

1 LAURENCE W. PARADIS (California Bar No. 122336)
 2 ROGER N. HELLER (California Bar No. 215348)
 3 DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES
 4 2001 Center Street, Third Floor
 5 Berkeley, California 94704
 Telephone: (510) 665-8644
 Facsimile: (510) 665-8511
 TTY: (510) 665-8716

6 JOSHUA KONECKY (California Bar No. 182897)
 7 RACHEL E. BRILL (California Bar No. 233294)
 8 SCHNEIDER & WALLACE
 180 Montgomery Street, Suite 2000
 San Francisco, CA 94104
 Telephone: (415) 421-7100
 Fax: (415) 421-7105
 TTY: (415) 421-1655

11 DANIEL F. GOLDSTEIN (*pro hac vice*)
 12 BROWN, GOLDSTEIN & LEVY, LLP
 120 E. Baltimore St., Suite 1700
 Baltimore, MD 21202
 Telephone: (410) 962-1030
 Fax: (410) 385-0869

PETER BLANCK (*pro hac vice*)
 900 S. Crouse Avenue
 Syracuse, NY 13244-2130
 Telephone: (315) 443-9703
 Fax: (315) 443-9725

15 Class Counsel

16 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
 17 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

19 NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE BLIND
 20 and the NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE
 21 BLIND OF CALIFORNIA, on behalf of their
 22 members; and BRUCE F. SEXTON, JIM
 23 MARKS, and MELISSA WILLIAMSON, on
 behalf of themselves and all others similarly
 situated

24 Plaintiffs,

25 v.

26 TARGET CORPORATION AND DOES ONE-
 27 TEN,

27 Defendants.

Case No. C-06-01802 MHP

CLASS ACTION

**[Proposed] ORDER GRANTING
 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
 ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR
 CORRECTION OF CIVIL PRETRIAL
 MINUTES**

Date: None Set
 Time: None Set
 Judge: Hon. Marilyn Hall Patel

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ADMINISTRATIVE RELIEF FOR CORRECTION OF CIVIL PRETRIAL
 MINUTES

NFB, et al. v. Target Corporation, Case No. C 06-01802 MHP

1 Plaintiffs' Motion for Administrative Relief for Correction of Civil Pretrial Minutes was
2 filed on December 12, 2007. Defendant has stipulated to the motion. This Court having reviewed
3 and considered the motion, and good cause appearing, hereby ORDERS the following:

4 1. The Civil Pretrial Minutes filed in this case on December 11, 2007, regarding the
5 status conference held on December 10, 2007, is hereby amended to reflect that:

6 a. The Court did not reach any final decision on the statute of limitations
7 period at the status conference. Rather, after a brief discussion of the issue as
8 well as the possible different analyses that could apply to the different causes
9 of action, the Court deferred a ruling on the statute of limitations and directed
10 the parties to modify the class notice to state: "All Legally Blind Individuals
11 Who Have Attempted to Access Target.com Since February 7, 2003, may
12 have a claim as described below."; and

13 b. The Court did not set December 21, 2007 as the deadline for class notice in
14 this case to be posted. Rather, the Court set December 21, 2007 as the
15 deadline for the filing of the final version of the proposed class notice with
16 the Court. After this filing, the Court will then review the notice and,
17 assuming the Court does not have further changes, will approve it for
18 posting.

19
20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21
22 Dated: December 17, 2007

