Not. of Mot. and Mot. for Leave to File Brief as Amici Curiae

Case No. CV-06-0672 VRW

can help the court beyond the help that the lawyers for the parties are able to provide." *Sonoma Falls Developers, LLC v. Nevada Gold & Casinos, Inc.*, 272 F.Supp.2d 919, 925 (N.D. Cal. 2003) (quoting *Cobell v. Norton*, 246 F. Supp. 2d 59, 62 (D. D.C. 2003) (internal citation omitted)). As a gatherer and disseminator of news, CNET can offer its perspective as the public's surrogate with respect to the right to access court records. Similarly, because of its mission to protect access to public records, CFAC is in a unique position to understand the ramifications that the Court's decision will have on the public.

As set forth in the Declaration of Roger Myers submitted herewith, CNET and CFAC sought consent to file his papers from counsel for both the plaintiffs and the defendants. Counsel for the plaintiffs consented to the filing of the amicus brief, while counsel for the defendants did not. *See* Declaration of Roger Myers at ¶¶ 2-3.

For the foregoing reasons, CNET and CFAC respectfully request that the Court grant his motion and accept the accompanying Brief of Amici Curiae.

Dated: June 21, 2006 HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP

Ву:

/s/

Roger Myers

Attorneys for CNET News.com and the California First Amendment Coalition