

EXHIBIT A

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:01 2 Q. I will give you another explanation
 11:01 3 that I neglected to give at the beginning. If
 11:01 4 your counsel objects, I'll still look at you to
 11:01 5 get an answer, unless he actually instructs you
 11:02 6 not to answer. So he can object, but you still
 11:02 7 have to answer, unless he -- unless he instructs
 11:02 8 you not to answer.
 11:02 9 Do you remember the question?
 11:02 10 Do you want me to say it again?
 11:02 11 A. Please restate.
 11:02 12 Q. Okay. Am I correct that -- that
 11:02 13 Target.com is there not just for people to
 11:02 14 purchase a product but also for customers to
 11:02 15 browse an array of different products that are
 11:02 16 advertised or put on the site?
 11:02 17 MR. McDOWELL: Same objection.
 11:02 18 THE WITNESS: Yes.
 11:02 19 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:02 20 Q. And it's there so that people can
 11:02 21 browse for a variety of products?
 11:02 22 Target.com is there so people can
 11:02 23 browse for a variety of products without any
 11:02 24 particular time limit or other pressure on them
 11:03 25 to finish, is that correct?

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:04 2 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 11:04 3 ambiguous.
 11:04 4 THE WITNESS: Can you specific to
 11:04 5 what task the guest is trying to accomplish?
 11:04 6 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:04 7 Q. If a guest wants to navigate through
 11:04 8 the products that might be available for sale at
 11:04 9 either a Target store or on Target.com but using
 11:05 10 Target.com in order to figure that information
 11:05 11 out, what skills does that -- does the -- the
 11:05 12 person using the website need to have in order
 11:05 13 to figure out or perceive the information that's
 11:05 14 provided on the website?
 11:05 15 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, lacks
 11:05 16 foundation that any information on the website
 11:05 17 has any bearing on what product is in Target
 11:05 18 stores.
 11:05 19 THE WITNESS: I'm not specifically
 11:05 20 involved in determining our Target guest and the
 11:05 21 specific abilities that she might have.
 11:05 22 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:05 23 Q. Well, when -- you're involved in
 11:05 24 designing the website to some degree, correct?
 11:05 25 A. Yes.

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:03 2 MR. McDOWELL: Same objection.
 11:03 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know that
 11:03 4 that's entirely accurate.
 11:03 5 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:03 6 Q. In what way is that not accurate?
 11:03 7 A. It -- it is not always the ultimate
 11:03 8 strategy to make -- the efficiency of the guest
 11:03 9 experience isn't always the ultimate strategy in
 11:03 10 the way Target.com is designed.
 11:03 11 Q. But is -- is one of the strategies
 11:03 12 with respect to Target.com's design to allow
 11:03 13 customers to browse for products at their
 11:03 14 leisure, either efficiently or without any
 11:03 15 particular time pressure?
 11:03 16 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, beyond the
 11:03 17 scope of the deposition, lacks foundation.
 11:03 18 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
 11:03 19 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:03 20 Q. Yes?
 11:03 21 A. Yes.
 11:04 22 Q. From sort of a descriptive or
 11:04 23 logistical way of looking at it, how -- how does
 11:04 24 an individual navigate through Target.com?
 11:04 25 What do they have to do?

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:05 2 Q. Okay. All right. And when you
 11:05 3 design the website, do you design it for people
 11:06 4 with any particular skill level?
 11:06 5 A. None that I'm aware of.
 11:06 6 Q. There's no particular level of
 11:06 7 Internet proficiency, for example, for which you
 11:06 8 design Target.com's website, is that correct?
 11:06 9 A. Well, I -- I want to clarify. We, as
 11:06 10 part of Target, typically consider our -- our
 11:06 11 core guest to be very educated and
 11:06 12 technologically savvy, and that decision does go
 11:06 13 into how we design certain components of our
 11:06 14 experiences.
 11:06 15 Q. In order to be able to navigate
 11:07 16 through the Target.com website, does somebody --
 11:07 17 let me start that again.
 11:07 18 Is the Target.com website designed so
 11:07 19 that somebody has to be highly educated and
 11:07 20 technologically savvy in order to navigate
 11:07 21 through?
 11:07 22 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, lacks
 11:07 23 foundation, beyond the scope.
 11:07 24 THE WITNESS: I don't think I'm the
 11:07 25 best person to make that assessment.

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:07 2 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:07 3 Q. Are you aware of -- of any
 11:07 4 requirement in the design of Target.com for
 11:07 5 users of any particular degree of education or
 11:07 6 technological savvy in order to navigate through
 11:07 7 the site?
 11:07 8 A. I'm sorry.
 11:08 9 Could you repeat the question?
 11:08 10 Q. Sure.
 11:08 11 MR. KONECKY: Could you read back the
 11:08 12 question?
 11:08 13 * * *
 11:08 14 (Whereupon, the reporter read back
 11:08 15 the requested portion of the record.)
 11:08 16 * * *
 11:08 17 THE WITNESS: There's not -- to the
 11:08 18 best of my understanding, there's not a
 11:08 19 particular education level or technological
 11:08 20 ability that's dictated in any of the design
 11:08 21 that we do.
 11:08 22 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:08 23 Q. Do you design Target.com so that it
 11:08 24 is user friendly for the general public?
 11:09 25 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, lacks

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:11 2 navigate through it, you need to be able to
 11:11 3 read, is that right?
 11:11 4 A. Yes.
 11:11 5 Q. Okay. And if you're using a mouse,
 11:11 6 you need to be able to -- be able to move the
 11:11 7 mouse and point and click, is that right?
 11:11 8 A. If you're using a mouse, yes.
 11:11 9 Q. Is there anything else you need to be
 11:11 10 able to do as sighted user in order to navigate
 11:11 11 through Target.com?
 11:11 12 A. I'm sorry. I -- the question's a bit
 11:12 13 vague for me.
 11:12 14 Q. All right. In what way is it vague?
 11:12 15 A. I mean we assume that you're able to
 11:12 16 process the information and understand and make
 11:12 17 judgments as to what you might be interested in.
 11:12 18 Q. In terms of designing Target.com, at
 11:12 19 least for sighted users, am I correct that it's
 11:12 20 designed to be user friendly so long as the
 11:12 21 person is able to read and process information
 11:12 22 and use a mouse?
 11:12 23 A. The friendliness of the experience is
 11:12 24 one of the components that we take into account
 11:12 25 when designing an experience. It is not the

