Hepting et al v. AT&T Corp. et al

	Case3:06-cv-00672-VRW Document	271 Filed06/20/06 Pa	age1 of 3	
1 2	Moore and Moore Law Offices of Charles Stephen Ralston			
	Oakland, California 94610-4924Berkeley, California 94702Telephone:(510) 451-0104Telephone:Facsimile:(510) 451-5056Facsimile:Email:MoorLaw@aol.comEmail:Comparisoncsralston@comcast.netAttorneys for Plaintiff LAVON JONES, JR.			
3				
4				
5	JEROME SCHREIBSTEIN (SBN 154051) STEPHEN K. ROBINSON (SBN 217898) PAYNE & FEARS LLP			
6				
7	One Embarcadero Center, Suite 2300 San Francisco, California 94111			
8	Telephone: (415) 398-7860			
9	Facsimile: (415) 398-7863 Attorneys for Defendant			
10	BAYER HEALTHCARE LLC			
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
14	LAVON JONES, JR.	JR.) Case No. C-03-05531-JSW		
15))	001 00 11	
16	Plaintiff,		AND (DDODOGED)	
	VS.) ORDER REGAR	AND <i>[PROPOSED]</i> RDING SUMMARY	
17)	DTION SCHEDULE	
18	BAYER HEALTHCARE, LLC) DATE:) TIME:	January 26, 2007 9:00 a.m.	
19	Defendant.) COURTROOM:	2, 17 TH Floor	
20) Honorable Jeffrey S. White		
21	WHEREAS, plaintiff's opposition to defendant's motion for summary judgment is			
22				
23	currently due on December 1, 2006:			
24	WHEREAS, defendant's reply thereto is currently due on December 15, 2006;			
25	WHEREAS, the hearing for the motion for summary judgment is currently set for January			
26	26, 2007;			
27	WHEREAS, counsel for plaintiff, Charles Stephen Ralston was required to complete and			
28	Stipulation and <i>[Proposed]</i> Order Regarding Summary Judgment Motion Schedule Jones v. Bayer Healthcare C-03-05531 JSW			
20	Jones v. Bayer Ho	canneare C-03-05531 JSW		

Case3:06-cv-00672-VRW Document271 Filed06/20/06 Page2 of 3

1	file the Reply Brief in the Ninth Circuit in the case of Stevens v. County of San Mateo, No. 06-			
2	15634, by November 27, 2006, and must complete and file the Opening Brief in the Ninth Circuit			
3	in the case of Woods v. Bayer Healthcare, No. 06-16529, by December 4, 2006, and counsel for			
4	plaintiff Howard Moore, Jr., was required to complete and file the Reply Brief in the Ninth			
5	Circuit in the case of Christian v. Lucille Packard Children's Hospital by November 27, 2006,			
6	and is scheduled to begin trial in another case in the Northern District of California during the			
7	week of November 27, 2006, they will not be able to complete the review of the extensive record			
8	in this case and to complete the opposition to the Motion for Summary Judgment by the current			
9	due date.			
10	WHEREAS, the stipulated dates set out below will not require any change in the hearing			
11	date of January 26, 2007, and are fully consistent with the time requirements of Local Rule 7-3(a)			
12	and (c), as well as Local Rule 7-7(d), in that the opposition will be due 42 days before the date of			
13	the hearing and the reply thereto 14 days before the date of the hearing;			
14	WHEREAS, although plaintiff, on the one hand, and defendant, on the other hand, are			
15	collectively adverse, they agree through their respective counsel and stipulate as follows:			
16	1. Plaintiff's opposition will now be due December 15, 2006; and			
17	2. Defendant's reply thereto will now be due January 12, 2007.			
18				
19				
20	IT IS SO STIPULATED.			
21	DATED: November 28, 2006 MOORE & MOORE			
22	By: <u>s/Howard Moore, Jr.</u> HOWARD MOORE, JR.			
23	Attorney for Plaintiff LAVON JONES, JR.			
24				
25	DATED: November 28, 2006 By: <u>s/Charles Stephen Ralston</u> CHARLES STEPHEN RALSTON Attorney for Plaintiff			
26	LAVON JONES, JR.			
27				

Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Regarding Summary Judgment Motion Schedule Jones v. Bayer Healthcare C-03-05531 JSW

28

