15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 GABE BEAUPERTHUY, et al., 6 Case No. 06-715 SC 7 Plaintiffs, ORDER RE PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO RELATE CASES; MOTIONS TO 8 SHORTEN TIME; MOTION FOR v. TEMPORARY RESTRAINING 9 ORDER; MOTION FOR 24 HOUR FITNESS USA, INC., a 10 California corporation dba 24 HOUR) PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION FITNESS; SPORT AND FITNESS CLUBS 11 OF AMERICA, INC., a California corporation dba 24 HOUR FITNESS, 12 13 Defendants. 14

Before the Court are the following six motions filed by Plaintiffs Gabe Beauperthuy, et al., ("Plaintiffs") against Defendants 24 Hour Fitness USA, Inc. and Sport and Fitness Clubs of America, Inc. (collectively "24 Hour Fitness" or "Defendants"): Plaintiffs' Motion to Relate Cases, ECF No. 461; Plaintiffs' Motion to Shorten Time on Motion to Relate Cases, ECF No. 462; Plaintiffs' Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order ("TRO"), ECF No. 458; Plaintiffs' Motion for a Preliminary Injunction, ECF No. 463; and Plaintiffs' Motion to Shorten Time on Motion for Preliminary Injunction, ECF No. 464.

Plaintiffs state that they have recently filed in this district individual Petitions to Compel Arbitration on behalf of 273 claimants who were former class members in this action.

Plaintiffs state that Defendants have recently filed and are

continuing to file petitions to compel arbitration as to the same claimants in various district courts throughout the country (the "later-filed actions"). Plaintiffs note that Defendants have received motion dates as early as December 30, 2011 in some of the later filed actions and therefore seek to have this Court enjoin those actions prior to December 30, 2011.

In total, Plaintiffs ask the Court to do the following: immediately grant their Motion to Relate Cases and schedule a hearing on their Motion for a TRO at the soonest possible date; issue a TRO at that hearing enjoining Defendants from continuing to file or prosecute petitions to compel arbitration outside of this jurisdiction; hold a hearing on their motion for preliminary injunction prior to December 30, 2011 and issue a preliminary injunction barring further proceedings in the later-filed actions; and, hold a hearing on the merits of the related petitions prior to December 30, 2011.

Plaintiffs argue that this urgent pace is necessary to prevent them from having to defend their status as the first-filed action in dozens of courts across the country, hire local counsel in each district, and to prevent the relitigation of issues already decided in this Court's prior rulings, such as whether Plaintiffs' claims are time-barred and whether Plaintiffs followed the proper procedures for requesting arbitration.

Plaintiffs' Motion to Shorten Time on the Motion to Relate Cases is DENIED. Defendants shall be afforded the four days to oppose the Motion to Relate provided in Civil Local Rules 3-12(e) and 7-11(b), and the Court will rule on the Motion to Relate within the fourteen day window provided by Civil Local Rule 3-12(f)(2).

After ruling on the Motion to Relate, the Court will set appropriate dates for hearings on Plaintiffs' Motion for TRO and Motion for a Preliminary Injunction.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 16, 2011

