Document 18-2

Filed 05/01/2006

Page 1 of 11

Dockets.Justia.com

Case 3:06-cv-01802-MHP

DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES

2001 CENTER STREET, THIRD FLOOR BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94704-1204 (510) 665-8644

- 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8 9
- 10
- 11 12
- 13
- 14
- 15

DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES 2001 CENTER STREET, THIRD FLOOR

BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA 94704-1204

- 16
- 17 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26

27

28

I, Mazen M. Basrawi, declare as follows:

- The facts in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge. If called to testify, I could testify competently to the facts described in this declaration.
- 2. I serve as counsel to the National Federation of the blind ("NFB") in the matter of National Federation of the Blind, et al. v. Target Corporation.
- On May 5, 2005, Plaintiff National Federation of the Blind notified Target Corporation ("Target") of the alleged unlawful accessibility barriers on its website. Plaintiffs offered and Target agreed to enter into structured negotiations pursuant to a litigation standstill and tolling agreement executed on September 1, 2005. This agreement was extended two times. In the end, however, the parties were not able to reach an agreement resolving the web access issues.
- 4. Plaintiffs thus fully embraced the aim and spirit of General Order No. 56 by meeting and conferring with Defendants over a period of eight months to attempt to settle the case even before the litigation was filed.
- On April 6, 2006, I requested from counsel for Target that Target stipulate to relief from General Order No. 56 in order for the case to move forward without unnecessary delay. That request is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- Mr. Michael Bostrom, counsel for Target in this matter, informed me in a telephone conversation on April 6, 2006, that Target would not stipulate to relief from General Order No. 56 generally but that Target itself sought relief from General Order No. 56 as well as from this court's standing order for the sole and express purpose of the court to hear Target's motion to dismiss. Mr. Bostrom forwarded a draft stipulation on April 10, 2006.
- 7. On April 11, 2006, I informed Mr. Bostrom that Plaintiffs would not oppose Target's motion for limited relief.
- On April 26, 2006, I contacted Target's counsel and asked if Target would stipulate to limited relief from General Order No. 56 so that Plaintiffs may file a motion for preliminary injunction. That request is attached as Exhibit B.

National Federation of the Blind, et al. v. Target Corporation.

Case No.: C 06-01802 MHP

Declaration of Mazen Basrawi in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Relief from General Order No. 56

9. During a telephone conversation on April 27, 2006, Mr. Bostrom asked for clarification
on the specific kind of preliminary injunctive relief Plaintiffs seek. I responded accordingly in a
letter attached hereto as Exhibit C.
10. On April 28, 2006, I received a letter from Robert Naeve, also counsel for Target, setting
forth Target's reasons for refusing to stipulate to plaintiffs' request for limited relief from the
General Order. A copy of Mr. Naeve's letter is attached hereto as Exhibit D.
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the
foregoing is true and correct.
Executed this May day of, 2006, at Berkeley, California.
(1) Can Mw
Mazen M. Basrawi
\\Server\cases\NFB.Target\Pleadings\go56_admin_relief\Basrawi_decl.doc

National Federation of the Blind, et al. v. Target Corporation.

Case No.: C 06-01802 MHP

Declaration of Mazen Basrawi in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Relief from General Order No. 56

EXHIBIT A



2001 Center Street, Third Floor Berkeley, California 94704-1204 Telephone: (510) 665-8644 Facsimile: (510) 665-8511

TTY: (510) 665-8716 general@dralegal.org

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Shelley Bergum California Communications Access Foundation Peter Blanck University of Iowa School of Law Frederick L. Cannon Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. Mark A. Chavez Chavez & Gertler LLP Dr. Alan Kalmanoff Institute for Law and Policy Planning Johnnie Lacy CRIL (Retired) Paul Longmore San Francisco State University Laurence Paradis Disability Rights Advocates Walter Park Access Consultani Anne E. Schneider LD Access Foundation, Inc. Michael P. Stanley Legal Consultant Liane Chie Yasumoto Cornoration on Disabilities and Telecom

ATTORNEYS & MANAGEMENT

Laurence Paradis Executive Director Sid Wolinsky Litigation Directo Melissa Kasnitz Managing Attorney Roger Heller Staff Attorney Kevin Knestrick Staff Attorney Alexius Markwalder Staff Attorney Mazen Basrawi EJW / Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein Fellow Mary-Lee Kimber DRA Fellow Lisa Burger David Boies / LD Access Fellow Kasey Corbit Laurie Ferreira Finance Director Caroline Amimo Office Manager Patricia Kirkpatrick

