

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROYLENE RAY, *et al.*,
Plaintiffs,

No. C-06-1807 JSW (EMC)

v.

**ORDER RE CONFERENCE CALL ON
SEPTEMBER 30, 2008**

BLUEHIPPO FUNDING, LLC, *et al.*,
Defendants.

_____ /


The Court held a conference call on September 30, 2008, to address a discovery dispute between the parties regarding two requests for admission (“RFAs”).

Plaintiffs’ motion to compel with respect to RFA No. 16 is granted. The RFA has some relevance to class certification and does not impose an undue burden. The response to the RFA shall be served within twenty-five (25) days of the date of the conference call.

Plaintiffs’ motion to compel with respect to RFA No. 37 is denied. The RFA has at best marginal relevance to class certification, and the burden on BlueHippo to provide a response outweighs what limited probative value the discovery has.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 30, 2008


EDWARD M. CHEN
United States Magistrate Judge