

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GOPI VEDACHALAM and KANGANA  
BERI, on behalf of themselves  
and all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v

No C 06-0963 VRW

ORDER

TATA AMERICA INTERNATIONAL  
CORPORATION, a New York  
corporation; TATA CONSULTANCY  
SERVICES, LTD, an Indian  
corporation; and TATA SONS, LTD,  
an Indian corporation,

Defendants.

---

The parties dispute whether defendants should be required to produce documents under plaintiffs' request for production no 9 (RFP no 9). Doc ##138, 139. Having considered the matter, the court determines that RFP no 9 subsections (b) and (c) appear to meet the requirements of FRCP 26(b)(1) to the extent they seek: "All documents constituting and/or referring to audits, investigations, inquiries, or studies, by TCS or any third party on behalf of TCS, including but not limited to any union, independent auditor, law firm, or governmental agency, regarding: \* \* \* (b)

1 TCS's compliance with any state and/or federal wage and hour laws;  
2 (c) TCS's compliance with any federal immigration laws." See Doc  
3 #139-1 at 7 (alteration by court underlined, subsection (a)  
4 deleted). RFP no 9 subsection (a) appears overbroad.

5 Defendants are DIRECTED to prepare a privilege log for  
6 all documents subject to production under RFP no 9(b)-(c) (as  
7 altered by the court) over which they claim a privilege.  
8 Defendants shall produce the privilege log not later than September  
9 24, 2010. The parties shall inform the court in writing of any  
10 remaining disputes associated with RFP no 9 not later than October  
11 1, 2010.

12  
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 

15 \_\_\_\_\_  
16 VAUGHN R WALKER  
17 United States District Chief Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28