

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STUDENT H, by and through his
guardian ad litem, Mother H, and
MOTHER H, on her own behalf,

No C 06-2031 VRW
ORDER

Plaintiffs,

v

BERKELEY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,
et al,

Defendants.

_____ /

On March 19, 2009, the parties filed a stipulated motion to remove certain documents from public viewing. Specifically, the parties request that Doc #187, the parties' petition for approval of the minor's compromise, and Doc #188, the parties' proposed order granting the petition for approval, be removed from the public record and filed under seal.

As a general rule, documents filed with the court must be open to public inspection, and courts have a "strong presumption in

1 favor of access." Kamakana v City and County of Honolulu, 447 F3d
2 1172, 1178 (9th Cir 2006)(internal citations omitted). Here, the
3 parties have not shown that the documents are subject to protection
4 against disclosure, and the court has no reason to deviate from the
5 presumption in favor of access. Accordingly, the parties' motion
6 to remove Docs ##187-188 from public viewing is DENIED.

7
8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 

11 _____
12 VAUGHN R WALKER
13 United States District Chief Judge
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28