

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342  
2 DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604  
JACOB R. SORENSEN #209134  
3 MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855  
BRIAN J. WONG #226940  
4 50 Fremont Street  
Post Office Box 7880  
5 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880  
Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
6 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200  
Email: bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com

7  
8 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
9 DAVID L. LAWSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
EDWARD R. McNICHOLAS (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
10 1501 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
11 Telephone: (202) 736-8010  
Facsimile: (202) 736-8711  
12  
13 Attorneys for Defendants  
AT&T CORP. and AT&T INC.

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17  
18 TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS,  
CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN  
19 on Behalf of Themselves and All Others  
Similarly Situated,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 vs.

22 AT&T CORP., AT&T INC. and DOES 1-20,  
23 inclusive,

24 Defendants.

No. C-06-0672-VRW

**DECLARATION OF JACOB R.  
SORENSEN IN SUPPORT OF  
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FOR  
INTERIM STAY PENDING  
DETERMINATION OF AT&T  
CORP.'S MOTION TO STAY**

[Civ. L.R. 7-11]

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor  
Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

25  
26  
27  
28

1 I, **JACOB R. SORENSEN**, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney in good standing with the State Bar of California and the  
3 bar of this Court, and am a senior associate with the law firm of Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw  
4 Pittman LLP, counsel for defendant **AT&T CORP.** (“AT&T”) and also for specially  
5 appearing defendant **AT&T INC.** I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this  
6 declaration and, if called as a witness, could competently testify thereto. I make this  
7 Declaration in Support of the Administrative Motion for Interim Stay Pending  
8 Determination of AT&T Corp.’s Motion to Stay.

9 2. On July 27, 2006, my colleague David Anderson and I spoke via telephone  
10 with Kurt Opsahl of the Electronic Frontier Foundation, counsel for plaintiffs. Mr.  
11 Anderson and I asked whether plaintiffs would stipulate to an interim stay pending the  
12 Court’s determination of a motion for stay pending appeal that AT&T intends to file on  
13 July 31. During the telephone call, Mr. Opsahl stated that he needed to discuss our request  
14 with his colleagues before responding.

15 3. Later on July 27, I received an email from Mr. Opsahl stating that plaintiffs  
16 would not stipulate to an interim stay.

17 4. Despite AT&T’s good-faith efforts to resolve the dispute over this issue  
18 without court intervention, it was unable to reach agreement with plaintiffs. Hence this  
19 administrative motion.

20 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

21 Executed on July 27, 2006, at San Francisco, California.

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Jacob R. Sorensen  
Jacob R. Sorensen