Development Director ADVISORY BOARD

Joseph Cotchett Cotchett, Pitre, Simon & McCarthy Hon. Joseph Grodin Retired Justice, California Supreme Court Kathleen Hallberg Ziffren, Brittenham & Branca Karen Kaplowitz President, New Ellis Group Yoshi Kawauchi Architect - Japan Leslie Klinger Partner, Kopple & Klinger LLP Hon. Charles Renfrew Retired United States District Judge Margaret R. Roisman Partner, Roisman Henel LLP Guy T. Saperstein Gerald Uelmen Former Dean, Santa Clara University School of Law April 6, 2006

Via Electronic Mail

Robert A. Naeve Morrison Foerster 19900 MacArthur Boulevard, Twelfth Floor Irvine, California 92612

Re: NFB v. Target

Dear Mr. Naeve,

I write to follow up on your recent conversation with Larry Paradis regarding the possibility of a stipulation for relief from the Northern District of California's General Order No. 56 "Americans with Disabilities Access Litigation." Please find attached a draft joint motion and a draft proposed order. If you would like to propose any changes we would be happy to consider them. Please let us know no later than April 13, 2006 if Target is willing to submit the proposed stipulation.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

/s/ Mazen M. Basrawi

Mazen M. Basrawi

enclosure

cc: Larry Paradis
Josh Konecky
Dan Goldstein
Camilla Roberson
David McDowell
Michael Bostrom

EXHIBIT B



2001 Center Street, Third Floor Berkeley, CA 94704-1204 Phone (510) 665-8644 Fax (510) 665-8511 TIY (510) 665-8716 www.dralegal.org

A non-profit corporation

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Shelley Bergum California Communications Access Foundation Peter Blanck University of Iowa School of Law Frederick L. Cannon Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. Mark A. Chavez Chavez & Gertler LLF Dr. Alan Kalmanoff Institute for Law and Policy Planning Johnnie Lacy CRIL (Retired) Paul Longmore San Francisco State University Laurence Paradis Disability Rights Advocates Walter Park Access Consultant Anne E. Schneider LD Access Foundation, Inc. Michael P. Stanley Legal Consultant Liane Chie Yasumoto Corporation on Disabilities and Telecom.

ATTORNEYS & MANAGEMENT

Laurence Paradis

Executive Director Sid Wolinsky Litigation Directo Melissa Kasnitz Managing Attorney Roger Heller Staff Attorney Kevin Knestrick Staff Attorney Alexius Markwalder Staff Attorney Mazen Başrawi EJW / Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein Fellow Mary-Lee Kimber Lisa Burger David Boies / LD Access Fellow Kasey Corbit DRA Fellow Laurie Ferreira Finance Director Caroline Amimo Office Manager Patricia Kirkpatrick Development Director

ADVISORY BOARD

Joseph Cotchett Cotchett, Pitre, Simon & McCarthy Hon. Joseph Grodin Retired Justice, California Supreme Court Kathleen Hallberg Ziffren, Brittenham & Branca Karen Kaplowitz President, New Ellis Group Yoshi Kawauchi Architect - Japan Leslie Klinger Partner, Kopple & Klinger LLP Hon. Charles Renfrew Retired, United States District Judge Margaret R. Roisman Partner, Roisman Henel LLP Guy T. Saperstein Gerald Uelmen Former Dean, Santa Clara University School of Law April 26, 2006

Via Electronic Mail

Michael J. Bostrom Morrison Foerster 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 3500 Los Angeles, California 90013-1024

Re: **NFB v. Target**

Dear Mr. Bostrom,

I write to inform you that Plaintiffs intend to file a motion for preliminary relief. We would like to know if you will stipulate to or agree not to oppose a motion for leave from the Northern District's General Order No. 56 to allow Plaintiffs to file such a motion. Please let us know no later than Friday April 28, 2006.

Sincerely,

Mazen M. Basrawi

cc: Larry Paradis
Josh Konecky
Dan Goldstein
Camilla Roberson
David F. McDowell
Robert A. Naeve

EXHIBIT C



2001 Center Street, Third Floor Berkeley, CA 94704-1204 Phone (510) 665-8644 Fax (510) 665-8511 TTY (510) 665-8716 www.dralegal.org

A non-profit corporation

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Shelley Bergum California Communications Access Foundation Peter Blanck University of Iowa School of Law Frederick L. Cannon Keefe, Bruyette & Woods, Inc. Mark A. Chavez Chavez & Gertler LLF Dr. Alan Kalmanoff Institute for Law and Policy Planning Johnnie Lacy CRIL (Retired) Paul Longmore San Francisco State University Laurence Paradis Disability Rights Advocates Walter Park Access Consultant Anne E. Schneider LD Access Foundation, Inc. Michael P. Stanley Legal Consultant Liane Chie Yasumoto Corporation on Disabilities and Telecom.

