

1 Karl Olson (SBN 104760)
 Erica L. Craven (SBN 199918)
 2 LEVY, RAM & OLSON LLP
 639 Front Street, 4th Floor
 3 San Francisco, CA 94111
 Telephone: 415-433-4949
 4 Facsimile: 415-433-7311

5 Attorneys for Intervenors *San Francisco Chronicle*,
Los Angeles Times, Associated Press,
 6 *San Jose Mercury News*, Bloomberg News,
 and *USA Today*
 7
 8
 9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
 13

14 TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS,
 CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN, on
 15 Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly
 Situated,

16 Plaintiffs,

17 v.

18 AT&T CORP., et al.

19 Defendants.
 20
 21
 22

CASE NO. CV-06-0672-VRW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
 MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO
 INTERVENE AND UNSEAL
 RECORDS**

Date: June 23, 2006
 Time: 9:30 a.m.
 Place: Courtroom 6, 17th Floor
 [The Hon. Vaughn R. Walker]

Complaint Filed: January 31, 2006

23 On June 23, 2006, the Motions for Leave to Intervene and to Unseal Documents filed by
 24 media intervenors *San Francisco Chronicle*, *Los Angeles Times*, *San Jose Mercury News*, The
 25 Associated Press, Bloomberg News, and *USA Today* division of Gannett Satellite Information
 26 Network (hereafter collectively “media intervenors”) came on for hearing in this Court. All
 27 parties appeared by counsel and Karl Olson appeared for media intervenors.
 28

1 Having read and considered the moving papers, opposition and reply thereto, and having
2 heard argument by counsel, the Court HEREBY ORDERS:

3 1. The media intervenors' Motion to Intervene for the purpose of vindicating the
4 press and public's First Amendment and common law rights of access to information is
5 GRANTED.

6 2. The media intervenors' Motion to Unseal any sealed documents in this case,
7 including (1) Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (including but not limited to the
8 Memorandum of Points and Authorities and Klein and Marcus Declarations mentioned in
9 Docket Nos. 30-32); (2) AT&T's Motion to Compel Return of Confidential Documents
10 (including but not limited to the documents mentioned in Docket Nos. 41-42, 58, 63, 117); (3)
11 AT&T's Memorandum in Support of Filing Documents Under Seal (including but not limited to
12 the documents mentioned in Docket Nos. 51, 61-62, 71-72) is GRANTED.

13 3. The Court has undertaken or will undertake a careful review of any allegedly
14 classified material submitted to it by the government to see whether compelling reasons support
15 sealing of any such material and to ensure that, if any material is sealed, the sealing is narrowly
16 tailored to make available the maximum amount of information to the public consistent with
17 national security. Therefore, to the extent received by the Court for its consideration on the
18 Government's Motion to Dismiss, all documents filed under seal in connection with that motion,
19 including but not limited to documents mentioned in Docket Nos. 125, are unsealed, except as
20 may be identified in a separate order.

21 IT IS SO ORDERED.

22 Dated:

23
24 _____
25 The Honorable Vaughn R. Walker
26 Judge, United States District Court
27 Northern District of California
28

F:\Docs\1064-02\Pleadings\MotIntervene-PropOrder.wpd