

1 PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
 BRUCE A. ERICSON #76342  
 2 DAVID L. ANDERSON #149604  
 JACOB R. SORENSEN #209134  
 3 MARC H. AXELBAUM #209855  
 BRIAN J. WONG #226940  
 4 50 Fremont Street  
 Post Office Box 7880  
 5 San Francisco, CA 94120-7880  
 Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
 6 Facsimile: (415) 983-1200  
 Email: [bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com](mailto:bruce.ericson@pillsburylaw.com)

7  
 8 SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
 DAVID W. CARPENTER (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 BRADFORD A. BERENSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 9 DAVID L. LAWSON (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 EDWARD R. McNICHOLAS (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
 10 1501 K Street, N.W.  
 Washington, D.C. 20005  
 11 Telephone: (202) 736-8010  
 Facsimile: (202) 736-8711

12 Attorneys for Defendants  
 13 AT&T CORP. and AT&T INC.

14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 15 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 16 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

17  
 18 TASH HEPTING, GREGORY HICKS,  
 CAROLYN JEWEL and ERIK KNUTZEN  
 19 on Behalf of Themselves and All Others  
 Similarly Situated,  
 20  
 Plaintiffs,  
 21  
 vs.  
 22 AT&T CORP., AT&T INC. and DOES 1-20,  
 23 inclusive,  
 24 Defendants.

No. C-06-0672-VRW

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
 AT&T CORP.'S MOTION TO  
 DISMISS PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED  
 COMPLAINT**

Courtroom: 6, 17th Floor  
 Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

25  
 26  
 27  
 28

1           On April 28, 2006, defendant AT&T Corp. moved pursuant to Rules 12(b)(1) and  
2 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure to dismiss plaintiffs’ Amended Complaint  
3 for Damages, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Dkt. 8 (the “Amended Complaint” or  
4 “FAC”) for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and for failure to state a claim upon which  
5 relief can be granted. Defendant AT&T Inc. joined in this motion.

6           On June 8, 2006, this motion duly came on for hearing before the Court, Hon.  
7 Vaughn R. Walker, United States District Chief Judge, presiding. Having considered  
8 defendants’ motion, defendants’ request for judicial notice, plaintiffs’ opposition thereto,  
9 defendants’ reply and the oral arguments presented by counsel for the parties, and good  
10 cause appearing,

11           IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 12           1. Defendants’ request for judicial notice is hereby GRANTED.
- 13           2. Defendants’ motion to dismiss is hereby GRANTED on the grounds that  
14 Defendants enjoy immunity from plaintiffs’ claims and Plaintiffs lack standing to pursue  
15 their claims.
- 16           3. The Amended Complaint for Damages, Declaratory and Injunctive Relief,  
17 filed by plaintiffs Tash Hepting, Gregory Hicks, Carolyn Jewel and Erik Knutzen on  
18 February 22, 2006 (Dkt. 8), is hereby DISMISSED as to defendants AT&T Corp. and  
19 AT&T Inc. with prejudice and without leave to amend.

20

21 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
United States District Chief Judge

22

23

24

25

26

27

28