

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

RAHINAH IBRAHIM,
Plaintiff,

No. C 06-00545 WHA

v.


DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND
SECURITY, et al.,
Defendants.

**ORDER REGARDING
DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO
STRIKE**

Our Supreme Court has cautioned against satellite litigation over attorney's fees, expenses, and costs. *Hensley v. Eckerhart*, 461 U.S. 424, 437 (1983). Nevertheless, after the undersigned judge permitted plaintiff to file an itemized, detailed spreadsheet showing excluded fees, and plaintiff filed: a three-page response, a two-page declaration, and 47-pages of spreadsheets, defendants filed a motion to strike plaintiff's submissions because plaintiff only had leave to file a "spreadsheet regarding excluded fees" (Dkt. Nos. 720-22). FRCP 54(d)(2)(C) states that: "the court must, on a party's request, give an opportunity for adversary submissions on the motion." Defendants have until **5:00 P.M. ON MARCH 17** to file any response.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 14, 2014.



WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California