

Dockets.Justia.com

Case3:06-cv-00247-SI Document526 Filed08/21/09 Page2 of 5

II

Ι

1	WHEREAS, the parties to this case are as follows: Plaintiff is Jensen Enterprises, Inc.						
2	("Jensen"), and Defendants are Oldcastle Precast, Inc. ("Oldcastle") as well as Pacific Bell Telephone						
3	Company, Nevada Bell Telephone Company, and AT&T Services, Inc. (collectively, "AT&T"); and						
4	WHEREAS, this Court entered judgment against Jensen on March 2, 2009 and denied						
5	Jensen's motion to alter this judgment on April 20, 2009. Jensen gave notice that it would appeal						
6	from this judgment on April 28, 2009, and this appeal remains pending in the Court of Appeal for the						
7	9 th Circuit; and						
8	WHEREAS, on June 16, 2009, this Court taxed costs of suit in favor of Oldcastle and AT&T,						
9	awarding \$58,788.41 in costs to Oldcastle (Docket No. 523) and \$48,976.94 to AT&T (Docket No.						
10	524). The sum of these two awards is \$107,765.35; and						
11	WHEREAS, Defendants have requested that Jensen provide a supersedeas bond to secure						
12	these awarded costs during the pendency of its appeal, and Jensen has agreed to do so, and the parties						
13	have agreed that the proper amount of this bond is 125% of the sum of the two awards, which is						
14	\$134,706.69; and						
15	WHEREAS, the parties have stipulated to the following terms and conditions concerning the						
16	posting Jensen's supersedeas bond and a stay of enforcement proceedings against Jensen; and						
17	WHEREAS, this Court finds that these stipulated terms and conditions are reasonable and						
18	proper.						
19	WHEREFORE, this Court now issues the following ORDER:						
20	1. Jensen shall forthwith post a supersedeas bond in the manner required by Local Rule						
21	65.1-1 (b) and otherwise in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 62 and Fed. R. App. P. 7 and 8(b).						
22	2. The amount of this supersedeas bond shall be \$134,706.69.						
23	3. So long as this bond remains posted in this Court, neither Oldcastle nor AT&T may						
24	take any measure to enforce their respective awards of costs against Jensen, but instead the						
25	enforcement of these two awards (Docket Nos. 523 and 524) shall be stayed in accordance with Fed.						
26	R. Civ. P. 62 (d).						
27	//						
28	//						
	PROPOSED ORDER (RE: SUPERSEDEAS BOND AND STAY OF ENFORCEMENT) -2-						

	Case3:06-cv-00247-SI Do	ocumen	t526 Filed08/21/09 Page3 of 5
1	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
2			
3	DATED:	-	The Hon. Susan Illston,
4			United States District Judge
5			
6	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
7 8	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,
9			FOLGER, LEVIN & KAHN, LLP
10			
11		By:	Janine Scancarelli,
12			Attorneys for Defendant OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC.
13 14	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,
15	DATED. August 14, 2007		Respectfully submitted,
16			AT&T SERVICES, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT
17		By:	
18			Raymond P. Bolaños, Attorneys for Defendants
19			PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, NEVADA BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, AND SBC SERVICES, INC.
20			AND SEC SERVICES, INC.
21	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,
22			MALDONADO & MARKHAM, LLP
23			/s/
24		By:	William A. Markham,
25 26			Attorneys for Plaintiff, JENSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.
26 27			
27			
20	PROPOSED ORDER (RE: SUPERSEDEAS BOND AND STAY OF ENFORCEMENT) -3		

Case3:06-cv-00247-SI Document526 Filed08/21/09 Page4 of 5

r	T IS SO ORDERED.		
2			
3 E	DATED:		The Hon. Susan Illston,
4			United States District Judge
5			
5 A	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
7			
8	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,
9			FOLGER, LEVIN & KAHN, LLP
0			
1		By:	Janine Scancarelli,
2			Attorneys for Defendant OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC.
3			
4	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,
5	20		AT&T SERVICES, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT
6			TOP!
17		By:	Raymond P. Bolaños,
18			Attorneys for Defendants PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,
19			NEVADA BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, AND SBC SERVICES, INC.
20			
21	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,
22			MALDONADO & MARKHAM, LLP
23			/s/
24		By:	William A. Markham,
25			Attorneys for Plaintiff, JENSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.
26			
27			
28			
	PROPOSED ORDER (RE: SUPERSEDE	AS BONE) AND STAY OF ENFORCEMENT)

	Case3:06-cv-00247-SI Do	cument	526 Filed08/21/09 Page5 of 5	
1	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
2				
3	DATED:			
4			The Hon. Susan Illston, United States District Judge	
5				
6	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
7				
8	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,	
9			FOLGER, LEVIN & KAHN, LLP	
10			$\sim \sim 11$	
11		By:	Janine Soansarelli,	
12			Janine Somearelli, Attorneys for Defendant OLDCASTLE PRECAST, INC.	
13				
14	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,	
15			AT&T SERVICES, INC. LEGAL DEPARTMENT	
16		Dur		
17 18		By:	Raymond P. Bolaños, Attorneys for Defendants	
10			PACIFIC BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY, NEVADA BELL TELEPHONE COMPANY,	
20			AND SBC SERVICES, INC.	
21	DATED: August 14, 2009		Respectfully submitted,	
22			MALDONADO & MARKHAM, LLP	
23			/s/	
24		By:	William A. Markham,	
25			Attorneys for Plaintiff, JENSEN ENTERPRISES, INC.	
26				
27				
28				
	PROPOSED ORDER (RE: SUPERSEDEAS BOND AND STAY OF ENFORCEMENT) -3			