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:09 2 foundation, beyond the scope.
 11:09 3 THE WITNESS: That is one of the
 11:09 4 business objectives that it serves. That is not
 11:09 5 the only one.
 11:09 6 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:09 7 Q. And does the business objective of
 11:09 8 making Target.com user friendly apply to users
 11:09 9 with both high and moderate and low levels of
 11:09 10 experience with the Internet?
 11:09 11 A. I've not been involved in any of
 11:09 12 those conversations -- any conversations with
 11:10 13 regard to differentiating users at that point.
 11:10 14 Q. Am I correct that the Target.com
 11:10 15 design does not change or differentiate
 11:10 16 depending upon the particular Internet skill set
 11:10 17 or education level of the user?
 11:10 18 A. The experience is the same for users
 11:10 19 of all experience levels.
 11:10 20 Q. The experience on Target.com?
 11:10 21 A. Correct.
 11:10 22 Q. Let's stick with sighted users of
 11:10 23 Target.com for a moment.
 11:11 24 I take it if you're accessing
 11:11 25 Target.com as a sighted user, in order to

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:12 2 only one.
 11:13 3 Q. Okay. I understand it's not the only
 11:13 4 one.
 11:13 5 But is there anything else that a
 11:13 6 sighted user needs in order to have a user
 11:13 7 friendly experience on Target.com other than the
 11:13 8 ability to read text and the ability to point
 11:13 9 and click a mouse?
 11:13 10 A. I understand -- you asked the same
 11:13 11 question. I still think it's vague. I mean
 11:13 12 we're still making assumptions as to their --
 11:13 13 the cognitive ability of the person to
 11:13 14 understand the marketing messages we're
 11:13 15 communicating. We're making assumptions about
 11:13 16 the tools they have available to access the
 11:13 17 site.
 11:13 18 Q. Well, when you design or when Target
 11:13 19 designs the website, is there anything else that
 11:14 20 Target puts in the design of the website which
 11:14 21 would require, at least for sighted users,
 11:14 22 anything other than the ability to read and the
 11:14 23 ability to point and click a mouse in order to
 11:14 24 have a user friendly experience on the website?
 11:14 25 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, asked and

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:43 2 A. I'm sure there is more, yes.
 11:43 3 Q. Is there anything else that you can
 11:43 4 think of right now?
 11:43 5 A. No.
 11:43 6 Q. What about from moving from one page
 11:43 7 to another on Target.com?
 11:43 8 Is there anything that a sighted user
 11:43 9 needs other than to be able to visually see the
 11:43 10 -- the page and the link on the page and to be
 11:43 11 able to mechanically use the mouse in order to
 11:43 12 point and click on the link?
 11:43 13 A. No.
 11:44 14 Q. Are -- when -- when Target.com was
 11:44 15 first designed, am I correct that it was not
 11:44 16 designed with the particular needs of a blind
 11:44 17 user in mind?
 11:44 18 A. I was not at the company when
 11:44 19 Target.com was designed.
 11:44 20 Q. Looking at the design, particularly
 11:44 21 prior to 2006, is it fair to say that it was a
 11:44 22 design that was directed for sighted users as
 11:45 23 opposed to blind users?
 11:45 24 A. The implementation of the design
 11:45 25 would have been accomplished sticking to the

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:45 2 developer's existing guidelines which would have
 11:45 3 provided direction to insure that the
 11:45 4 functionality of the site was accessible by all
 11:45 5 of our guests.
 11:45 6 Q. I'm correct that you've had to add or
 11:46 7 would have to add features to the site in order
 11:46 8 to make it -- in order to provide blind users
 11:46 9 with the equivalent ease of use or the same
 11:46 10 level of ease of use as sighted people?
 11:46 11 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 11:46 12 ambiguous.
 11:46 13 THE WITNESS: We've made changes to
 11:46 14 the site to improve the guest experience for
 11:46 15 people who use screen readers.
 11:46 16 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:46 17 Q. And these changes you've made since
 11:46 18 you've been there?
 11:46 19 A. Correct.
 11:46 20 Q. Okay. Some time after March of 2006?
 11:46 21 A. Correct.
 11:46 22 Q. And prior to making those changes, am
 11:46 23 I correct that in terms of perceiving the
 11:46 24 information on the website or being able to move
 11:46 25 through the website, that blind people or others

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:47 2 with vision disabilities that use screen access
 11:47 3 software to not -- did not have equivalent ease
 11:47 4 of use or the same level of ease of use on the
 11:47 5 website?
 11:47 6 A. I wouldn't --
 11:47 7 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 11:47 8 ambiguous.
 11:47 9 Go ahead.
 11:47 10 THE WITNESS: I wouldn't feel
 11:47 11 comfortable making that assessment.
 11:47 12 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:47 13 Q. Do you disagree with that assessment?
 11:47 14 MR. McDOWELL: Same objection.
 11:47 15 THE WITNESS: I would need to give
 11:47 16 that some more thought.
 11:47 17 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:47 18 Q. Sitting here today, as the person
 11:47 19 most knowledgeable for Target on the subjects of
 11:47 20 past efforts taken and contemplated efforts to
 11:47 21 make Target.com accessible and/or usable by
 11:48 22 people who are blind or visually impaired, would
 11:48 23 you disagree with the statement that prior to
 11:48 24 changes that are being implemented starting
 11:48 25 March 2006 or some time shortly thereafter, that

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 11:48 2 blind individuals or individuals with vision
 11:48 3 impairments that need screen access software
 11:48 4 could not experience Target.com with the same
 11:48 5 ease of use as sighted people?
 11:48 6 MR. McDOWELL: Vague and ambiguous.
 11:48 7 THE WITNESS: Again, you're making an
 11:49 8 assessment that I don't feel comfortable with by
 11:49 9 asking that question. I find it difficult to
 11:49 10 exactly compare the two experiences and call one
 11:49 11 easier to use than the other. They're different
 11:49 12 experiences. They're different ways of
 11:49 13 experiencing the same content.
 11:49 14 BY MR. KONECKY:
 11:49 15 Q. Well, if there's no alternate text
 11:49 16 attached to an image, then the blind user cannot
 11:50 17 experience that content, is that correct?
 11:50 18 A. That's correct.
 11:50 19 Q. And that's true regardless of how
 11:50 20 sophisticated the blind user is, right?
 11:50 21 A. Yes.
 11:50 22 Q. Or where the blind user is dialing in
 11:50 23 from or connecting from, right?
 11:50 24 A. Correct.
 11:50 25 Q. And prior to March 2006, there have

