1	PETER D. KEISLER	
2	Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division CARL J. NICHOLS	
2	Deputy Assistant Attorney General	
3	DOUGLAS N. LETTER Terrorism Litigation Counsel	
4	JOSEPH H. HUNT	
5	Director, Federal Programs Branch ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO	
5	Special Litigation Counsel	
6	tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov ANDREW H. TANNENBAUM	
7	andrew.tannenbaum@usdoj.gov	
•	Trial Attorney	
8	U.S. Department of Justice Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch	
9	20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW	
10	Washington, D.C. 20001 Phone: (202) 514-4782/(202) 514-4263	
	Fax: (202) 616-8460/(202) 616-8202/(202)	318-2461
11	Attorneys for Intervenor Defendant United St	ates of America
12	Theories for mervenor Derendant office of	
13	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT
14	NORTHERN DIST	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16	TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS) CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN)	
10	on Behalf of Themselves and All Others)	
17	Similarly Situated,	Case No. C. 06 0672 VDW
18	Plaintiffs,	Case No. C-06-0672-VRW
		ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION BY THE
19	v.)	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA TO SET HEARING DATE FOR THE
20)	UNITED STATES' MOTIONS
21)	
	AT&T CORP., AT&T INC. and	[Civ. L.R. 7-11]
22	DOES 1-20, inclusive,	Judge: The Hon. Vaughn R. Walker
23) Defendants.	Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor
24)	
	/	
25		
26		
27		
	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SET HEARING D UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	ATE FOR THE UNITED STATES' MOTIONS BY THE
28	Case No. C 06-0672-VRW	
		Docke

Intervenor defendant United States of America hereby moves, pursuant to Civil L.R. 7-11, for an order setting the hearing date for the United States' Motion To Intervene and for the United States' Motion To Dismiss Or, In The Alternative, For Summary Judgment, for June 21, 2006, the same hearing date as Plaintiffs' Motion For Preliminary Injunction. The reasons for this request are as follows:

The United States has filed a Motion To Intervene and a Motion To Dismiss Or,
 In The Alternative, For Summary Judgment. Through these motions, the United States seeks to
 intervene in this action for the purpose of seeking dismissal or summary judgment based on the
 assertion of the military and state secrets privilege and specified statutory privileges.

10 2. Plaintiffs have filed a motion for preliminary injunction, which currently has a
11 hearing date of June 21, 2006.

Because the United States is seeking dismissal or summary judgment based on the
 assertion of the military and state secrets privilege and specified statutory privileges, the United
 States' motions logically need to be heard prior to, or at the same time as, Plaintiffs' pending
 Motion for Preliminary Injunction. Thus, the United States believes it would be most efficient
 for the Court to hear the United States' motions prior to, or at the same time as, Plaintiffs'
 Motion for Preliminary Injunction.

4. As explained in the attached Declaration of Anthony J. Coppolino, Defendants do
 not oppose this motion, and Plaintiffs reserve their position regarding whether to oppose this
 motion until the scheduling conference currently set for May 17, 2006.

Accordingly, the United States respectfully requests that the Court set a hearing date on
the United States' Motion To Intervene and on its Motion To Dismiss Or, In The Alternative,
For Summary Judgment for June 21, 2006.

Respectfully submitted, PETER D. KEISLER Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division

27 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SET HEARING DATE FOR THE UNITED STATES' MOTIONS BY THE
28 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Case No. C 06-0672-VRW -2-

24

25

26

1	CARL J. NICHOLS
2	Deputy Assistant Attorney General
3	DOUGLAS N. LETTER Terrorism Litigation Counsel
4	JOSEPH H. HUNT
5	Director, Federal Programs Branch
6	<u>s/Anthony J. Coppolino</u> ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO
7	Special Litigation Counsel tony.coppolino@usdoj.gov
8	s/Andrew H. Tannenbaum
9	ANDREW H. TANNENBAUM Trial Attorney
10	andrew.tannenbaum@usdoj.gov U.S. Department of Justice
11	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW
12	Washington, D.C. 20001 Phone: (202) 514-4782/(202) 514-4263
13	Fax: (202) 616-8460/(202) 616-8202/(202) 318-2461
14	Attorneys for Intervenor Defendant United States
15	DATED: May 12, 2006
16	
17	
18	
10	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO SET HEARING DATE FOR THE UNITED STATES' MOTIONS BY THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
28	Case No. C 06-0672-VRW -3-

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
2	I hereby certify that the foregoing ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION BY THE UNITED	
3	STATES OF AMERICA TO SET HEARING DATE FOR THE UNITED STATES'	
4	MOTIONS will be served by means of the Court's CM/ECF system, which will send	
5	notifications of such filing to the following:	
6	Electronic Frontier Foundation	
7	Cindy Cohn Lee Tien	
8	Kurt Opsahl Kevin S. Bankston	
9	Corynne McSherry James S. Tyre 545 Shotwell Street	
10	San Francisco, CA 94110	
11	Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins LLP Reed R. Kathrein	
12	Jeff D. Friedman Shana E. Scarlett	
13	100 Pine Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94111	
14	Traber & Voorhees	
15	Bert Voorhees Theresa M. Traber	
16	128 North Fair Oaks Avenue, Suite 204 Pasadena, CA 91103	
17	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	
18	Bruce A. Ericson David L. Anderson	
19	Patrick S. Thompson Jacob R. Sorensen	
20	Brian J. Wong 50 Freemont Street	
21	PO Box 7880 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880	
22	Sidney Austin LLP	
23	David W. Carpenter Bradford Berenson	
24	Edward R. McNicholas David L. Lawson	
25	1501 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20005	
26	s/ Anthony J. Coppolino	
27		
28	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE, Case No. C 06-0672-VRW	
•		