I	Case 3:06-cv-01802-MHP	Document 38	Filed 06/13/2006	Page 1 of 6
1	ROBERT A. NAEVE (CA SBN 106095) RNaeve@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			
2				
3	19900 MacArthur Blvd. Irvine, California 92612-2445			•
4	Telephone: (949) 251-7500 Facsimile: (949) 251-0900			
5	DAVID F. MCDOWELL (CA			
6	SARVENAZ BAHAR (CA SE MICHAEL J. BOSTROM (CA	SBN 211778)		
7	DMcDowell@mofo.com SBahar@mofo.com MBostrom@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 3500			
8				
9	Los Angeles, California 9001: Telephone: (213) 892-5200			
10	Facsimile: (213) 892-5454		·	
11	STUART C. PLUNKETT (CA SBN 187971) SPlunkett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street			
12				
13	San Francisco, CA 94105-248 Telephone: (415) 268-7000	2		
14	Facsimile: (415) 268-7522			
15	Attorneys for Defendant TARGET CORPORATION			
16				
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
19				
20	NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE BLIND, the NATIONAL FEDERATION OF THE BLIND OF CALIFORNIA, on behalf of their members, and Bruce F. Sexton, on behalf of		Case No. C0	6-01802 MHP
21			LETOURNEA	ON OF CHARLES U IN SUPPORT OF
22	himself and all others similarly situa	•	OPPOSITION	RPORATION'S TO PLAINTIFFS' R PRELIMINARY
23	Plaintif	īs,	MOTION FO	
24	V.		Date: July 24,	
25	TARGET CORPORATION,		Time: 2:00 p. Judge: The Ho	ı. ıorable Marilyn Hall Patel
26	Defenda ————————————————————————————————————	ant. 		
27				
28	DEGLADAMICA CO COLOR COL	TT A L		
	DECLARATION OF CHARLES LETOURN CASE NO. 06-01802 MHP la-863207	IEAU		
	ı			

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DECLARATION OF CHARLES LETOURNEAU

I, Charles (Chuck) Letourneau, have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below, and if called as a witness, I could and would testify under oath to the following:

Background

- 1. For the past ten years, I have been the president of and principal consultant for Starling Access Services, a company that specializes in accessible Web site design, site evaluations, and training.
- 2. From its establishment, I have been involved in the World Wide Web Consortium's (W3C) Web Accessibility Initiative. From 1997 to 1999, I co-chaired the Initiative's Web Content Accessibility Guidelines Working Group. I also served as the W3C's alternate representative to the Section 508 Advisory Committee¹, a committee that was organized pursuant to a congressional directive to recommend accessibility standards for the purchase, development, and maintenance of electronic and information technology, which includes web content (the "Section 508 Standards").
- 3. I also spent two years leading a Website accessibility testing service for the Canadian Treasury Board Secretariat, and six years working for the Canadian federal government training employees with disabilities on how to use assistive technology in conjunction with standard office software.
- 4. Further details of my 11 years of experience with Web accessibility, 16 years of experience working with individuals with disabilities in connection with the use of assistive technology, and my combined 24 years of experience with computers and technology, are provided in my curriculum vitae, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Accessing The Web Using Screen Readers

5. The blind community most commonly accesses Internet webpages using screen readers. A screen reader is a software product that audibly reads the content of a computer

26

27 28

¹ The advisory committee's full name was The Electronic and Information Technology Access Advisory Committee ("EITAAC").

11

9

14 15

> 16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

screen using a speech synthesizer. Most screen readers are also capable of interpreting the underlying code and structure of webpages, although some are better at it than others.

- There are various screen reader programs used by the blind community. These 6. include Freedom Scientific's JAWS program, GWMicro's WindowEyes program, Dolphin's Hal Screen Reader, Choice Technology's LookOUT program, ALVA Access Group, Inc.'s Outspoken program, Apple's VoiceOver, and The Speakup Project, a free Linux based program. The most commonly used screen readers for the Windows Operating System are JAWS and WindowEyes.
- 7. Like most technology, screen readers have evolved rapidly over the past five years. For example, the current version of JAWS, which is 7.0,² is noticeably superior to JAWS 4.01, which came on the market in late 2001, early 2002. To illustrate:
 - JAWS 7.0 also allows for improved access to non-HTML content, such as content provided in Adobe PDF format, content displayed in scripts, and content displayed using flash technology.
 - JAWS 7.0 is much better at navigating a complex table of information, such as a table that lists the prices and functionality of various laptop computers sold on a retailer's webpage.
 - JAWS 7.0 also generally allows for easier navigation of websites.