Page 106

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 13:31 2 these two people from University of Minnesota to
 13:31 3 do a basic awareness training, done anything
 13:31 4 else that you are aware of in order to identify
 13:32 5 possible barriers or obstacles on Target.com
 13:32 6 with respect to people who use screen access
 13:32 7 software?
 13:32 8 A. We have sought out a multitude of
 13:32 9 different sources for best practices as far as
 13:32 10 improving guest experience and usability to help
 13:32 11 guide future development and improve the guest
 13:32 12 experience overall.
 13:32 13 MR. KONECKY: Let me look at that
 13:32 14 answer.
 13:33 15 BY MR. KONECKY:
 13:33 16 Q. Has any of Target's efforts with
 13:33 17 respect to seeking out a multiple -- multitude
 13:33 18 of different sources to improve guest experience
 13:33 19 specifically involved attempts to identify or --
 13:33 20 identify potential barriers on the website for
 13:33 21 people with vision disabilities, or identify
 13:33 22 ways in which the website may or may not be
 13:33 23 accessible to such individuals?
 13:33 24 A. Our efforts have been focused on
 13:33 25 making the website easier to use for guests who

Page 107

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 13:33 2 are using assistive technologies. And as part
 13:34 3 of that, we sought out best practices for doing
 13:34 4 that.
 13:34 5 Q. All right. Describe the times you
 13:34 6 sought out best practices.
 13:34 7 I mean can you specifically identify
 13:34 8 what has been done in that regard and when?
 13:34 9 A. A specific example that I can come up
 13:34 10 with is labeling forms, HTML forms, and
 13:34 11 providing additional information to a guest
 13:34 12 using a screen reader. As we began looking to
 13:34 13 different ways of doing that, discovered that
 13:34 14 there are actually a few different ways of
 13:34 15 providing additional information as a screen
 13:34 16 reader reads through the elements of that form.
 13:35 17 And so it was finding a few different examples
 13:35 18 of that actually being used and making a
 13:35 19 determination of which would be the best
 13:35 20 solution for Target.
 13:35 21 Q. When did you begin labeling HTML
 13:35 22 forms?
 13:35 23 A. That was part of the site updates
 13:35 24 project that kicked off in March.
 13:35 25 Q. Of 2006?

Page 108

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 13:35 2 A. Correct.
 13:35 3 Q. And when did you start providing
 13:35 4 additional information to people using screen
 13:35 5 readers?
 13:35 6 A. For forms in specific?
 13:35 7 Q. For -- well, let's start with forms.
 13:35 8 A. Sometime between August and October
 13:35 9 2006. I'm not sure specifically when those
 13:35 10 changes went into place.
 13:36 11 Q. And what about have -- have there
 13:36 12 been any efforts to provide additional
 13:36 13 information to people using screen reader or
 13:36 14 screen access software other than with respect
 13:36 15 to forms?
 13:36 16 A. Yes.
 13:36 17 Q. And when did -- when did that effort
 13:36 18 begin?
 13:36 19 A. And also in March.
 13:36 20 Q. Of 2006?
 13:36 21 A. Correct.
 13:36 22 Q. Prior to March of 2006, has there
 13:36 23 been any effort that you are aware of by Target
 13:36 24 or on behalf of Target to identify potential
 13:36 25 ways in which Target.com might not be accessible

Page 109

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 13:37 2 to individuals with vision disabilities using
 13:37 3 screen access software?
 13:37 4 A. Can you restate it?
 13:37 5 I feel like we've -- I've answered
 13:37 6 that already.
 13:37 7 Q. Why don't I have it read back?
 13:37 8 A. No. How about -- could you just tell
 13:37 9 me what you mean?
 13:37 10 Q. Well, what I'm getting at is you have
 13:37 11 answered a series of questions. We talked about
 13:37 12 -- because I originally asked you what you could
 13:37 13 identify with respect to efforts to identify
 13:37 14 potential barriers. And so far, you've said
 13:37 15 receiving Dr. Thatcher's report, or at least a
 13:37 16 summary of it, potentially engaging these two
 13:37 17 people from the University of Minnesota to
 13:37 18 provide basic awareness training, and then you
 13:38 19 testified that you sought out a multitude of
 13:38 20 different sources to improve the guest
 13:38 21 experience. When I asked you about the
 13:38 22 specifics of that, you said that in March of
 13:38 23 2006, you included some labeling of -- of forms,
 13:38 24 and then in August of 2006, there was an effort
 13:38 25 to provide additional information to people

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:34 2 A. I'm struggling to recall the specific
 14:34 3 improvements that were part of each release.
 14:34 4 They were broken apart more to make better use
 14:34 5 of development resources than to have
 14:34 6 consistency in the features.
 14:34 7 The first specific change was to
 14:35 8 incorporate the -- both the ability of Target to
 14:35 9 control the alternative text for images that
 14:35 10 were on the site.
 14:35 11 Q. I'm not sure I understand your answer
 14:35 12 which speaks more to my ability to understand
 14:35 13 than anything else, but what -- what do you mean
 14:35 14 specifically?
 14:35 15 What was done with that -- the first
 14:35 16 part that you started to describe?
 14:35 17 A. So for the -- the portions of the
 14:35 18 experience that Target.com -- on Target.com that
 14:35 19 a Target resource somewhere developed, we could
 14:36 20 code -- we can code to include those ALT tags
 14:36 21 for images ourselves. There are significant
 14:36 22 portions of the experience that Amazon develops,
 14:36 23 and so we had no control in those areas. In
 14:36 24 those areas, they made the changes so that as we
 14:36 25 provide the image, at the same time, we can

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:37 2 for all images that Amazon -- that are put on
 14:38 3 the parts of the site that Amazon maintains?
 14:38 4 A. To the best of my knowledge.
 14:38 5 Q. And I know you mentioned this
 14:38 6 earlier, but which parts of the site are that --
 14:38 7 are they, the ones that Amazon maintains?
 14:38 8 A. All of the shopping and browsing and
 14:38 9 checkout portions of the site.
 14:38 10 Q. And was putting the alt text on the
 14:38 11 shopping and browsing portions of the site part
 14:38 12 of the first release or subsequent release?
 14:38 13 A. I believe they were part of the
 14:39 14 first.
 14:39 15 Q. And also as part of the first
 14:39 16 release, Target coded the -- the other images on
 14:39 17 the parts of the site that it maintained without
 14:39 18 Amazon for alt text, is that right?
 14:39 19 A. I'm sorry. I'm trying to be as
 14:39 20 accurate as I can on this. I don't specifically
 14:39 21 remember how things made it into the various
 14:39 22 releases at Amazon.com. If I'm correct in
 14:39 23 saying that Amazon provided that ability in the
 14:39 24 first release, that would have then given us the
 14:39 25 ability to begin providing alternate text for

