1	ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION		
2	CINDY COHN (145997) cindy@eff.org		
3	LEE TIEN (148216) tien@eff.org		
4	KURT OPSAHL (191303) kurt@eff.org		
5	KEVIN S. BANKSTON (217026) bankston@eff.org CORYNNE MCSHERRY (221504)		
6	corynne@eff.org JAMES S. TYRE (083117)		
7	jstyre@eff.org 454 Shotwell Street		
8	San Francisco, CA 94110 Telephone: 415/436-9333		
9	415/436-9993 (fax)		
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
11	[Additional counsel appear on signature page.]		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS, CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN, on	) No. C-06-0672-VRW	
16	Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated,,	CLASS ACTION	
17	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO EXTEND PAGE	
18	, in the second	LIMIT (UNOPPOSED) AND	
19	V.	PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF MOTION	
20	AT&T CORP., et al.,	AND MOTION TO FILE PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS	
21	Defendants.	OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT BY THE	
22		UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND ACCOMPANYING DECLARATION OF	
23		MICHAEL M. MARKMAN PURSUANT	
24		TO FED. R. CIV. P. 56(F) UNDER SEAL	
<ul><li>25</li><li>26</li></ul>		Ourtroom: 6, 17th Floor Judge: The Hon. Vaughn R. Walker, Chief Judge	
27		-	
28			
	No. C-06-0672-VRW MOTION TO EXTEND PAGE LIMIT AND FILE UNDER SEAL		
	FOR PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS		

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that plaintiffs Tash Hepting, Gregory Hicks, Carolyn Jewel and Erik Knutzen will and hereby do move the Court:

- (a) Pursuant to N.D. Cal. Civil Local Rule 7-11 for permission to exceed the page limit set forth in Civil Local Rules 7-4 for Plaintiffs' Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss Or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment of the United States (the "Opposition"), for a total of up to 60 pages; and
- (b) Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 7-11 and 75-9(b), for an order allowing Plaintiffs to file Plaintiffs' Opposition, and the accompanying Declaration of Michael M. Markman Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f), under seal.

Plaintiffs seek these administrative orders based on this Notice of Motion and Motion, the Memorandum of Points and Authorities below, the Declaration of Michael M. Markman filed herewith, and all associated papers.

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiffs make two administrative requests on this motion. First, Plaintiffs request leave of the Court to file a memorandum of points and authorities in Opposition to the Motion to Dismiss Or, in the Alternative, Motion for Summary Judgment of the United States of 60 pages. Plaintiffs' request for additional pages is unopposed by the United States. Plaintiffs make this motion in order to address the multiple and weighty arguments raised by the United States in its Motion to Dismiss.

Plaintiffs further seek leave to file the Opposition, and the accompanying Rule 56(f) declaration, under seal. Plaintiffs make that request in good faith to protect information that Defendant AT&T Corporation alleges to be trade secrets and that is discussed in the Opposition.

### I. ARGUMENT

## A. Request for Additional Pages

Plaintiffs ask the Court for leave to file an Opposition to the government's pending motion to dismiss of 60 pages. The redacted version of the government's opening brief was 30 pages long; Plaintiffs have no way of knowing the size of the unredacted brief that the government has submitted *in camera* and *ex parte*. The government does not oppose Plaintiffs' motion. Markman

# Decl., ¶ 2.

The issues raised by the government's motion are complex, relating to weighty constitutional questions and to a complex statutory regime. The government's motion also implicates the non-secret facts underlying Plaintiffs' claims, requiring a discussion of the record evidence marshaled to date by Plaintiffs to assist the Court.

## **B.** Request to File Under Seal

As discussed in Plaintiffs' motion to file documents under seal in connection with the preliminary injunction motion pending in this matter, Defendant AT&T Corp. ("AT&T") asserts that evidence developed by Plaintiffs includes AT&T's confidential trade secrets. While Plaintiffs contest AT&T's characterization and reserve all rights to dispute whether particular information rises to the level of a trade secret, Plaintiffs nevertheless seek to proceed in good faith to address AT&T's concerns. Plaintiffs' Opposition discusses in detail portions of the information that AT&T asserts constitutes trade secret information in order to rebut the government's claim that this case should be dismissed, or summary judgment entered, based on the state secrets privilege.

In an abundance of caution, Plaintiffs also submit the accompanying Declaration of Michael M. Markman Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(f) under seal. The declaration attaches non-confidential materials relating to Plaintiffs' Opposition. It also includes a discussion of topics for further discovery, which may include information that AT&T or the government may contend to be confidential.

Plaintiffs make this request without suggesting that any material in either the Opposition or the accompanying declaration are trade secrets, state secrets, or otherwise confidential, and without waiver to Plaintiffs' right to argue that any of the materials in these documents are non-confidential. Plaintiffs anticipate that the parties can reach an agreement regarding a protective order governing documents in this case, and can create a redacted version of the Opposition and Declaration for the public record.

1	1 II. CONCLUSION		
2	For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs request leave of Court to file a 60-page Opposition to		
3	the government's Motion to Dismiss Or, in the Alternative,	the government's Motion to Dismiss Or, in the Alternative, for Summary Judgment. Plaintiffs also	
4	request leave to file the Opposition and accompanying declaration under seal.		
5	DATED: June 8, 2006 Respectfully su	bmitted,	
6	6 HELLER EHRI	MAN LLP	
7		M. Markman	
8	8 MICHAE ETHAN (	D. FRAM (SBN 126750) L M. MARKMAN (SBN 191388) C. GLASS (SBN 216159)	
9 10	9 SAMUEL NATHAN ELENA N	C. GLASS (SBN 216159) F. ERNST (SBN 223963) I E. SHAFROTH (SBN 232505) I. DIMUZIO (SBN 239953) Street	
11	San Franc	isco, CA 94104 :: 415/772-6000	
12	LERACH COUGHLIN STOIA TRABER & VC		
13	RODWAN & RODDING LLF UV @ tviegal.com	n	
14	JEFF D. FRIEDMAN tmt@tvlegal.co	TRABER (116305) m	
15	MARIA V. MORRIS Pasadena, CA		
16	San Francisco, CA 94111 626/577-7079 (Telephone: 415/288-4545		
17 18	413/200-4334 (lax)		
19	WIEBE RUDMAN &	GHLIN STOIA GELLER ROBBINS LLP	
20	425 California Street, Suite 2025 655 West Broad	lway, Suite 1900	
21	Telephone: 415/433-3200 Telephone: 619	0/231-1058	
22	415/455-0502 (lax) 017/251-7425 (	fax)	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-3-	-3-	

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on June 8, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECE system which will send notification of such filing to the amoil

the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the attached Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the following non-CM/ECF participants:

David W. Carpenter Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP Bank One Plaza 10 South Dearborn Street Chicago, IL 60600

David L. Lawson Sidley Austin Brown & Wood 172 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20006

By /s/
Michael M. Markman (SBN.191388)
Heller Ehrman LLP
333 Bush Street
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 772-6926
Facsimile: (415) 772-6268

No. C-06-0672-VRW

PROOF OF SERVICE

michael.markman@hellerehrman.com