1 2 3 4	ROBERT A. NAEVE (CA SBN 106095) RNaeve@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 19900 MacArthur Boulevard Irvine, California 92612-2445 Telephone: (949) 251-7500 Facsimile: (949) 251-0900 (Additional Counsel on Signature Page)						
5 6	Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION						
7 8 9 10 11	LAWRENCE W. PARADIS (CA SBN 122336) lparadis@dralegal.org MAZEN M. BASRAWI (CA SBN 235475) mbasrawi@drlegal.org DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES 2001 Center Street, Third Floor Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 665-8644 Facsimile: (510) 665-8716 TTY: (510) 665-8716 (Additional Counsel on Signature Page)						
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs						
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA						
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE BLIND, the NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE BLIND OF CALIFORNIA, on behalf of their members, and Bruce F. Sexton, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. TARGET CORPORATION, Defendant.	Case No. C06-01802 MHP PUTATIVE CLASS ACTION JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER: (1) CONTINUING THE HEARING ON NFB'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION; (2) CONTINUING THE HEARING ON TARGET'S MOTION TO DISMISS; AND (3) GRANTING TARGET LEAVE TO TAKE LIMITED DISCOVERY [Local Rule 6-2, 7-11]					
28							
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER R AND NFB'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNC la-857999						

Case 3:06-cv-01802-MHP Document 21 Filed 05/10/2006 Page 1 of 3

1	RECITALS					
2	1. On April 27, 2006, Defendant Target Corporation ("Target") filed a Rule 12(b)(
3	Motion to Dismiss ("Target's Motion") pursuant to this Court's April 13, 2006 Order granting					
4	Target leave to do so. Target's Motion is currently scheduled for hearing on June 5, 2006.					
5	2. On May 8, 2006, Plaintiffs National Federation of the Blind, the National					
6	Federation of the Blind of California and Bruce F. Sexton ("NFB") filed a Motion for Preliminary					
7	Injunction ("NFB's Motion") pursuant to this Court's May 2, 2006 Order granting NFB leave to					
8	do so. NFB's Motion is currently scheduled for hearing on June 12, 2006.					
9	3. Because NFB's Motion is scheduled for hearing on June 12, 2006, Local Rule 7-3,					
10	allows Target only two weeks to respond to NFB's Motion. The parties agree to continue the					
11	hearing on NFB's Motion to June 26, 2006 at 2:00 p.m. to allow Target additional time to take					
12	limited discovery and prepare a response.					
13	4. Paragraph 2 of General Order 56, applicable to cases brought under the Americans					
14	with Disabilities Act such as this, stays all discovery until this Court orders otherwise.					
15	5. The parties agree to lift the stay imposed by General Order 56 for the limited					
16	purpose of allowing Target to depose up to five of the individuals who submitted declarations in					
17	support of NFB's Motion.					
18	6. The parties also agree, in the interests of efficiency and judicial economy, to					
19	continue the hearing on Target's Motion to June 26, 2006 at 2:00 p.m., so that it can be heard at					
20	the same time as NFB's Motion.					
21	7. Target reserves its right to seek additional time to respond to NFB's Motion, if					
22	necessary.					
23	STIPULATION					
24	THEREFORE, the parties hereby stipulate as follows:					
25	1. The hearing date on NFB's Motion shall be continued to June 26, 2006 at					
26	2:00 p.m.					
27	2. The hearing date on Target's Motion shall also be continued to June 26, 2006 at					
28	2:00 p.m.					
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: TARGET'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND NFB'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION (Case No. 06-01802 MHP) la-857999					

	Case 3:06-cv-01802-MHP	Document 21	Filed 05/10/2006	Page 3 of 3				
1	3. The due dates	for the opposition a	and reply briefs on bot	h NFB's Motion and				
2	Target's Motion shall be calculated pursuant to Local Rule 7-3 (a) and (c), based upon the June							
3	26, 2006 hearing date.							
4	4. The discovery stay imposed by General Order 56 shall be lifted for the limited							
5	purpose of allowing Target to depose up to five of the individuals who offered declarations in							
6	support of NFB's Motion for Preliminary Injunction.							
7 8	Dated: May 10, 2006		ROBERT A. NAEVE DAVID F. MCDOWELL MICHAEL J. BOSTROM					
9			DRRISON & FOERST					
10								
11	Dated: May 10, 2006	By	By: /s/ Robert A. Naeve Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION					
12								
13								
14		DIS	DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES					
15		SC	SCHNEIDER & WALLACE BROWN, GOLDSTEIN & LEVY, LLP					
16								
17		By	/s/					
18 19			Laurence W. Para	dis				
20			Attorneys for Plain	ntiffs				
20	DUDGUANT TO STIDULATION IT IS SO ODDEDED							
22	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED Dated May, 2006							
23	2 alda hitaj <u> </u>							
24				Marilyn Hall Patel tes District Court Judge				
25			Onned Sta	tes District Court Judge				
26								
27								
28								
	JOINT STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE: TARGET'S MOTION TO DISMISS AND NFB'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION (Case No. 06-01802 MHP) la-857999							