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:36 2 provide an alternate text label for that as
 14:36 3 well.
 14:36 4 Q. For the parts of the site that Amazon
 14:36 5 -- is it right to say powers, operates?
 14:36 6 What's the right terminology?
 14:36 7 A. Maintains.
 14:36 8 Q. Maintains?
 14:36 9 For the sites that Amazon maintains,
 14:37 10 Target provides the image, as well as the alt
 14:37 11 text.
 14:37 12 A. Correct. Within the content
 14:37 13 management tool, there is an area where we
 14:37 14 upload images and provide specifications about
 14:37 15 that image, its height, its width, as well as
 14:37 16 any other attributes in including ALT attributes
 14:37 17 for that image.
 14:37 18 Q. And what did you say that was part
 14:37 19 of?
 14:37 20 A. Seller Central.
 14:37 21 Q. It's a particular program or
 14:37 22 protocol?
 14:37 23 A. That's the contact management tool
 14:37 24 that Amazon provides to Target.com.
 14:37 25 Q. And it's the same tool that's used

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:39 2 the tens of thousands of images that are
 14:39 3 available on Target.com through Seller Central.
 14:39 4 So there was the need to go back to those images
 14:39 5 that had already been on the site to provide
 14:40 6 alternate text for those.
 14:40 7 Q. How many of the tens of thousands of
 14:40 8 images on the parts of the site maintained by
 14:40 9 Amazon needed alt text?
 14:40 10 A. I don't know the number off the top
 14:40 11 of my head.
 14:40 12 Q. Do you know if it was more than half?
 14:40 13 A. I'm pausing because the number that I
 14:40 14 have in my memory is that there were twenty
 14:40 15 thousand images that needed alternate text. And
 14:40 16 my pause is because I don't know if that also
 14:40 17 included what would be considered decorative
 14:40 18 images which do receive alternate text, but the
 14:40 19 alternate text is blank. And I wouldn't want to
 14:41 20 make a guess as to if those were included or not
 14:41 21 included in that twenty thousand number that I'm
 14:41 22 remembering right now.
 14:41 23 Q. So it's either twenty thousand or
 14:41 24 more?
 14:41 25 A. I don't know that's what I said.

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:41 2 Q. Well, I mean if they are included,
 14:41 3 it's approximately twenty thousand; if they're
 14:41 4 not included, it might be more than twenty
 14:41 5 thousand?
 14:41 6 A. Correct.
 14:41 7 Q. Did -- did the alt text coding for
 14:41 8 the non-Amazon parts of the site occur before or
 14:41 9 after or at the same time as the coding for the
 14:41 10 Amazon portions?
 14:41 11 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, lacks
 14:41 12 foundation.
 14:41 13 THE WITNESS: Yes, to all three.
 14:41 14 BY MR. KONECKY:
 14:41 15 Q. Okay. Did they extend beyond more
 14:41 16 than one phase?
 14:41 17 A. I am sorry. In areas where we could
 14:42 18 develop the experience part of following HTML
 14:42 19 guidelines would include providing an ALT tag,
 14:42 20 so prior experiences would have had that as part
 14:42 21 of their development, and they continue to be
 14:42 22 developed that way.
 14:42 23 Q. Prior to the releases that occurred
 14:42 24 in 2006, was there any systematic effort to
 14:42 25 include alternate text on either -- on any of

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:44 2 you're talking about when you say third-party.
 14:44 3 Q. Well, in which -- are there any other
 14:44 4 entities that maintain portions of Target.com
 14:44 5 other than Amazon?
 14:44 6 A. Yes.
 14:44 7 Q. Okay. And for all of those entities,
 14:45 8 was there ever any -- for all of those portions
 14:45 9 of the site, was there any -- ever any
 14:45 10 systematic effort prior to 2006 in order to
 14:45 11 label images with alt text?
 14:45 12 A. I don't know.
 14:45 13 Q. Okay. You're not aware of any?
 14:45 14 A. I don't know.
 14:45 15 Q. With respect to the parts of the
 14:45 16 Target.com in which Target is providing platform
 14:45 17 as opposed to Amazon or a different entity, has
 14:45 18 there been any systematic or regular
 14:45 19 documentation of the inclusion of alt text in
 14:46 20 the website?
 14:46 21 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 14:46 22 ambiguous.
 14:46 23 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I
 14:46 24 understand the specific question you're asking.
 14:46 25 BY MR. KONECKY:

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:42 2 the images on the Target.com website?
 14:43 3 A. For the portions of the site that are
 14:43 4 not maintained by Amazon, as our developers code
 14:43 5 and deliver those experiences on HTML, it would
 14:43 6 have been best practice to include ALT tags
 14:43 7 prior to this specific project.
 14:43 8 Q. But not for the parts of the site
 14:43 9 maintained by Amazon?
 14:43 10 A. Again, Amazon maintains the HTML and
 14:43 11 code related to those, so Target's ability to
 14:43 12 provide that alternate text would be limited.
 14:43 13 Q. All right. But I -- you're getting
 14:43 14 ahead of me.
 14:43 15 I just want to know prior to the
 14:43 16 releases in 2006, am I correct that there was no
 14:44 17 systematic effort for any of the parts of the
 14:44 18 site maintained by Amazon to include alt text on
 14:44 19 the images?
 14:44 20 A. Not that I'm aware of.
 14:44 21 Q. Okay. And is that the same answer
 14:44 22 for any other part of the site that's maintained
 14:44 23 by another third-party, whether it's Amazon or a
 14:44 24 different third-party?
 14:44 25 A. I -- I don't know the scope of what

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:46 2 Q. Are there any written protocols for
 14:46 3 doing that?
 14:46 4 A. There are development guidelines that
 14:46 5 we've talked about before that would have
 14:46 6 included following HTML coding best practices
 14:46 7 that include -- and one of -- and HTML coding
 14:46 8 best practice is that when you use images, you
 14:46 9 specify a height or attribute or width
 14:46 10 attribute --
 14:46 11 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. HTML
 14:46 12 and best practices is when you use images. . .
 14:46 13 MR. McDOWELL: And HTML best
 14:46 14 practices is when you an image, you could -- you
 14:46 15 supply a height attribute, a width attribute --
 14:47 16 THE WITNESS: And an ALT attribute.
 14:47 17 THE COURT REPORTER: Well, that's
 14:47 18 your answer --
 14:47 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah. And that's --
 14:47 20 THE COURT REPORTER: -- on the record
 14:47 21 so. . .
 14:47 22 Do you want to just adopt that, or I
 14:47 23 mean it shows up as Mr. McDowell so. . .
 14:47 24 MR. McDOWELL: Did I -- did I --
 14:47 25 THE WITNESS: Yes, that was exact --

