Smith v. Plummer Doc. 51

1 AJ KUTCHINS P.O. Box 5138 Berkeley, California 94705 2 (510) 841-5635 3 ajkutchins@earthlink.net State Bar # 102322 4 Attorney for Petitioner 5 RONALD SMITH 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 RONALD EDWARD SMITH, 9 Petitioner and Appellant, 10 No. CV 06-01637 MMC VS. 11 CHARLES PLUMMER, Sheriff. 12 Alameda County Jail, 13 Respondent and Appellee. 14 15 CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY 16 Having considered the Application of Petitioner Ronald Smith and all of the 17 documents on file in this matter, and finding good cause therefor, the Court determines that Petitioner has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right in regard to 18 19 the claim set forth in the above-captioned habeas corpus petition. The Court accordingly 20 certifies the appealability of Petitioner's claim that he was deprived of due process of law, 21 under the principles outlined in Santobello v. New York, 404 U.S. 257 (1971) and its 22 progeny, when the State initiated civil commitment proceedings against him. The Court 23 further certifies that "jurists of reason" could disagree as to whether the abstention doctrine 24 set forth in Younger v. Harris, 401 U.S. 37 (1971) is applicable to the instant case. IT IS SO ORDERED. 25 26 Dated: June 15, 2010 27

28

[PROPOSED] CERT. OF APPEALABILITY

Dockets.Justia.com

ed States District Judge