

United States District Court  
For the Northern District of California

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROYLENE RAY, *et al.*,

No. C-06-1807 JSW (EMC)

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER RESCHEDULING MEET AND  
CONFER AND HEARING**

v.

BLUEHIPPO FUNDING, LLC, *et al.*,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Previously, the Court issued an order requiring an in-person meet and confer on December 4, 2008, to be followed by a hearing on the same date if the parties' discovery disputes were not resolved. Plaintiffs have moved for relief from that order. *See* Docket No. 225.


The Court hereby **GRANTS** in part and **DENIES** in part Plaintiffs' request for relief. The Court shall not waive the in-person meet and confer requirement. However, it shall reschedule the in-person meet and confer to **December 10, 2008, at 9:00 a.m.** There shall be a hearing at **3:00 p.m.** on the same date if the discovery disputes are not resolved at the in-person meet and confer. As previously ordered, the Court shall require the presence of Gary Peller (counsel for Plaintiffs) and Brandon Wishoff (counsel for BlueHippo).

///  
///  
///  
///  
///

1 Consistent with the Court's previous order, the parties must engage in a telephonic meet-and-  
2 confer to discuss each of the discovery disputes prior to the in-person meet and confer. The in-  
3 person meet and confer shall take place only if the telephonic meet and confer is not successful.  
4 Should the in-person meet and confer be necessary, then the parties shall file a joint letter with the  
5 Court by **3:00 p.m., December 5, 2008.**

6  
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8  
9 Dated: December 1, 2008

10  
11   
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 EDWARD M. CHEN  
14 United States Magistrate Judge  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28