ATTORNEYS & MANAGEMENT Laurence Paradis Executive Director Sid Wolinsky Litigation Director Melissa Kasnitz Managing Attorney Roger Heller Staff Attorney Kevin Knestrick Staff Attorney Alexius Markwalder Staff Attorney Mazen Basrawi EJW / Lieff Cabraser Heimann & Bernstein Fellow Mary-Lee Kimber Lisa Burger David Boies / LD Access Fellow Kasey Corbit DRA Fellow Laurie Ferreira Finance Director Caroline Amimo Office Manager Patricia Kirkpatrick

Development Director ADVISORY BOARD

Joseph Cotchett Cotchett, Pitre, Simon & McCarthy Hon. Joseph Grodin Retired Justice, California Supreme Court Kathleen Hallberg Ziffren, Brittenham & Branca Karen Kaplowitz President, New Ellis Group Yoshi Kawauchi Architect - Japan Leslie Klinger Partner, Kopple & Klinger LLP Hon. Charles Renfrew Retired, United States District Judge Margaret R. Roisman Partner, Roisman Henel LLP Guy T. Saperstein Gerald Uelmen Former Dean, Santa Clara University School of Law April 27, 2006

Via Electronic Mail

Michael J. Bostrom Morrison Foerster 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 3500 Los Angeles, California 90013-1024

> NFB v. Target Re:

Dear Mr. Bostrom.

I write to follow up on our telephone conversation this morning. So that there is no uncertainty, we will be asking the court to order Target to make its website accessible to blind persons who use screen readers within ninety (90) days. As we have explained to your client. there are a variety of approaches to doing so including following the relevant guidelines under Priority I and Priority II of the web content accessibility guidelines promulgated by the World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) and the guidelines pursuant to Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act (28 USC §794(d) published at 36 CFR §1194 et seq.). This is precisely the relief that the NFB has been demanding from the very beginning.

Please let me know by 5:00 p.m. tomorrow, April 28, 2006, whether Target will stipulate to administrative relief from General Order No. 56 for the purpose of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary relief.

Mazen M. Basrawi

Larry Paradis CC: Josh Konecky Dan Goldstein Camilla Roberson David F. McDowell Robert A. Naeve

EXHIBIT D

MORRISON

FOERSTER

19900 MACARTHUR BLVD. **IRVINE**

CALIFORNIA 92612-2445

TELEPHONE: 949 251 7500 FACSIMILE: 949.251.0900

WWW.MOFO.COM

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

NEW YORK, SAN FRANCISCO, LOS ANGELES, PALO ALTO, SAN DIEGO, WASHINGTON, D.C.

DENVER, NORTHERN VIRGINIA. ORANGE COUNTY, SACRAMENTO WALNUT CREEK, CENTURY CITY

TOKYO, LONDON, BEIJING, SHANGHAI, HONG KONG, SINGAPORE, BRUSSELS

April 28, 2006

Mazen M. Basrawi, Esq. Disability Rights Advocates 449 16th Street Suite 303 Oakland, California 94612-2821

Re:

NFB v. Target

Dear Mr. Basrawi:

Thank you for your April 27, 2006 letter to Michael Bostrom, in which you confirm that NFB will be asking for a court order requiring "Target to make its website accessible to blind persons who use screen readers." You ask that we stipulate to administrative relief from General Order 56, apparently so that you can file your motion forthwith. This letter constitutes our preliminary response, which we ask you to include in whatever papers you file with Judge Patel.

As you know, Target has filed a motion to dismiss NFB's amended complaint in this action. Our motion raises serious constitutional and statutory issues which, if accepted by the Court, would suggest that NFB should address its concerns about the accessibility of Internet Websites to the Congress, the Access Board, and state legislatures, rather than to the Court. We understand that you disagree with our analysis. Indeed, you'll need to plow through many of the same legal issues in moving for whatever preliminary relief you have in mind. Under the circumstances, we believe that the type of repetitive and dual track litigation you seek to pursue is unnecessary, inefficient and, in a phrase, places the cart well ahead of the equine. For at least these reasons, we decline your request that the parties stipulate to additional administrative relief.

I briefly note that your April 27, 2006 letter, as well as other communications you have made about this case, come perilously close to crossing the line of confidentiality we agreed to long ago. We request that you comply with your contractual and ethical obligations in this regard.