Page 146

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:47 2 MR. McDOWELL: -- did I correctly
 14:47 3 repeat what you had said?
 14:47 4 BY MR. KONECKY:
 14:47 5 Q. Or were going to say?
 14:47 6 A. Yes. That was what I was going to
 14:47 7 say.
 14:47 8 MR. WALBOURN: Well, that's what he
 14:47 9 said before. She read it back incorrectly.
 14:47 10 That's what he said before.
 14:47 11 Start over and let him re-answer the
 14:47 12 question because that's exactly what he said
 14:47 13 previously.
 14:47 14 MR. KONECKY: The record is what it
 14:47 15 is.
 14:47 16 MR. WALBOURN: I know.
 14:47 17 BY MR. KONECKY:
 14:47 18 Q. The protocols that you were referring
 14:47 19 to, are they Target protocols or are they the
 14:47 20 W3C protocols for HTML text or coding or
 14:48 21 something else?
 14:48 22 A. The -- the best practices that I'm
 14:48 23 referring to would be Target's web development
 14:48 24 best practices --
 14:48 25 Q. Okay.

Page 147

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:48 2 A. -- which make reference to the W3C
 14:48 3 HTML.
 14:48 4 Q. And when was the last time those were
 14:48 5 developed, drafted at Target?
 14:48 6 A. I am aware of a revision that
 14:48 7 happened in late December, and they -- but
 14:48 8 they're draft -- reviewed fairly frequently for
 14:48 9 updates.
 14:48 10 Q. That's December 2006?
 14:48 11 A. Correct.
 14:48 12 Q. And how often have they been updated
 14:48 13 over the past five years?
 14:48 14 A. I don't know.
 14:48 15 Q. At least once a year?
 14:48 16 A. I can only speak to the last year,
 14:48 17 and I know that they had -- at a minimum of
 14:49 18 three times, they've been updated to include
 14:49 19 additional information.
 14:49 20 Q. Do you know of any other updates
 14:49 21 prior to 2006?
 14:49 22 A. I would need to go back and reference
 14:49 23 my notes.
 14:49 24 Q. You have notes about updates like
 14:49 25 this?

Page 148

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:49 2 A. I get -- I get the updates when
 14:49 3 they're made, and included in that is a revision
 14:49 4 history of the document (witness indicating).
 14:49 5 Q. Okay. And for purposes of finding
 14:49 6 the document, what is the specific name?
 14:49 7 A. I don't know. It would be -- I don't
 14:49 8 know.
 14:49 9 Q. Okay. Why did you include alternate
 14:50 10 text coding as part of this release in 2006?
 14:50 11 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, lacks
 14:50 12 foundation.
 14:50 13 THE WITNESS: To improve the guest
 14:50 14 experience for users using screen readers to
 14:50 15 access the website.
 14:50 16 MR. McDOWELL: Would you read back
 14:50 17 the question?
 14:50 18 * * *
 14:50 19 (Whereupon, the reporter read back
 14:50 20 the requested portion of the record.)
 09:54 21 * * *
 14:50 22 BY MR. KONECKY:
 14:50 23 Q. What other improvements or changes
 14:50 24 relative to disability access were made during
 14:50 25 these releases in 2006?

Page 149

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 14:51 2 A. I specifically remember changes being
 14:51 3 made to forms on line to make them easier to use
 14:51 4 and to provide additional page information for
 14:51 5 screen readers, header tags specifically.
 14:51 6 There's one more set of changes that I'm not
 14:51 7 recalling.
 14:51 8 Q. Any changes with respect to use of a
 14:51 9 keyboard?
 14:51 10 A. Those would have been specific
 14:51 11 changes related to the forms I believe.
 14:51 12 Q. Anything else?
 14:52 13 A. Not that I remember.
 14:52 14 Q. Are these changes documented
 14:52 15 anywhere?
 14:52 16 A. Yes.
 14:52 17 Q. Where?
 14:52 18 A. As part of a project definition that
 14:52 19 was collaborated with Amazon that's maintained
 14:52 20 by Target.com.
 14:52 21 Q. What's a project definition?
 14:52 22 What does that mean?
 14:52 23 A. It outlines -- from my point of view,
 14:52 24 it outlines the high level requirements that are
 14:52 25 going into a specific project with Amazon.

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:13 2 A. Yes.
 15:13 3 Q. Is that something that also applies
 15:14 4 to Target.com?
 15:14 5 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 15:14 6 ambiguous.
 15:14 7 THE WITNESS: Well, what do you mean
 15:14 8 by the question?
 15:14 9 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:14 10 Q. Well, would you agree with Dr.
 15:14 11 Thatcher that in order for a web page on
 15:14 12 Target.com to be accessible to somebody with a
 15:14 13 vision disability that relies on screen access
 15:14 14 software, that it must be possible for the user
 15:14 15 to interact with the page using only the
 15:14 16 keyboard?
 15:14 17 A. I agree that you should be able to
 15:14 18 accomplish the same tasks by only interacting
 15:14 19 with the keyboard.
 15:14 20 Q. And would you agree that that is
 15:14 21 applicable generally to individuals with vision
 15:14 22 disabilities who rely on screen access software?
 15:14 23 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 15:14 24 ambiguous.
 15:15 25 THE WITNESS: My understanding is

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:16 2 track the changes?
 15:16 3 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:16 4 Q. Well, the changes that Target made to
 15:16 5 Target.com in 2006 with respect to disability
 15:16 6 access were changes -- all of those changes were
 15:16 7 subjects included in Dr. Thatcher's report which
 15:16 8 is here as Exhibit 2, right?
 15:16 9 A. I don't know that that's true. I
 15:16 10 haven't reviewed this in its entirety.
 15:17 11 Q. Okay. Well, keyboard access is one
 15:17 12 of the changes that Target made in 2006,
 15:17 13 correct?
 15:17 14 A. Yes.
 15:17 15 Q. And keyboard access was one of the
 15:17 16 recommendations that you recall from Dr.
 15:17 17 Thatcher?
 15:17 18 A. So to be clear, all I ever saw were
 15:17 19 the summary of the changes from Dr. Thatcher,
 15:17 20 and, yes, that was part of the summarized
 15:17 21 changes.
 15:18 22 Q. Okay. On page ten of the report --
 15:18 23 well, that actually starts toward the bottom of
 15:18 24 page nine where Dr. Thatcher talks about
 15:18 25 navigation. And then on page forty-nine or --

