1	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP		
2	BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342		
2	DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604 JACOB R. SORENSEN #209134		
3	MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855		
3	BRIAN J. WONG #226940		
4	DANIEL J. RICHERT #232208		
	50 Fremont Street		
5	Post Office Box 7880		
_	San Francisco, CA 94120-7880		
6	Telephone: (415) 983-1000		
7	Facsimile: (415) 983-1200 Email: bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com		
,	Eman. oruce.encson@pmsouryiaw.com		
8	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP		
9	DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )		
,	DAVID L. LAWSON (admitted pro hac vice)	ic vice)	
10	EDWARD R. McNICHOLAS (admitted pro ha	ac vice)	
	1501 K Street, N.W.		
11	Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8010		
12	Facsimile: (202) 736-8010		
12	Tuesmine. (202) 130 0111		
13	Attorneys for Defendants		
	AT&T CORP. and AT&T INC.		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
18			
19	TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS,	No. C-06-0672-VRW	
1)	CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN	140. C 00 0072 VIKW	
20	on Behalf of Themselves and All Others	RESPONSE OF AT&T CORP. TO	
	Similarly Situated,	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE	
21	DI : (:CC	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE	
22	Plaintiffs,	<b>EXCESS PAGES [DKTS. 172-73, 175]</b>	
22	vs.	Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor	
23	, ,	Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker	
	AT&T CORP., AT&T INC. and DOES 1-20,	Hearing: June 23, 2006	
24	inclusive,	Time: 9:30 a.m.	
25	Defendants.		
23	Detendants.		
26			
27			
27			

28

1	AT&T worked hard to keep its motion to dismiss (Dkt. 86) within the limit of 25	
2	pages set by Civil Local Rule 7-2(b). Therefore, when Plaintiffs asked for 35 pages, AT&T	
3	said "no," seeing no reason why Plaintiffs could not play by the same rules.	
4	Plaintiffs have now filed a 29-page brief. Dkt. 176. What's done is done, and little	
5	would be accomplished at this point by asking Plaintiffs to lop off four pages. Therefore,	
6	AT&T does not oppose Plaintiff's administrative motion (Dkt. 172-73, 175) seeking	
7	retrospective blessing for its 29 pages. AT&T would ask, however, that it be granted three	
8	pages extra for its reply (18 pages rather than 15 pages).	
9	This should not be taken as a concession that Plaintiffs had any business filing a 59-	
10	page brief (see Dkt. 181) in opposition to the government's motion, but that's the	
11	government's fight.	
12	Dated: June 10, 2006.	
13	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP BRUCE A. ERICSON	
14	DAVID L. ANDERSON JACOB R. SORENSEN	
15	MARC H. AXELBAUM	
16	BRIAN J. WONG DANIEL J. RICHERT	
17	50 Fremont Street Post Office Box 7880	
	San Francisco, CA 94120-7880	
18	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
19	DAVID W. CARPENTER BRADFORD A. BERENSON	
20	DAVID L. LAWSON	
21	EDWARD R. McNICHOLAS 1501 K Street, N.W.	
22	Washington, D.C. 20005	
23	By /s/ Bruce A. Ericson	
24	Bruce A. Ericson	
25	Attorneys for Defendants AT&T CORP. and AT&T INC.	
26		
27		
28		