Successive version of screen readers, including the successive versions of JAWS, have continually allowed users greater access to websites. I am informed that Plaintiffs have offered the declaration of one computer user who relies on JAWS 4.51 to access websites. I believe that user would have an easier time accessing and navigating websites using the current version of JAWS.

² There is also a Beta version of JAWS, which is version 7.1, that is available for download on the Internet. Version 7.1, however, is not yet available in stores.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Guidelines on Accessibility

8. Web designers have a variety of accessibility guidelines to choose from when creating new websites, or modifying existing websites to make them more accessible.³ These include the Section 508 Standards, the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines ("WCAG") written by the W3C, Microsoft's Accessibility Design Guidelines For the Web, IBM's Web Accessibility Guidelines, Canada's Common Look and Feel Policy, the United Kingdom's Guidelines for Government Websites, Australia's Guide to Minimum Website Standards Accessibility, the State of Illinois' Accessibility Guidelines, and the State of Connecticut's Accessibility Guidelines. Many other countries and states have also published accessibility guidelines.

- Strict adherence to any given set of guidelines, however, will not necessarily 9. render a website useable by a blind person. Web designers will often pull from more than one set of guidelines, and draw from their own experience when designing new sites or modifying existing sites to make them more accessible.
- 10. In North America, web designers often rely on the WCAG and the Section 508 Standards. These two sets of guidelines, however, are different from each other in a number of respects. As I understand Plaintiffs' expert, Dr. Thatcher, acknowledged at his deposition. WCAG and the Section 508 Standards are different in wording, different in organization, different in intention, and contain substantive differences. To cite a couple of examples:
 - WCAG and The Section 508 Standards have different rules for dynamic scripting content.⁴ The Section 508 Standards provide that the scripting function must be accessible. WCAG provides that the information conveyed through the scripting function must be made accessible even when the user turns off the browser's script reading function. There are

³ Of course, if a client requests that a web designer use a specific set of guidelines when creating a new site or modifying an existing cite, the web designer will do so.

⁴ Dynamic scripting content includes such things as menus that pop up when the mouse passes over an image on the screen.

occasions where any user might turn off a browser's scripting ability. Some blind computer users might find scripted functions distracting or difficult to use. Users who turn off their browser's scripting function should be able to access the scripted information in a WCAG compliant website, but would not necessarily be able to access the same information in a Section 508 compliant site.

- WCAG provides that web designers should "mark up documents with the proper structural elements." In essence, this means the web designer should use appropriate headings and other content mark up to assist the user in navigating the various information contained in the site. The Section 508 Standards do not contain this requirement.
- The Section 508 Standards require websites to notify users if a web session is to be timed out, and to allow the user sufficient time to complete the Web transaction (i.e., a stock order, money transfer, or merchandise purchase). This is an important requirement because, even with the most accessible websites, it may take blind users longer than sighted users to complete a Web transaction. WCAG, however, does not include this requirement.
- 11. Even when a web designer relies upon accessibility guidelines, he or she must nonetheless exercise a great deal of discretion. Indeed, a designer must exercise discretion in organizing the content layout, choosing the most desirable headings, and implementing the appropriate content mark ups.
- 12. The exercise of discretion is also required because the WCAG guidelines, written between 1997 and 1999, and the Section 508 Standards, written between 1998 and 2000, do not reflect recent technological changes in how websites are programmed. The W3C is in the process of revising WCAG, and The Section 508 Standards are slated for review this coming September.

Accessibility Depends On More Than Website Design

13. In my experience, a blind person's ability to access any given website depends on more than just the website's design. It will depend on a host of additional factors including the screen reader used, the browser used, the user's technical abilities, the user's familiarity with the Internet in general (and his or her screen reader and browser in particular), and how much time the user is willing to spend exploring the cite.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 12th day of June 2006, at Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

Charles Letourneau

ECLARATION OF CHARLES LE

1 2

DECLARATION OF CHARLES LETOURNEAU CASE NO. 06-01802 MHP la-863207