| | Case 3:06-cv-01802-MHP Docum | nent 101 | Filed 03/08/2007 | Page 1 of 2 | | |
|----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | | | | |
| 1 | HAROLD J. McELHINNY (CA SBN | [66781) 153793) | | | | |
| 2 | MATTHEW I. KREEGER (CA SBN 153793) KRISTINA PASZEK (CA SBN 226351) HMcElhinny@mofo.com | | | | | |
| 3 | MKreeger@mofo.com KPaszek@mofo.com | | | | | |
| 4 | MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street | | | | | |
| 5 | San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522 | | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION | | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | | |
| 9 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | | | | |
| 10 | NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | | | | |
| 11 | SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | | |
| 13 | NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE BLIND, the NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE BLIND OF CALIFORNIA, on behalf of their members, and Bruce F. Sexton, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, | | Case No. C | 06-01802 MHP | | |
| 14 | | | [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR | | | |
| 15 | | | BIFURCATION OF ISSUES AT TRIAL | | | |
| 16 | Plaintiffs, | | Date: April | 12, 2007 | | |
| 17 | v. | | Time: 2:30 I | | | |
| 18 | TARGET CORPORATION, | TARGET CORPORATION, | | Judge. Tron. Marityn Flan Fater | | |
| 19 | Defendant. | | | | | |
| 20 | | | _ | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | |
| | [Proposed] Order Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Bifurcation of Issues at Trial case no. 06-01802 MHP sf-2281116 | | | | | |

| | Case 3:06-cv-01802-MHP Document 101 Filed 03/08/2007 Page 2 of 2 | | | | |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| 1 | This matter came for hearing on April 12, 2007, on Plaintiffs' Motion for Bifurcation of | | | | |
| 2 | Issues at Trial. Based on the Court's review of the pleadings and papers filed in connection with | | | | |
| 3 | this matter, the argument of counsel, and good cause appearing, | | | | |
| 4 | IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiffs' Motion for Bifurcation of Issues at Trial is | | | | |
| 5 | DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE. | | | | |
| 6 | | | | | |
| 7 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | | | | |
| 8 | | | | | |
| 9 | Dated:, 2007 The Honorable Marilyn Hall Patel | | | | |
| 10 | United States District Court Judge | | | | |
| 11 | | | | | |
| 12 | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | |
| 21 22 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | |
| | [Proposed] Order Denying Plaintiees' Motion for Rightication of Issues at Trial | | | | |