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:15 2 that people using the screen reading software
 15:15 3 use the keyboard exclusively to navigate
 15:15 4 applications, including web pages.
 15:15 5 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:15 6 Q. And that's true regardless of the
 15:15 7 particular user's proficiency level or level of
 15:15 8 education or location, right?
 15:15 9 A. Correct.
 15:15 10 Q. Okay. And it's also true that in
 15:15 11 response to Dr. Thatcher's report that in 2006,
 15:15 12 Target made changes to its website to include
 15:15 13 keyboard access in certain areas?
 15:15 14 A. I don't know that those changes were
 15:15 15 made in response to this report.
 15:15 16 Q. Okay. Those changes were not made
 15:15 17 before this report, is that right?
 15:16 18 A. Correct.
 15:16 19 Q. And those changes track the
 15:16 20 recommendations of Dr. Thatcher's report, right?
 15:16 21 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry.
 15:16 22 Track the recommendations of what?
 15:16 23 MR. KONECKY: Of Dr. Thatcher's
 15:16 24 report.
 15:16 25 THE WITNESS: And what do you mean,

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:18 2 excuse me -- paragraph forty-nine on page ten,
 15:18 3 it says that: "Screen reader users need some
 15:18 4 technique for skipping over all of those links
 15:19 5 in order to get to the desired part of the
 15:19 6 screen."
 15:19 7 Do you -- first of all, did Target
 15:19 8 make any changes with respect to navigation for
 15:19 9 people using screen readers on the website in
 15:19 10 2006 as part of those releases?
 15:19 11 A. Yes.
 15:19 12 Q. Okay. And specifically, what kind of
 15:19 13 change was made there?
 15:19 14 A. The specific change that I remember
 15:19 15 was adding header tags to pages --
 15:19 16 Q. Uh-huh.
 15:19 17 A. -- so that the specific content areas
 15:19 18 of a page could be separated by . . .
 15:19 19 Q. And does that assist in allowing
 15:19 20 people using screen access software to skip over
 15:20 21 other links in order to get to where they want
 15:20 22 to go?
 15:20 23 A. Yes.
 15:20 24 Q. And do you agree with Dr. Thatcher
 15:20 25 that oftentimes without that technique, the

Page 158

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:20 2 header tags, there can be multiple links that
 15:20 3 would take a lengthy amount of time for somebody
 15:20 4 using screen access software to get through, in
 15:20 5 order to get to the desired location?
 15:20 6 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, lacks
 15:20 7 foundation, vague and ambiguous.
 15:20 8 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I haven't
 15:20 9 had a time to read all of this.
 15:20 10 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:20 11 Q. Well, take a minute to read paragraph
 15:20 12 forty-seven through fifty-one.
 15:21 13 A. Okay.
 15:21 14 Q. Okay. On page forty-eight, Dr.
 15:21 15 Thatcher writes that using the tab key to
 15:21 16 navigate through the page that he's describing
 15:21 17 in the previous paragraph, in order to get to
 15:22 18 the continued checkout button, will require
 15:22 19 about fifty key strokes just to get to the
 15:22 20 desired button.
 15:22 21 Do you see where I am?
 15:22 22 A. Just to be clear, that's paragraph
 15:22 23 forty-eight?
 15:22 24 Q. Paragraph forty-eight.
 15:22 25 A. Okay.

Page 159

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:22 2 Q. The continued checkout reference is
 15:22 3 in the preceding paragraph.
 15:22 4 Does that comport with your
 15:22 5 understanding of Target.com prior to -- at least
 15:22 6 prior to the releases that occurred in 2006?
 15:22 7 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 15:22 8 ambiguous.
 15:22 9 THE WITNESS: I can't say either way.
 15:22 10 I don't know.
 15:22 11 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:22 12 Q. Okay. So you don't have any reason
 15:22 13 to disagree with the observation by Dr. Thatcher
 15:22 14 when he wrote this report in the earlier part of
 15:23 15 2006 that there were multiple key strokes that
 15:23 16 would be necessary for a blind user to go
 15:23 17 through in order to navigate to the desired
 15:23 18 result or the desired location?
 15:23 19 A. I have no reason to disagree with
 15:23 20 that finding.
 15:23 21 Q. And would you agree that this results
 15:23 22 in extra time for the blind user to navigate
 15:23 23 through the website as compared to the sighted
 15:23 24 user?
 15:23 25 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and

Page 160

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:23 2 ambiguous.
 15:23 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know that I can
 15:23 4 say that actually.
 15:23 5 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:23 6 Q. Do you disagree with that?
 15:23 7 A. With comparing the time?
 15:23 8 I don't know that I could say that.
 15:24 9 That's a -- I can't compare as you just did.
 15:24 10 Q. Do you agree that putting headers on
 15:24 11 to -- header tags on to the pages can allow a
 15:24 12 blind user to move through the site more quickly
 15:24 13 and easily than without the header tags?
 15:24 14 A. Yes.
 15:24 15 Q. And would you agree that that's
 15:24 16 generally the case for any blind or visually
 15:24 17 impaired user that relies on screen access
 15:24 18 software?
 15:24 19 A. Yes.
 15:24 20 Q. Okay. And on the other hand, would
 15:24 21 you agree that for blind users that use screen
 15:24 22 access software without the header tags, it's
 15:24 23 going to take longer for them to navigate
 15:24 24 through the site?
 15:24 25 A. Correct. So it would take longer for

