

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP
 BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342
 2 DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604
 JACOB R. SORENSEN #209134
 3 MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855
 BRIAN J. WONG #226940
 4 50 Fremont Street
 Post Office Box 7880
 5 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880
 Telephone: (415) 983-1000
 6 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200
 Email: bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com

7
 8 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
 DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 9 DAVID L. LAWSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 EDWARD R. McNICHOLAS (admitted *pro hac vice*)
 10 1501 K Street, N.W.
 Washington, D.C. 20005
 11 Telephone: (202) 736-8010
 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

12 Attorneys for Defendants
 13 AT&T CORP. and AT&T INC.

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17
 18 TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS,
 CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN
 19 on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Simi-
 larly Situated,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 AT&T CORP., AT&T INC. and DOES 1-20,
 23 inclusive,

24 Defendants.

No. C-06-0672-VRW

**DECLARATION OF JOSEPH P.
 TOCCO IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
 OF DEFENDANT AT&T INC. TO
 DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED
 COMPLAINT**

Date: June 23, 2006
 Time: 9:30 a.m.
 Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor
 Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

- Filed concurrently:
1. AT&T Inc.'s Reply in Support of Motion to Dismiss.
 2. Reply Decl. of Starlene Meyerkord
 3. Request for Judicial Notice.

1 I, **JOSEPH P. TOCCO**, declare as follows:

2 1. I am Registered In-House Counsel with SBC California, now AT&T
3 California, which is the d/b/a of Pacific Bell Telephone Company, an indirect subsidiary of
4 AT&T Inc. I make this declaration in support of the motion of defendant **AT&T INC.** to
5 dismiss plaintiffs' Amended Complaint for lack of jurisdiction. The facts stated in this
6 declaration are based upon my own personal knowledge, or upon corporate business
7 records, or, where so indicated, upon my information and belief. If called as a witness, I
8 could and would competently testify.

9 2. I have reviewed a copy of Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendant AT&T Inc.'s
10 Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint and the Declaration of James S. Tyre filed in
11 support ("Tyre Declaration").

12 3. I am the attorney who verified the Lobbyist Employer Registration
13 Statement, Lobbying Firm Activity Authorization, and the two Reports of Lobbyist
14 Employer, attached as Exhibits B-E to the Tyre Declaration.

15 4. Various subsidiaries and affiliates of AT&T Inc. employ lobbyists in
16 California. The subsidiaries and affiliates therefore qualify as "lobbyist employers" within
17 the meaning of California Government Code sections 82039.5 and 86105, and are required
18 to register under the Political Reform Act of 1974, as amended (Gov't Code § 81000 et
19 seq.).

20 5. The California Fair Political Practices Commission ("FPPC") Lobbying
21 Disclosure Information Manual ("Manual") requires that lobbying subsidiaries be identified
22 "along with the corporate parent's name." *Id.* at pp. 3-1. Accordingly, when subsidiaries
23 and affiliates of AT&T Inc. file disclosure statements required by the FPPC, they are filed
24 in the name of "AT&T Inc. and Its Affiliates" to ensure compliance with the Manual. The
25 disclosure statements attached as Exhibits B-E to the Tyre Declaration conform to this
26 practice.

27

28

1 6. Based upon my knowledge and review of lobbying activities of the
2 subsidiaries and affiliates of AT&T Inc. in California, I am informed and believe that
3 AT&T Inc. does not employ lobbyists in California or otherwise contract with any lobbying
4 firms in the State. Under California law, as currently interpreted by AT&T California and
5 its predecessor companies, proceedings litigated before the California Public Utilities
6 Commission ("PUC") are reportable in abbreviated form under the lobbying disclosure
7 provisions of the Political Reform Act of 1974, as amended, and the regulations
8 promulgated thereunder by the California Fair Political Practices Commission Govt
9 Code 86100 et seq, 2 Cal Admin Code 18600 et seq, including the time spent in hearings
10 and preparing witnesses for hearings. SBC Communications Inc. retained outside counsel
11 to represent it in 2005 in connection with a PUC application proceeding regarding the
12 merger between SBC Communications Inc. and AT&T Corp and those fees are reported in
13 the attachments to the Tyre Declaration. AT&T Inc. does not, however, do any substantive
14 lobbying in California, such as spending "millions of dollars to influence California
15 legislators in developing communications policy and passing legislation" as asserted in
16 Plaintiff's Opposition. Opp. at 2. Indeed, AT&T Inc. does not spend any money on such
17 lobbying in California.

18 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the
19 United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

20 Executed on this 15th day of June, 2006, at Sacramento, California.

21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



Joseph Tocco