you ever sell a MK5?
8	Q. Was the circumstance you described, where	8	MR. BROWN: Objection.
10	you send from one MK1 I'm sorry, from one MK5 to	9.	THE WITNESS: Yes, but we didn't deliver.
10	another MKS ever tested?	ЦU h 1	BY MR. ENGER:
12 12	A. I don't recall whether of hot we tried it	11 11 11	Q. So you had a contract but you never transferred the MK5 to any only other person's
12 13	With MK4 of hot. I just don't recall. O There was only one MK5 so you couldn't	нz h з	nossession?
14	transmit from one MK5 to a second MK5 correct?	h a	A Correct
15	A The MK5 actually behaved could behave as	15	O Did you ever offer the MK5 for sale?
16	three independent units within the one box	16	A. Yes
17	So when you were transmitting from one card.	17	O. When did you offer the MK5 for sale?
18	it acted and behaved completely independently from	18	A. Again, the exact dates I would have are
19	how it was coming out of that system from how it	19	well-documented, but again, I think it was
20	would so if you've got a card and all of your	20	approximately 1986.
21	configuration and system to operate that card and	21	Q. Are there any sales invoices or the like
22	then you've got a second card and you've got a third	22	that would show these orders for the MK5?
23	card slot, you could they would be treated	23	A. There are or there were, but not in my
24	independently unless you made them work as one.	24	possession.
25	So we didn't need to build another prototype	25	They have never been in my possession.

**GROSSMAN & COTTER** 

(650) 324-1181

07AUG0706

KANE KRAMER

#### APPLE VS. BURST.COM

# AUGUST 7, 2007

70	(Pages 277 to 280)		
	277	1	279
1	computer information.		the most radical system yet.
2	BY MR. ENGER:	2	Q. Is the system, the IXI system pictured in
3	Q. Referring to column 6, lines 31 to 33?	3	the picture there, one of the prototypes you
4	A. That's a part of it. I'm going to read a	4	described earlier?
5	bit further on.		MR. ENGER: Objection, leading.
ю 7	Dritish potent and it's been published		DV MD DDOWNI
/ Q	So I think there's some differences in some		O Which prototype is it?
o Q	of the patents that were granted when going through	9	$\Delta$ It's the MK5 preproduction prototype
10	the sort of process of grant and various objections	hó	$\Omega$ How can you tell it's the MK 5?
11	that might be made		A It's the only one which had the screen on
12	I'm I can't see it in here	12	it the larger screen on the left-hand side
1.3	O With reference to this '088 patent, your	13	O. Was the MK5 that's pictured there canable of
14	American patent, it doesn't specifically talk about	14	transmitting compressed audio information from one of
15	video, does it?	15	the cards in it to another faster than real time?
16	A. I can't see a reference to the word video in	16	MR. ENGER: Objection, leading.
17	here, other than this reference to synchronizing with	17	THE WITNESS: Yes, it was.
18	video.	18	BY MR. BROWN:
19	Q. Synchronizing audio with video?	1.9	Q. Was the MK5 system that's pictured in this
20	A. Synchronizing audio with video where it	20	article capable of transmitting from one MK5 unit to
21	would be clocked and run in synchronicity with a	21	another MK5 unit faster than real time?
22	video player, for example, for doing sound tracks or	22	MR. ENGER: Objection, leading, calls for
23	something like that for a film.	23	speculation and improper foundation.
24	Q. But your '088 patent doesn't teach receiving	24	THE WITNESS: I think I I explained
25	video, compressing it, storing it and transmitting it	25	earlier that the MK5 system could transfer data from
	278		280
1	away faster than real time does it?	1	one card to another or by the means that we were able
2	A Well apparently this it would appear	2	to test would have been able to have transmitted data
3	that this this granted patent is more limited than	3	to and from another identical unit.
4	the British patent.	4	BY MR. BROWN:
5	O. So the answer is no?	5	Q. The data that you're referring to, can you
6	A. It would appear to be, unless I've missed	6	explain what kind of data it could transfer?
7	it, but I don't imagine I've missed and you've missed	7	A. It could transfer digital data from one
8	it.	8	system to another at high speed.
9	MR. ENGER: Pass the witness.	9	Q. When you say high speed, was that faster
10	MR. BROWN: What number are we at?	10	than real time?
11	THE REPORTER: 373.	11	A. Yes, very much faster than real time, at
12	(Exhibit No. 373 was marked.)	12	least a hundred times faster than real time.
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. BROWN:	13	Q. And was the digital data compressed?
14	Q. Mr. Kramer, we've marked as Exhibit 373 a	14	A. Yes, it was.
15	copy of what appears to be a news article.	15	Q. Was it did it represent audio
16	Can you tell me what Exhibit 373 is?	16	information?
17	A. It's an article which appeared in the Sunday	17	A. Yes, it did.
18	Times newspaper in the innovation section.	18	Q. Is the system that's pictured in this
19	Q. Can you tell me what date it appeared in	19	article, the MK5 prototype, what you showed to United
20	that paper?	20	Artists and Universal Studios?
21	A. 14th of September, 1986.	21	A. Yes, it is.
22	Q. And can you tell me what the article is?	22	Q. You testified earlier about the trip you
23	A. It's basically an article showing James and	23	made to the United States where you met with
24	I with IXI on our shoulders and basically talks about	24	Universal Studios and United Artists amongst others.
25	the system and how two British entrepreneurs unveils	ŁЪ	Do you remember what year it was that you

### **GROSSMAN & COTTER**

#### Case 3:06-cv-00019-MHP Document 157-14 Filed 09/06/2007 Page 8 of 8 **APPLE VS. BURST.COM** KANE KRAMER AUGUST 7, 2007

I, LOUISE MARIE SOUSOURES, duly authorized to 1 2 administer oaths pursuant to Section 2093(b) of the 3 California Code of Civil Procedure, do hereby certify: That the witness in the foregoing deposition 4 5 was by me duly sworn to testify the truth in the 6 within-entitled cause; that said deposition was taken 7 at the time and place therein cited; that the 8 testimony of the said witness was reported by me and 9 was hereafter transcribed under my direction into 10 typewriting; that the foregoing is a complete and 11 accurate record of said testimony; and that the 12 witness was given an opportunity to read and correct 13 said deposition and to subscribe the same.

14 Should the signature of the witness not be 15 affixed to the deposition, the witness shall not have 16 availed himself or herself of the opportunity to sign 17 or the signature has been waived.

18 I further certify that I am not of counsel, 19 nor attorney for any of the parties in the foregoing 20 deposition and caption named, nor in any way 21 interested in the outcome of the cause named in said 22 caption. August 21 23 DATED: 24

**GROSSMAN & COTTER** 

25

(650) 324-1181

299