Page 161

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:25 2 a person using a screen reader -- screen reading
 15:25 3 software to navigate a page without header tags
 15:25 4 than with?
 15:25 5 Q. Yes.
 15:25 6 A. That's what I would guess.
 15:25 7 Q. You agree with that?
 15:25 8 A. Yes.
 15:25 9 Q. And would you agree that in a case --
 15:25 10 that there can be cases without header tags, for
 15:25 11 example, even on Target.com, where it could take
 15:25 12 fifty strokes to get to a desired location when
 15:25 13 the header tags aren't there to guide the user?
 15:25 14 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, lacks
 15:25 15 foundation.
 15:25 16 THE WITNESS: Can you ask the
 15:25 17 question in a different way?
 15:25 18 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:25 19 Q. Sure. Without the header tags to
 15:25 20 guide the user, would you agree that it can
 15:25 21 often take multiple key strokes, up to fifty in
 15:25 22 the case of Target.com, for a blind user to get
 15:26 23 from one place to the desired result?
 15:26 24 MR. McDOWELL: Same objection.
 15:26 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:44 2 information is to be entered into which field is
 15:44 3 necessary in order for -- let me start that
 15:44 4 again.
 15:44 5 Would you agree that form labeling or
 15:44 6 some similar design feature on a website is
 15:45 7 necessary for a blind user using screen access
 15:45 8 software to know where information is to be
 15:45 9 entered in which field when filling out an
 15:45 10 online form?
 15:45 11 A. I lost you in the question.
 15:45 12 I think that it's essential for all
 15:45 13 guests to understand what the purpose of each
 15:45 14 form element is.
 15:45 15 Q. And for guests with vision
 15:45 16 disabilities, would you agree that form
 15:45 17 labeling, including prompting information, that
 15:45 18 interacts with the screen access software is
 15:45 19 necessary in order to achieve that result?
 15:45 20 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, asked and
 15:45 21 answered.
 15:45 22 THE WITNESS: Again, I'd still
 15:45 23 maintain that that is a way, but not the only
 15:45 24 way to accomplish the intended result.
 15:45 25 BY MR. KONECKY:

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:45 2 Q. Well, let me ask you two questions
 15:46 3 about that.
 15:46 4 Am I correct that there must be some
 15:46 5 accommodation, whether it's form labeling or
 15:46 6 something else, that's put into the site to
 15:46 7 accomplish the result that we're talking about,
 15:46 8 that is to insure that people with vision
 15:46 9 disabilities are prompted to know which
 15:46 10 information is to go where when filling out an
 15:46 11 online form?
 15:46 12 A. Yes. The page must be developed in
 15:46 13 such a way that the information pertaining to
 15:46 14 form elements either in order or in code relates
 15:46 15 back to the input for that form.
 15:46 16 Q. And if that does not happen, people
 15:46 17 with vision disabilities as a general matter are
 15:46 18 going to have difficulty knowing which
 15:46 19 information to input where, isn't that right?
 15:47 20 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 15:47 21 ambiguous.
 15:47 22 THE WITNESS: Without associating the
 15:47 23 two either in order or through code, I would
 15:47 24 agree with you that a guest using screen reading
 15:47 25 software would have trouble associating --

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:47 2 understanding what the purpose of each form
 15:47 3 element was.
 15:47 4 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:47 5 Q. And that would apply to the guest
 15:47 6 with a vision disability regardless of the
 15:47 7 particular screen access program or the
 15:47 8 particular level of education or the particular
 15:47 9 location from where that person is accessing the
 15:47 10 website, isn't that right?
 15:47 11 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, lacks
 15:47 12 foundation.
 15:47 13 THE WITNESS: I don't know that I can
 15:47 14 answer that. I've not done a complete
 15:48 15 evaluation of all assistive technology that
 15:48 16 people with vision impairment use to access
 15:48 17 websites.
 15:48 18 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:48 19 Q. From what you're aware of, as the
 15:48 20 person most knowledgeable today on behalf of
 15:48 21 Target, am I correct that, generally speaking,
 15:48 22 people with vision disabilities will need some
 15:48 23 kind of accommodation, whether or not it's form
 15:48 24 labeling or something else, on the website in
 15:48 25 order to be prompted to know where to put what

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:48 2 information when filling out an online form?
 15:48 3 MR. McDOWELL: This witness is not
 15:48 4 designated for that category. So despite your
 15:48 5 attempt to identify him as a Target designee on
 15:48 6 that topic, he is not.
 15:48 7 To the extent you can answer the
 15:48 8 question, feel free.
 15:48 9 THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I
 15:48 10 understand what you're asking by the question.
 15:48 11 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:48 12 Q. Well, are you aware of any situation
 15:49 13 in which it would not be important to provide
 15:49 14 form labeling or some similar accommodation or
 15:49 15 program in the site itself to allow an
 15:49 16 individual who uses screen access software to
 15:49 17 know where to put which information when filling
 15:49 18 out online forms?
 15:49 19 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 15:49 20 ambiguous.
 15:49 21 THE WITNESS: If the page is coded in
 15:49 22 such a way that the text to that is read is
 15:49 23 immediately preceding or next to the input box
 15:49 24 that the guest is asked to fill out, a guest
 15:49 25 would be able to -- would you be aware of the

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:50 2 relationship between those two elements.
 15:50 3 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:50 4 Q. Are you aware of any such instances
 15:50 5 like that on Target.com?
 15:50 6 A. I don't know.
 15:50 7 Q. Okay. And on Target.com, some of the
 15:50 8 information that a guest may need to fill in
 15:50 9 would include private information, like their
 15:50 10 credit card number, right?
 15:50 11 A. Correct.
 15:50 12 Q. All right. So it's important for a
 15:50 13 guest with a vision disability trying to make a
 15:50 14 purchase on Target.com to have adequate and
 15:50 15 reliable form labeling so they know where
 15:50 16 they're putting information, including private
 15:50 17 information like a credit card number, right?
 15:50 18 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and
 15:50 19 ambiguous.
 15:50 20 THE WITNESS: Again, form labeling
 15:50 21 would be one way of associating the information
 15:50 22 that's being asked for and the actual input area
 15:51 23 for the guest.
 15:51 24 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:51 25 Q. It's actually the only way that

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:51 2 you're aware of as you sit here today, right?
 15:51 3 A. Well, as I've been talking, the other
 15:51 4 way would be to immediately put the text in
 15:51 5 physical relationship to the form element.
 15:51 6 Q. And has Target done that?
 15:51 7 A. I-- sorry. For the specific -- for
 15:51 8 example, for credit card area, those form
 15:51 9 elements have been labeled.
 15:51 10 Q. No. The alternative to form
 15:51 11 labeling, is that something that Target has
 15:51 12 done?
 15:51 13 A. I don't know.
 15:51 14 Q. And the form labeling itself is
 15:51 15 something that Target did in 2006?
 15:51 16 A. Correct.
 15:51 17 Q. After receiving Mr. Thatcher's
 15:51 18 report?
 15:51 19 A. Correct.
 15:51 20 Q. And when Target did the form labeling
 15:52 21 on the site, it didn't make distinctions based
 15:52 22 upon the level of skill of a particular user or
 15:52 23 the -- or other individualized attributes of a
 15:52 24 particular user, did it?
 15:52 25 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, vague and

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:52 2 ambiguous.
 15:52 3 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
 15:52 4 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:52 5 Q. You're not aware of them, or are you
 15:52 6 making any such individualized inquiries of
 15:52 7 particular users?
 15:52 8 MR. McDOWELL: Same objection.
 15:52 9 THE WITNESS: I did not -- I
 15:52 10 personally did not, no.
 15:52 11 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:52 12 Q. And you're not aware of anybody else
 15:52 13 doing that, is that right?
 15:52 14 A. Correct.
 15:52 15 MR. McDOWELL: It's getting late.
 15:52 16 Why don't we take a quick break?
 15:52 17 MR. KONECKY: Sure.
 15:57 18 * * *
 15:57 19 (Whereupon, a short recess was taken.)
 15:57 20 * * *
 15:57 21 BY MR. KONECKY:
 15:57 22 Q. Okay. Previously, earlier this
 15:57 23 morning, you were testifying about certain
 15:57 24 assumptions that may have been made with respect
 15:57 25 to the user when determining how to design the

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 15:57 2 site, such as being technologically savvy or
 15:57 3 well educated, at least as ambiguously defined,
 15:57 4 correct?
 15:57 5 A. Correct. Target.com would define
 15:58 6 their guest as well educated and technically
 15:58 7 savvy.
 15:58 8 Q. And then Target or Target.com, a
 15:58 9 division of Target, would design the website
 15:58 10 with that particular guest in mind?
 15:58 11 A. Correct.
 15:58 12 Q. And that particular guest -- and in
 15:58 13 doing so, there would only be one website design
 15:58 14 for Target.com?
 15:58 15 There wouldn't be more than one
 15:58 16 Target.com. It would be one design to set a
 15:58 17 certain level of use for whatever user group
 15:58 18 Target was assumed was its target population?
 15:59 19 A. So I would say that Target.com is a
 15:59 20 collection of many experiences, and the specific
 15:59 21 guest segment and attributes of that guest would
 15:59 22 be defined by each experience. Broadly
 15:59 23 speaking, Target.com would identify the shopping
 15:59 24 experience as a single guest profile.
 15:59 25 Q. And the -- and each experience would

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 16:07 2 used as part of the best practices, do they
 16:07 3 contain reference to any of the specific
 16:07 4 elements which Dr. Thatcher recommends are
 16:07 5 necessary for access and/or which were part of
 16:07 6 any of the releases that Target did in 2006?
 16:07 7 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, compound,
 16:07 8 lacks foundation.
 16:07 9 The witness hadn't seen Dr.
 16:07 10 Thatcher's report so --
 16:07 11 MR. KONECKY: I'll break it down.
 16:07 12 MR. McDOWELL: Thank you.
 16:07 13 BY MR. KONECKY:
 16:07 14 Q. Is there anything in the best
 16:07 15 practices web page review process, that is the
 16:08 16 process by which the lead developers look at web
 16:08 17 pages as they're coming out, which specifically
 16:08 18 prompts a consideration of alternate text?
 16:08 19 A. Yes.
 16:08 20 Q. Okay. Can you describe that?
 16:08 21 A. That specific issue when using an
 16:08 22 image tag properly formed HTML would include
 16:08 23 several attributes, and that would include an
 16:08 24 ALT tag.
 16:08 25 Q. And this is something that the lead

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 16:10 2 document.
 16:10 3 Q. So for the pages that Amazon is
 16:10 4 maintaining, do your lead developers review
 16:10 5 those pages before they get released?
 16:11 6 A. It depends on the specific area of
 16:11 7 the experience. If it is a change to a template
 16:11 8 that's controlled within Seller Central, I don't
 16:11 9 know the answer. If it is the creation of or
 16:11 10 modification of a site experience, for example,
 16:11 11 the checkout experience, yes, my developers
 16:11 12 would review that code.
 16:11 13 Q. So what percentage of web page is
 16:11 14 maintained by Amazon are reviewed under the best
 16:11 15 practices protocol by Target before they're made
 16:12 16 live?
 16:12 17 A. By the sheer volume of pages that get
 16:12 18 created through the template system, I would say
 16:12 19 the percentage is very small, but I don't know.
 16:12 20 Q. When you say very small, do you mean
 16:12 21 less than ten percent?
 16:12 22 A. Yes.
 16:12 23 Q. Less than five percent?
 16:12 24 A. I don't know.
 16:12 25 Q. In any event, your testimony is that

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 16:08 2 developers were reviewing for each new website
 16:08 3 page since before 2006?
 16:08 4 A. I don't know.
 16:08 5 Q. But the -- the -- the best practices
 16:09 6 which you've described is what the lead
 16:09 7 developers are following are best practices that
 16:09 8 Target had had since before 2006?
 16:09 9 A. That document existed before 2006,
 16:09 10 yes.
 16:09 11 Q. How long had that document existed
 16:09 12 for?
 16:09 13 A. I don't know.
 16:09 14 Q. For more than two or three years?
 16:09 15 A. I don't know.
 16:09 16 Q. Thousands of pages have been released
 16:09 17 under those -- hundreds of thousands of pages
 16:09 18 have been added to Target.com or changed on
 16:09 19 Target.com using those best practices as the
 16:09 20 baseline for the review process by the lead
 16:09 21 developers, is that right?
 16:10 22 A. No, not entirely. Pages that are
 16:10 23 created as part of Amazon's publishing system
 16:10 24 would be created using the templates that Amazon
 16:10 25 has created which may have preceded that

1 TODD J. NEMOIR - 1.9.07
 16:12 2 the lead developers of Target by reference to
 16:12 3 best practices that Target has had prior to 2006
 16:13 4 check at least some of the new web pages that
 16:13 5 get released as they're being released or before
 16:13 6 they go live, is that right?
 16:13 7 A. Yes.
 16:13 8 Q. Okay. And am I correct that the lead
 16:13 9 developers at Target were doing that in 2005?
 16:13 10 A. I don't know.
 16:13 11 Q. Do you know if they were doing it at
 16:13 12 any time before 2006?
 16:13 13 A. I don't know.
 16:13 14 Q. But you do know that subsequent to
 16:13 15 2006, or at least the start of 2006, multiple
 16:13 16 pages of Target.com were found not to have
 16:14 17 alternate text, is that right?
 16:14 18 A. Yes.
 16:14 19 Q. And are you aware of any specific
 16:14 20 procedure for insuring that pages, new pages of
 16:14 21 Target.com that are on templates provided by
 16:14 22 Amazon are checked to insure that they have
 16:14 23 alternate text?
 16:14 24 MR. McDOWELL: Objection, asked and
 16:14 25 answered.